

# Residential HVAC Performance



Measure ID: 25-SF-HVAC-40, 25-SF-HVAC-02

February 2025

Category Name – Single Family and Multifamily HVAC



This report was prepared by the California Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Program that is funded, in part, by California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Copyright 2024 Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, and Sacramento Municipal Utility District. All rights reserved, except that this document may be used, copied, and distributed without modification.

Neither Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Sacramento Municipal Utility District or any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, method, product, policy or process disclosed in this document; or represents that its use will not infringe any privately-owned rights including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks or copyrights.



## Document Information

<b>Category:</b>	<b>Codes and Standards</b>
<b>Keywords:</b>	Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative, Statewide Utility Codes and Standards Team, Codes and Standards Enhancements, 2025 Title 24, Part 6, efficiency, energy efficiency, heat pump, HVAC, refrigerant charge, crankcase heating, defrost, load calculations, ducts, distribution, sizing, variable capacity, zoning.
<b>Authors:</b>	Kristin Heinemeier, Dave Springer, Stephen Becker, Keith Saechao, Marc Hoeschele, Alea German, Becca Evans (Frontier Energy); Abram Conant (Proctor Engineering); Mike MacFarland (Energy Docs); Russ King (Coded Energy); Marshall Hunt (Marshall Hunt, P.E.); Jon McHugh (McHugh Energy Consultants)
<b>Prime Contractor</b>	Frontier Energy
<b>Project Management:</b>	California Utilities Statewide Codes and Standards Team: Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, and Sacramento Municipal Utility District

# Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction** \_\_\_\_\_ **1**
- 2. Measure Description** \_\_\_\_\_ **2**
  - 2.1 Summary of Adopted Requirements ..... 2
  - 2.2 Summary of Proposed Requirements That Were Not Adopted ..... 3
- 3. Statewide Energy Impacts of Adopted Requirements** \_\_\_\_\_ **6**
- 4. Compliance and Enforcement** \_\_\_\_\_ **7**
- 5. Interactions with Existing Regulations** \_\_\_\_\_ **7**
  - 5.1 Relationship to Other Title 24 Requirements..... 7
  - 5.2 Relationship to State or Federal Laws or Industry Standards..... 8
- 6. Evolution of Code Requirements** \_\_\_\_\_ **8**
  - 6.1 Design ..... 8
  - 6.2 Defrost..... 10
  - 6.3 Supplementary Heating ..... 10
  - 6.4 Capacity Variation with Third-Party Thermostats..... 11
  - 6.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification ..... 12
  - 6.6 Variable Speed / Zoned Systems ..... 12
  - 6.7 Crankcase Heating ..... 12
- 7. Adopted Code Language** \_\_\_\_\_ **13**
  - 7.1 California Energy Code, Title 24, Part 6 ..... 13
  - 7.2 Reference Appendices..... 30
- 8. Bibliography** \_\_\_\_\_ **36**
- Attachment 1: Public Comments Submitted by the Statewide CASE Team** \_\_\_\_\_ **37**
- Attachment 2: Final CASE Report** \_\_\_\_\_ **38**

# List of Tables

Table 1: Scope of Adopted Code Change ..... 2  
Table 2: Estimated Statewide First-Year<sup>a</sup> Energy and Water Savings..... 7

# 1. Introduction

---

The Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative presents recommendations to support the California Energy Commission’s (CEC) efforts to update the California Energy Code (Title 24, Part 6) to include new requirements or to upgrade existing requirements for various technologies. Three California Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs)—Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric, and Southern California Edison—and two Publicly-Owned Utilities—Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, and Sacramento Municipal Utility District (herein referred to as the Statewide CASE Team when including the CASE Author)—sponsored this effort. The program goal is to prepare and submit proposals that will result in cost-effective enhancements to improve energy efficiency and energy performance in California buildings to the CEC, the state agency that has authority to adopt revisions to Title 24, Part 6. The CEC evaluates proposals submitted by the Statewide CASE Team and other stakeholders and may revise or reject proposals.

In November 2023, the Statewide CASE Team submitted the Final CASE Report to recommend code changes related to residential HVAC performance, as presented in Attachment 2. The recommended changes to the single family and multifamily sections of Title 24, Part 6, focused on ensuring that heat pumps (or other types of HVAC systems) will work effectively rather than on whether to install them, as covered in the Heat Pump Baseline recommendations.

These changes include:

- Maximizing efficiency within cost-effectiveness constraints
- Providing comfort and a healthy environment
- Maintaining reliability over the system’s expected lifespan
- Reducing the first cost of the system as much as possible.

Adjustments were made to system sizing, control configuration, and the processes for installation and initial system verification to achieve these goals. Surveys and stakeholder engagement ensured that the requirements would be practical for the industry. Additionally, detailed modeling of costs and savings ensured that the measures would be cost-effective across climate zones and occupancies.

This document explains the revisions to the proposed code changes between the Statewide CASE Team’ submission of the Final CASE Report to the CEC and it’s adoption of the 2025 Title 24, Part 6 Standards on September 11, 2024. The document begins with a concise description of the adopted code language, followed by the estimated energy savings of the adopted requirements, with the remainder of the document outlining the evolution of the code changes and the final adopted language.

## 2. Measure Description

---

### 2.1 Summary of Adopted Requirements

The CEC has adopted the majority of the measures presented in the Final CASE Report. These measures apply to all residential HVAC applications and will be implemented through mandatory and prescriptive requirements. The following subsections provided a detailed description of each adopted measure.

### 2.2 Summary of Proposed Requirements That Were Not Adopted

#### 2.2.1 Design

The Statewide CASE Team proposed mandatory requirements for load calculations, equipment selection, and the design of ducts and diffusers as a part of the measure. However, the requirement to submit load calculations with the Certificate of Compliance was not adopted. Similarly, the requirement to submit duct and diffuser designs were rejected. As a result, the estimated savings for the measure were significantly reduced.

The Final CASE Report proposed an exception to explicitly permit the use of simplified assumptions for load calculations. It also included language in the Residential Appendix that introduced a table specifying permitted simplified load calculation. However, neither of these was incorporated into the adopted code language. The proposed change to update design day data in Joint Appendix 2.2 with the 2021 ASHRAE data for California cities was not adopted.

Future versions of Title 24 Part 6 could revisit the requirement to submit load calculations and duct designs, balanced with the allowance for simplified assumptions. These changes would improve compliance and significantly increase the effectiveness of the sizing measures already adopted. Additionally, gathering more evidence that load calculations are not routinely performed, despite the existing requirement, would provide stronger justification for this measure.

It is expected that the specification of the weather data used for load calculations will be updated in the 2028 cycle, aligning with the expected updates to the weather data used for modeling and compliance.

#### 2.2.2 Supplementary Heating

The Statewide CASE Team proposed a measure which implements mandatory requirements for supplementary heating controls. However, the adopted language differs slightly from the proposal outlined in the Final CASE Report. The original

proposal suggested that the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer provide installers with clear and accessible instructions for configuring and verifying supplementary heating lockout controls, following a procedure documented in the Residential Appendices. This recommendation was not adopted as written. Instead, the adopted language requires installers to certify the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) to confirm that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the specified procedure. Additionally, the proposed language in the Final CASE Report, which included detailed verification instructions in the Residential Appendix, was omitted from the adopted code language.

The Final CASE Report included an exception permitting supplementary heat operation during defrost or when the user selects emergency operation. In the adopted language, the use of supplemental heat during these conditions was reclassified from an exception to an allowed feature in the main text of the requirement. This editorial change does not change the stringency of the original proposal.

Future code cycles are expected to address the issue of proper control configuration for supplementary heating. Research to identify how these are typically configured will help support any changes. If findings align with expectations, it may be necessary to reconsider requiring manufacturers to assist in configuring these controls.

### **2.2.3 Defrost**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed making defrost-delay timers a mandatory measure. However, one detail related to installation and verification was modified during adoption. The Final CASE Report recommended that the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer provide the installer with instructions on the proper configuration and verification of the defrost delay controls and that an ECC rater verify the appropriate setting according to the procedures in the Residential Appendix. In contrast, the adopted language requires the installer to certify that the control configuration has been tested and documented in the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) using the CF2R test procedure. Additionally, the adopted code language does not include detailed verification procedures proposed in the Final CASE Report Residential Appendix.

Similar to the requirements for supplementary heating configuration, future code cycles are expected to address the proper configuration of defrost controls. Research into current practices will serve as the foundation for justifying necessary changes. If findings confirm, as anticipated, that installers lack the expertise to configure these controls, the requirement for manufacturers to provide guidance may be revisited. Furthermore, advanced defrost methods will be evaluated to determine whether they should be mandated or encouraged through Title 24, Part 6.

### 2.2.4 Crankcase Heating

The Statewide CASE Team proposed the crankcase heating (CCH) measure as a prescriptive requirement for heat pumps and air conditioners in all climate zones and all multifamily homes except those in Climate Zone 1. This measure was not adopted.

Proposed AHRI 210/240 specification changes for testing HVAC system efficiency will partially address crankcase heating. However, they may not fully resolve the extreme inefficiencies that can occur under certain conditions; therefore, the CEC should reevaluate this measure in future Title 24, Part 6 enhancements.

### 2.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification

The Statewide CASE Team proposed changes to the refrigerant charge verification measure as a prescriptive requirement, including developing detailed criteria for remote verification. However, the CEC determined that existing protocols implicitly allow for remote verification. Consequently, the section of Residential Appendix 3.2.3 outlining this method was excluded from the adopted measures.

Remote verification can be revisited in future Title 24, Part 6 updates. Collaboration with third-party verification providers can help determine whether remote verification is currently in use and, if not, identify barriers to its implementation. In the interim, these efforts inform the development of guidelines to ensure that remote verification is as effective as in-person verification.

### 2.2.6 Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems

The Statewide CASE Team proposed making variable capacity and zonally controlled systems mandatory. However, the CEC did not adopt certain aspects of the Final CASE Report’s original measure. Specifically, the exception allowing one compressor to serve multiple air handlers—provided the combined air handler airflow meets or exceeds the requirement of 350 cfm/ton—was not adopted. Furthermore, the language in the Residential Appendix detailing verification criteria for this measure was omitted.

As the saturation of multi-headed mini-split systems is expected to increase, future updates to Title 24, Part 6, should reconsider this requirement.

Table identifies sections of the Standards and Reference Appendices modified due to advocacy activities. It also indicates whether the compliance software will be updated.

**Table 11: Scope of Adopted Code Change**

Measure Name	Type of Requirement	Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6	Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices	Will Compliance Software Be Modified?
--------------	---------------------	---	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

<b>Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)</b>	Mandatory	150.0(h)1,2,5 150.2(a)1E 150.2(a)2D 150.2(b)1O 150.2(b)2 160.3(b)1,2,7,8	None	Yes
<b>Supplementary Heating</b>	Mandatory	110.2(b) 150.0(h)7,8 150.0(i)2	None	Yes
<b>Defrost</b>	Mandatory	150.0(h)6	None	No
<b>Refrigerant Charge Verification (RCV)</b>	Prescriptive	150.1(c)7A 150.2(b)1F 170.2(c)3B	Joint Appendix 6.1 Residential Appendix 3.2.3	Yes
<b>Variable Capacity Systems</b>	Mandatory	150.0(h)9 150.0(m)13	None	Yes

**2.2.7 Design**

The proposed design requirements were all mandatory. The first requirement was to complete load calculations in more situations and to allow simplified calculations in some cases. The new requirements set different limits on system capacity than those recommended nationwide to reflect California climate conditions. Specifically, they prohibit undersized heat pumps that rely on supplementary heating to meet capacity and restrict oversized cooling systems when ducts are not correspondingly sized. An exception was added, allowing block loads—the total load for all rooms served by the central equipment—to be used for system sizing additions.

**2.2.8 Supplementary Heating**

The supplemental heating measure mandates the installation of controls that prevent gas or electric supplementary heating from operating when temperatures exceed 35°F. It also limits the capacity of electric resistance strip heating to the minimum needed for emergency or defrost operation.

**2.2.9 Defrost**

This mandatory measure requires that, when applicable, the manufacturer’s defrost delay timer be set to a moderate value of no less than 90 minutes to extend the time between defrost cycles. This measure would reduce heat pump energy consumption by decreasing the defrost cycle frequency and reducing the time the unit operates in defrost mode. The proposed control requirement would prevent excessive winter morning peak demand, enhance comfort, reduce system wear and tear, and improve

lifespan by decreasing the frequency of defrost operations and requiring installer verification of the control settings.

### **2.2.10 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

This prescriptive measure requires verification for heat pumps in all climate zones and air conditioners in the 2022 version in climate zones for which verification was required. It retains existing methods—except the Fault Indicator Display method, which is proposed for retirement—while adding rigor to the weigh-in method. Residential Appendix 3.2.3. describes the weigh-in procedure for refrigerant charge verification. The observation process for the weigh-in charging procedure was further described from a verification standpoint.

### **2.2.11 Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems**

This measure requires changing how fan efficacy is measured during field verification. It also recommends modifying how variable capacity systems are modeled in vented attics.

## **2.3 Summary of Proposed Requirements That Were Not Adopted**

### **2.3.1 Design**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed mandatory requirements for load calculations, equipment selection, and the design of ducts and diffusers as a part of the measure. However, the requirement to submit load calculations with the Certificate of Compliance was not adopted. Similarly, the requirement to submit duct and diffuser designs were rejected. As a result, the estimated savings for the measure were significantly reduced.

The Final CASE Report proposed an exception to explicitly permit the use of simplified assumptions for load calculations. It also included language in the Residential Appendix that introduced a table specifying permitted simplified load calculation. However, neither of these was incorporated into the adopted code language. The proposed change to update design day data in Joint Appendix 2.2 with the 2021 ASHRAE data for California cities was not adopted.

Future versions of Title 24 Part 6 could revisit the requirement to submit load calculations and duct designs, balanced with the allowance for simplified assumptions. These changes would improve compliance and significantly increase the effectiveness of the sizing measures already adopted. Additionally, gathering more evidence that load calculations are not routinely performed, despite the existing requirement, would provide stronger justification for this measure.

It is expected that the specification of the weather data used for load calculations will be updated in the 2028 cycle, aligning with the expected updates to the weather data used for modeling and compliance.

### **2.3.2 Supplementary Heating**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed a measure which implements mandatory requirements for supplementary heating controls. However, the adopted language differs slightly from the proposal outlined in the Final CASE Report. The original proposal suggested that the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer provide installers with clear and accessible instructions for configuring and verifying supplementary heating lockout controls, following a procedure documented in the Residential Appendices. This recommendation was not adopted as written. Instead, the adopted language requires installers to certify the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) to confirm that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the specified procedure. Additionally, the proposed language in the Final CASE Report, which included detailed verification instructions in the Residential Appendix, was omitted from the adopted code language.

The Final CASE Report included an exception permitting supplementary heat operation during defrost or when the user selects emergency operation. In the adopted language, the use of supplemental heat during these conditions was reclassified from an exception to an allowed feature in the main text of the requirement. This editorial change does not change the stringency of the original proposal.

Future code cycles are expected to address the issue of proper control configuration for supplementary heating. Research to identify how these are typically configured will help support any changes. If findings align with expectations, it may be necessary to reconsider requiring manufacturers to assist in configuring these controls.

### **2.3.3 Defrost**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed making defrost-delay timers a mandatory measure. However, one detail related to installation and verification was modified during adoption. The Final CASE Report recommended that the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer provide the installer with instructions on the proper configuration and verification of the defrost delay controls and that an ECC rater verify the appropriate setting according to the procedures in the Residential Appendix. In contrast, the adopted language requires the installer to certify that the control configuration has been tested and documented in the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) using the CF2R test procedure. Additionally, the adopted code language does not include detailed verification procedures proposed in the Final CASE Report Residential Appendix.

Similar to the requirements for supplementary heating configuration, future code cycles are expected to address the proper configuration of defrost controls. Research into current practices will serve as the foundation for justifying necessary changes. If findings confirm, as anticipated, that installers lack the expertise to configure these controls, the requirement for manufacturers to provide guidance may be revisited. Furthermore, advanced defrost methods will be evaluated to determine whether they should be mandated or encouraged through Title 24, Part 6.

### **2.3.4 Crankcase Heating**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed the crankcase heating (CCH) measure as a prescriptive requirement for heat pumps and air conditioners in all climate zones and all multifamily homes except those in Climate Zone 1. This measure was not adopted.

Proposed AHRI 210/240 specification changes for testing HVAC system efficiency will partially address crankcase heating. However, they may not fully resolve the extreme inefficiencies that can occur under certain conditions; therefore, the CEC should reevaluate this measure in future Title 24, Part 6 enhancements.

### **2.3.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed changes to the refrigerant charge verification measure as a prescriptive requirement, including developing detailed criteria for remote verification. However, the CEC determined that existing protocols implicitly allow for remote verification. Consequently, the section of Residential Appendix 3.2.3 outlining this method was excluded from the adopted measures.

Remote verification can be revisited in future Title 24, Part 6 updates. Collaboration with third-party verification providers can help determine whether remote verification is currently in use and, if not, identify barriers to its implementation. In the interim, these efforts inform the development of guidelines to ensure that remote verification is as effective as in-person verification.

### **2.3.6 Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems**

The Statewide CASE Team proposed making variable capacity and zonally controlled systems mandatory. However, the CEC did not adopt certain aspects of the Final CASE Report's original measure. Specifically, the exception allowing one compressor to serve multiple air handlers—provided the combined air handler airflow meets or exceeds the requirement of 350 cfm/ton—was not adopted. Furthermore, the language in the Residential Appendix detailing verification criteria for this measure was omitted.

As the saturation of multi-headed mini-split systems is expected to increase, future updates to Title 24, Part 6, should reconsider this requirement.

### 3. Statewide Energy Impacts of Adopted Requirements

Table 22 presents the estimated energy savings from the adopted requirements over the first twelve months of enforcement. No energy savings are associated with the variable capacity and zonally controlled systems measure.

Following the publication of the Final CASE Report, the savings attributed to the design measure in Alterations were reduced. The CEC opted to eliminate the requirement to submit load calculations, assuming this change would make contractors less likely to perform these calculations despite the unchanged obligation to complete them. Without load calculations, the sizing measures are expected to be much less effective.

After discussion with contractors and other subject matter experts, the following assumptions were made:

- Fraction of the projects on which a load calculation will be done without the proposed changes to the 2022 requirements [X] = 20%
- Fraction of the time that a system will be well sized even without a load calculation: [Y] = 50%
- Realization Rate  $[(X * 1) + ((1-X) * Y)] = 60\%$

Therefore, savings were reduced to 60% of the original 56.73 GWh/yr First-Year Electricity Savings.

**Table 22: Estimated Statewide First-Year<sup>a</sup> Energy and Water Savings**

Measure	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh/yr)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Water Savings (million gallons/yr)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (million therms/yr)
<b>Design (Total)</b>	34.02	0.2	N/A	N/A
New Construction & Additions	0.02	0.01	N/A	N/A
Alterations	34.00	0.17	N/A	N/A
<b>Supplementary Heating (Total)</b>	12.8	2.8	N/A	N/A
New Construction & Additions	6.64	1.41	N/A	N/A
Alterations	6.13	1.35	N/A	N/A
<b>Defrost (Total)</b>	10.0	3.6	N/A	N/A
New Construction & Additions	3.42	1.18	N/A	N/A
Alterations	6.63	2.38	N/A	N/A

<b>Refrigerant Charge Verification (Total)</b>	3.8	0.8	N/A	N/A
New Construction & Additions	0.67	0.19	N/A	N/A
Alterations	3.12	0.61	N/A	N/A

- a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2025.

## 4. Compliance and Enforcement

The adopted code language requires additional testing by the installer, differing from the proposal outlined in Section 3.5 of the Final CASE Report: Compliance and Enforcement. This section is duplicated in Attachment 2 of this report.

## 5. Interactions with Existing Regulations

### 5.1 Relationship to Other Title 24 Requirements

Under the adopted code language, certain changes in the Final CASE Report are no longer relevant. The only applicable amendment to existing regulations is outlined in the California Green Code, Title 24, Part 11, Section 4.507.2, which specifies that heating and air conditioning systems shall be sized, designed, and their equipment selected using ACCA Manuals J, D, and S. The CEC should consider aligning the requirements in Title 24, Part 11 with those proposed here for Title 24, Part 6.

No other changes based on adopted code language. See Section 3.4: Regulatory Context found in Attachment 1: Public Comments Submitted by the Statewide CASE Team

Attachment 1 presents comments that the Statewide CASE Team submitted to the CEC's docket that are relevant to this measure.

- Harris & Sloan Consulting Engineers, Inc. 2024. "Harris & Sloan comment on 2025 Energy Code Pre-Rulemaking Express Terms." Docket #24-BSTD-01 TN#256315. 5/13/2024.  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>
- Luke Morton, Gina Rodda, Brian Selby, Nick Brown. 2024. "Comments on HVAC Load calcs, EER2 in PV, and Ceiling Insulation trigger in Replacement Duct

Systems." Docket #24-BSTD-01. TN#256292. 5/10/2024.

<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>

Attachment 2: Final CASE Report.

## 5.2 Relationship to State or Federal Laws or Industry Standards

No changes to state or federal laws or other industry standards were made based on the adopted code language. See Section 3.4: Regulatory Context in Attachment 1: Public Comments Submitted by the Statewide CASE Team

Attachment 1 presents comments that the Statewide CASE Team submitted to the CEC's docket that are relevant to this measure.

- Harris & Sloan Consulting Engineers, Inc. 2024. "Harris & Sloan comment on 2025 Energy Code Pre-Rulemaking Express Terms." Docket #24-BSTD-01 TN#256315. 5/13/2024.  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>
- Luke Morton, Gina Rodda, Brian Selby, Nick Brown. 2024. "Comments on HVAC Load calcs, EER2 in PV, and Ceiling Insulation trigger in Replacement Duct Systems." Docket #24-BSTD-01. TN#256292. 5/10/2024.  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>

Attachment 2: Final CASE Report.

## 6. Evolution of Code Requirements

---

The Statewide CASE Team submitted the Final CASE Report to the CEC in November 2023. The Final CASE Report addresses input received during the utility-sponsored stakeholder meeting on January 24, 2023, the Stakeholder Focus Groups on May 15 and May 26, 2023, and the CEC's pre-rulemaking workshop on August 23, 2023. This section describes how the code change proposal evolved from the submission of the Final CASE Report to the CEC and the adoption of the standards.

## 6.1 Design

- A requirement to submit a report on load calculations with the Certificate of Compliance was removed. This report would have detailed report from load calculation software, or documentation of inputs, outputs, and algorithms used for custom calculations. The removal was based on the CEC's belief that the obligation to perform the calculations was sufficient. The Statewide CASE Team firmly opposed this decision.

Although Title 24, Part 6, and 11 require load calculations, they are not mandated to be submitted; therefore, they are often not reviewed or verified by jurisdictions or completed. Explicitly requiring the submission of load calculations is essential to achieving full savings for these measures. Participants raised this issue during the Commissioner's Workshop and asked, "how [these] sizing requirements [will] be assessed if [they] don't have the load calc report?" Load calculations are critical to ensure energy savings for the design measures proposed by the Statewide CASE Team. However, the savings expected from this measure had to be reduced due to the removal of this reporting requirement.

Various alternatives can be implemented using software and forms during the post-rulemaking state. Installers could be required to report on load values derived from load calculations. Alternatively, they might be required to enter key information into a form, such as design temperatures, the number of zones modeled, and heating and cooling loads for walls, floors, ceilings, windows, infiltration, ducts, internal, occupants, sensible and latent loads. These values would need to add up to the total loads reported. Another option could be to allow installers to attach the load calculation report.

- The CEC eliminated a requirement in the Express Terms that required plans submitted to the enforcement agency include a schematic duct layout diagram, equipment specifications for air handlers, details about the supply register, and return grill and filter information. The CEC considered this requirement to be too burdensome. The Statewide CASE Team opposed this removal, emphasizing that proper duct design is critical for occupant comfort and acceptability, particularly for heat pumps.
- The CEC eliminated the requirement to provide system design information in a folder or clipboard magnetically or otherwise mechanically attached to the indoor air-handling unit. This information included original equipment manufacturer (OEM) installation and service manuals; a Manual D or other duct and diffuser drawing and/or plans; all ECC compliance and verification paperwork; any commissioning and/or air balancing information; and installing contactor contact information. The CEC removed these requirements from the Express Terms,

considering them burdensome. However, the Statewide CASE Team opposed this decision, arguing that documenting design is a precursor to ongoing system performance.

- The CEC removed from the Express Terms the requirement that climate data used for load calculations, provided in Joint Appendix JA2, be updated from 1984 to 2021 ASHRAE data. The CEC plans to update all weather data used in code development and compliance during upcoming code cycles and will address this requirement at that time.
- The Statewide CASE Team recommended changing the minimum outdoor design temperature for heating from the Winter Median of Extremes value to the 99.0% Heating Dry Bulb value. However, the CEC modified the requirement in the Express Terms to allow either value to serve as the minimum. The CEC felt that two options would be more beneficial. The Statewide CASE Team opposed this change as it adds unnecessary complexity to an already confusing requirement.
- The CEC removed in the Express Terms the allowance for simplifying assumptions, as defined in the proposed language, for small additions and like-for-like replacements. The CEC reasoned that such assumptions were not categorically prohibited. The Statewide CASE Team opposed this decision, emphasizing the need for clear guidelines to define acceptable practices.
- The CEC removed a requirement in the Express Terms for installers to disclose that infiltration affects load calculations, that the home's infiltration was not measured, and that this could lead to suboptimal equipment sizing. The disclosure also advised that additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort. The CEC determined that requiring such disclosures falls outside of the scope of Title 24, Part 6.
- The CEC removed a note in the Express Terms that clarified the requirement for load calculations, even when the new equipment is of the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the system being replaced. The CEC determined that this clarification was not unnecessary. The Statewide CASE Team opposed this removal, stating the importance of clear guidelines to define acceptable practices.
- Per recommendation from the Compliance Improvement team, the Statewide CASE Team recommended adding after Exception 6 to Section 150.2(a): "Note: When an addition is served by an existing HVAC system, Load Calculations per Section 150.0(h)1 shall include the entire area served by the HVAC system." The CEC did not adopt this recommendation, but the Statewide CASE Team still endorses it.

## 6.2 Defrost

- The CEC removed the requirement in the Express Terms mandating that either the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer provide installers with clear and easily accessible instructions for properly configuring the defrost delay timer setting. The CEC felt that the requirement was difficult to enforce. The Statewide CASE Team acknowledged this challenge but emphasized the need for future mechanisms to ensure installers can configure these control settings.
- The CEC eliminated the requirement for an ECC Rater to verify the proper configuration of the defrost delay timer setting, as outlined in a Residential Appendix (draft language provided). The CEC introduced a requirement for installers to certify on the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) that the control configuration has been tested according to the specified procedures. This change, implemented in the Express Terms, aims to avoid the need for additional ECC verifications.

## 6.3 Supplementary Heating

- The CEC removed a requirement in the Express Terms mandating that thermostat or heat pump manufacturers provide the installers with clear and readily accessible instructions for configuring and verifying supplementary heating controls because it was difficult to enforce. The Statewide CASE Team acknowledged this challenge but emphasized the need for future mechanisms to ensure installers can configure these control settings.
- The CEC removed a requirement for an ECC Rater to verify the presence and proper configuration of controls that lockout supplementary heating according to procedures in a Residential Appendix (draft language provided). The CEC introduced a requirement for installers to certify on the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) that the control configuration has been tested according to the specified procedures. The CEC implemented this change in the Express Terms to minimize the need for additional ECC verifications.
- The CEC made the following changes to capacity requirements in the Express terms:
  1. **Removed the previous requirement:** Capacity was limited to the maximum of: a) the difference between the heat heating capacity and the heating design load, or b) 2.7 kw per nominal ton.
  2. **Introduced a new requirement:** Capacity is now limited to 2.7 kw per nominal ton, rounded up to the nearest kW.
  3. **Rationale for the change:** The CEC concluded that with properly sized systems, as ensured by new sizing requirements, the (a) clause would never be invoked.

- The Statewide CASE Team new requirements for thermostats controlling heat pumps with electric or gas supplementary heat in response to stakeholder comments:
  1. **Outdoor Air Temperature Sensing:** Thermostats must receive outdoor air temperature data from either an outdoor air temperature sensor or an internet weather service.
  2. **Temperature Display:** Thermostats must display the outdoor air temperature when supplementary heat or emergency heat is in use.
  3. **Usage Indicator:** Thermostats must have an indicator to notify when supplementary or emergency heat is in use.
  4. **Supplementary Heat Lockout:** Thermostats must lock out supplementary heat when the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F, either independently or in conjunction with the heat pump.

These features shall be tested by the installer and certified on the CF2R.

## 6.4 Capacity Variation with Third-Party Thermostats

- The CEC removed requirements in the Express Terms mandating that thermostat or space conditioning equipment manufacturers provide installers with clear and easily accessible instructions for the proper configuration of variable or multi-speed controls. The CEC determined that the requirement was difficult to enforce. The Statewide CASE Team acknowledged this challenge but emphasized the need for future mechanisms to ensure installers can configure these control settings.
- A requirement was introduced mandating that installers certify on the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) that the control configuration has been tested according to the specified procedure.

## 6.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification

- The CEC eliminated the proposed language in the Express Terms that outlined a new Remote Verification of Weigh-In Charging Procedure. The procedure detailed the documentation and evidence that installers would remotely convey to the ECC Rater, as well as the process for submission and ECC review. The CEC reasoned that remote verification was already permitted and did not require further clarification. The Statewide CASE Team opposed this decision, arguing that explicit guidelines were essential for clarity and proper implementation rather than leaving the process open to installer interpretation.

## 6.6 Variable Speed / Zoned Systems

- The CEC removed a proposed exception in the Express Terms that allowed zonally controlled forced-air heat pump systems with a single compressor serving multiple air handlers, such as multi-head mini splits, to demonstrate compliance with the airflow and fan efficacy requirements by using the combined sum of airflows and Watt/cfm across all air handlers.

## 6.7 Crankcase Heating

A prescriptive requirement was removed stating that all air conditioners and heat pumps should be controlled in accordance with one of the following methods:

- Controlled by an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat compliant with Section 110.12(a) and the building complying with solar-ready requirements of Section 110.10(b)1A without using Exception 5.
- The installer possesses manufacturer-provided documentation confirming one of the following regarding crankcase heating:
  1. The system does not heat the crankcase by any means.
  2. Crankcase heating power input is controlled with a turn-on temperature of no higher than 71°F, and heating is disabled when the compressor operates.
  3. Crankcase heating power is controlled based on a temperature differential between the crankcase and the evaporator or condenser, and heating is disabled when the compressor operates.
  4. Crankcase heating uses self-regulating or other controls and is disabled when the compressor operates.

The Statewide CASE Team's analysis, as presented in the Final CASE Report, demonstrates that this measure is cost-effective in both new construction and alterations of single family prototypes in all climate zones; for multifamily prototypes, the measure proved cost-effective in all climate zones except Climate Zone 1.

However, the CEC eliminated this requirement in the Express Terms, citing concerns about preemption, anticipated changes to AHRI 210/240, and related United States (U.S.) Department of Energy (DOE) ratings that could address CCH differently, insufficient evidence quantifying current energy waste, and challenges with enforcement. The Statewide CASE Team objected to this removal, arguing that current DOE efficiency standards explicitly exclude the CCH performance when the compressor is on and cautioned that upcoming changes may not resolve California's concerns and will not be implemented before the next code cycle. The Statewide CASE Team also cited field studies revealing excessive CCH energy use in some cases and proposed updated compliance mechanisms.

## 7. Adopted Code Language

---

The adopted code language for the standards and Reference Appendices are presented in the following sections. Additions to the 2025 Title 24, Part 6 code language are underlined and deletions are ~~struck~~.

### 7.1 California Energy Code, Title 24, Part 6

#### 7.1.1 SECTION 110.2 – MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR SPACE-CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT

##### (b) Controls for heat pumps with supplementary electric resistance heaters.

Controls requirements for heat pumps with supplementary heaters in single-family residential buildings are specified in Section 150.0(h)7 and Section 150.0(i)2. Heat pumps with supplementary ~~electric resistance~~ heaters in nonresidential and multifamily buildings shall have controls:

1. That prevent supplementary heater operation when the heating load can be met by the heat pump alone; and
2. In which the cut-on temperature for ~~compression~~ heat pump heating is higher than the cut-on temperature for supplementary heating, and the cut-off temperature for ~~heat pump~~ compression heating is higher than the cut-off temperature for supplementary heating.

**Exception 1 to Section 110.2(b):** The controls may allow supplementary heater operation during:

- A. Defrost; and
- B. Transient periods such as start-ups and following room thermostat setpoint advance, if the controls provide preferential rate control, intelligent recovery, staging, ramping or another control mechanism designed to preclude the unnecessary operation of supplementary heating.

**Exception 2 to Section 110.2(b):** Room air-conditioner heat pumps.

#### 7.1.2 SECTION 150.0 – MANDATORY FEATURES AND DEVICES

##### (h) Space-conditioning equipment.

1. **Building cooling and heating loads.** Building heating and cooling loads shall be determined using a method based on any one of the following:

- A. The ASHRAE Handbook, Equipment Volume, Applications Volume and Fundamentals Volume; or
  - B. The SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Standards Manual;
- or
- C. The ACCA Manual J.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(h)1:** Block loads, the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment, may be used for the purpose of system sizing for additions.

~~The cooling and heating loads are two of the criteria that shall be used for equipment sizing and selection.~~

~~**Note:** Heating systems are required to have a minimum heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC. The furnace output capacity and other specifications are published in the Commission’s directory of certified equipment or other directories approved by the Commission.~~

2. **Design conditions.** Design conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following:

- A. For the purpose of sizing the space- conditioning (HVAC) system, the indoor design temperatures shall be 68°F for heating and 75°F for cooling.
- B. Outdoor design conditions shall be selected from one of the following:

- i. Reference Joint Appendix JA2, which is based on data from the ASHRAE Climatic Data for Region X; or
- ii. The ASHRAE Handbook, Fundamentals Volume; or
- iii. The ACCA Manual J.

- C. The outdoor design temperatures for heating shall be no lower than the 99.0 percent Heating Dry Bulb or the Heating Winter Median of Extremes values.
- D. The outdoor design temperatures for cooling shall be no greater than the 1.0 percent Cooling Dry Bulb and Mean Coincident Wet Bulb values.

5. **System Selection.**

- A. Equipment sizing and selection shall meet the cooling and heating loads of Section 150.0(h)1 and 2.

- B. Systems shall be sized based on ACCA Manual S-2023 in accordance with these requirements:
- i. **Cooling Capacity:** There is no limit on the minimum capacity.
  - ii. **Furnaces:** Heating capacity shall be sized based on ACCA Manual S-2023, Table N2.5.
  - iii. **Heat Pump Heating Capacity:**
    - a. **Minimum:** Heating systems are required to have a heating capacity meeting the minimum requirements of the CBC not including any supplementary heating.
    - b. **Maximum:** There is no limit on the maximum heating capacity.

6. **Defrost.**

- A. If a heat pump is equipped with an installer adjustable defrost delay timer, the delay timer shall be set to greater than or equal to 90 minutes.
- B. The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation (CF2R) that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the testing procedure in the CF2R.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(h)6.** Dwelling units in Climate Zones 6 and 7.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(h)6.** Dwelling units with a conditioned floor area of 500 square feet or less in Climate Zones 3, 5 through 10, and 15.

7. **Supplementary heating control configuration.** Heat pumps with supplementary heat, including, but not limited to, electric resistance heaters or gas furnace supplementary heating, shall comply with the following requirements:

- A. Lock out supplementary heating above an outdoor air temperature of no greater than 35°F. There are additional thermostat requirements in section 150.0(i)2.
- B. The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the testing procedure found in the CF2R.
- C. The controls may allow supplementary heater operation above 35°F only during defrost; or when the user selects emergency operation.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(h)7:** For buildings with a conditioned floor area less than 500 square feet, and for buildings of any size in climate zones 7 and

15, heat pumps with supplementary heaters shall have controls that meet i or ii below:

i. Option A:

1. That prevent supplementary heater operation when the heating load can be met by the heat pump alone; and

2. In which the cut-on temperature for heat pump heating is higher than the cuton temperature for supplementary heating, and the cut-off temperature for heat pump heating is higher than the cut-off temperature for supplementary heating.

ii. Option B: The controls may allow supplementary heater operation during defrost mode and transient periods, such as start-ups and following a room thermostat setpoint advance, if the controls prevent the unnecessary operation of supplementary heating.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(h)7: Room air-conditioner heat pumps.**

8. **Sizing of electric resistance supplementary heat.** Where heat pumps have electric resistance heat, the capacity of electric resistance heat shall not exceed the heat pump nominal cooling capacity (at 95°F ambient conditions) multiplied by 2.7 kW per ton, rounded up to the closest kW.

9. **Capacity variation with third-party thermostats.** Variable or multi-speed systems shall comply with the following requirements:

A. The space conditioning system and thermostat together shall be capable of responding to heating and cooling loads by modulating system compressor speed, and meet thermostat requirements in section 150.0(i)2.

B. The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the testing procedure found in the CF2R.

(i) **Thermostats.**

1. **Setback thermostats.** All heating or cooling systems, including heat pumps, not controlled by a central energy management control system (EMCS) shall have a setback thermostat, as specified in Section 110.2(c).

2. **Thermostats that are applied to heat pumps with supplemental heating.** In addition to the requirements in Section 150.0(i)1, thermostats controlling heat pumps with electric resistance supplementary heat or gas furnace supplementary heat shall comply with the following requirements:

- A. The thermostat shall receive outdoor air temperature from an outdoor air temperature sensor or from an internet weather service.
- B. The thermostat shall display the outdoor air temperature.
- C. As described in 150.0(h)7, the thermostat and heat pump shall lock out supplementary heat when the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F.
- D. The thermostat shall have an indicator to notify when supplementary heat or emergency heat is in use.
- E. During defrost or when the user selects emergency heating, supplementary heat operation is permitted above 35°F.
- F. The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the system has been tested in accordance with the testing procedure found in the CF2R.

**Exception to Section 150.0(i)2A, B, and C:** Where supplementary heat is locked out above 35°F by another control device in accordance with Section 150.0(h)7.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(i)2:** Systems compliant with Exception 1 to Section 150.0(h)7.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(i)2:** Room air-conditioner heat pumps.

**(m) Air-distribution and ventilation system ducts, plenums, and fans.**

**1. CMC compliance.**

A. All air-distribution system ducts and plenums, including but not limited to, mechanical closets and air-handler boxes, shall meet the requirements of the CMC Sections 601.0, 602.0, 603.0, 604.0, 605.0 and ANSI/SMACNA-006-2006 HVAC Duct Construction Standards Metal and Flexible, 3rd Edition, incorporated herein by reference.

B. Portions of supply-air and return-air ducts and plenums of a space heating or cooling system shall be insulated in accordance with either Subsection i or ii below:

- i. Ducts shall have a minimum installed level of R-6.0, or

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(m)1Bi:** Portions of the duct system located in conditioned space below the ceiling separating the occupiable space from the attic are not required to be insulated if all of the following conditions are met:

- a. The noninsulated portion of the duct system is located entirely inside the building's thermal envelope as confirmed by visual inspection.
- b. At all locations where noninsulated portions of the duct system penetrate into unconditioned space, the penetration shall be draft stopped compliant with CFC Sections 703.1 and 704.1 and air-sealed to the construction materials that are penetrated, using materials compliant with CMC Section E502.4.2 to prevent air infiltration into the cavity. All connections in unconditioned space are insulated to a minimum of R-6.0 as confirmed by visual inspection.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(m)1Bi:** Ducts located in an unvented attic shall have a minimum insulation value of R-4.2, verified by visual inspection when:

- a. The attic has at least R-30 insulation between the roof rafters in contact with the roof deck,
  - b. The gable ends meet the wall insulation requirements of Section 150.1(c)1B, and
  - c. The dwelling unit achieves a whole building leakage rate of 3.0 ACH50 or less, as confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8.
- ii. Ducts do not require insulation when the duct system is located entirely within conditioned space, as confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the requirements of Reference Residential Appendix RA3.1.4.3.8. For dwelling units with attics, the duct system shall be located below the ceiling separating the occupiable space from the attic.

[...]

13. **Space conditioning system airflow rate and fan efficacy.** Space conditioning systems that utilize forced air ducts to supply cooling to an occupiable space shall:

[...]

- C. **Zonally controlled central forced air systems.** Zonally controlled central forced air cooling systems shall be capable of simultaneously delivering, in every zonal control mode, an airflow from the dwelling, through the air handler

fan and delivered to the dwelling, of greater than or equal to 350 cfm per ton of nominal cooling capacity, and operating at an air-handling unit fan efficacy of less than or equal to the maximum W/CFM specified in Subsections i or ii below. The airflow rate and fan efficacy requirements in this section shall be confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the applicable procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.3.

- i. 0.45 W/CFM for gas furnace air-handling units.
- ii. 0.58 W/CFM for air-handling units that are not gas furnaces.

[...]

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(m)13C:** Multispeed or variable speed compressor systems, ~~or single speed compressor systems that utilize the performance compliance approach,~~ shall with controls that vary fan speed subject to the number of zones, as certified by the installer may demonstrate compliance with the airflow (cfm/ton) and fan efficacy (Watt/cfm) requirements of Section 150.0(m)13C by operating the system at maximum compressor capacity and system fan speed with all zones calling for conditioning, ~~rather than in every zonal control mode.~~

### 7.1.3 SECTION 150.1 – PERFORMANCE AND PRESCRIPTIVE COMPLIANCE APPROACHES FOR SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

Section 150.1(c):

- 6. **Heating system type.** Heating system types shall be installed as required in Table 150.1-A. ~~For climate zones 3, 4, 13 and 14, t~~The space conditioning system shall be a heat pump, or shall meet the performance compliance requirements of Section 150.1(b)1.
- 7. Space heating and space cooling. All space heating and space cooling equipment shall comply with minimum Appliance Efficiency Regulations as specified in Sections 110.0 through 110.2 and meet all applicable requirements of Sections 150.0 and 150.1(c)7A.
  - A. **Refrigerant charge.** When refrigerant charge verification ~~or fault indicator display~~ is shown as required by Table 150.1-A, the system shall comply with either Section 150.1(c)7Ai or 150.1(c)7Aii:
    - i. air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted packaged systems, small duct high velocity systems, and mini-split systems, shall comply with

subsections a, b, and c, unless the system is of a type that cannot be verified using the specified procedures:

- a. Have measurement access holes (MAH) installed according to the specifications in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2.3; and

**Exception to Section 150.1(c)7Aia:** Systems that cannot conform to the specifications for hole location in Reference Residential Appendix Figure RA3.2-1, shall not be required to provide holes as described in Figure RA3.2-1.

- b. System airflow rate in accordance with subsection I or II shall be confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with all applicable procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3. 3 or an approved alternative procedure as specified by Section RA1; and
  - I. For small duct high velocity systems the system airflow rate shall be greater than or equal to 250 cfm per ton; or
  - II. For all other air-cooled air conditioner or air-source heat pump systems the system airflow rate shall be greater than or equal to 350 cfm per ton.

**Exception to Section 150.1(c)7Aib:** Standard ducted systems without zoning dampers may comply with the minimum airflow rate by meeting the applicable requirements in Table-150.0-B or 150.0-C as confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.1.4.4 and RA3.1.4.5. The design clean-filter pressure drop requirements of Section 150.0(m)12D for the system air filter device(s) shall conform to the requirements given in Tables 150.0-B and 150.0-C.

- c. The installer shall charge the system according to manufacturer's specifications. Refrigerant charge shall be verified according to one of the following options, as applicable:
  - I. The installer and rater shall perform the standard charge procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2, or an approved alternative procedure as specified by Section RA1; or
  - II. ~~The system shall be equipped with a fault indicator display (FID) device that meets the specifications of Reference Joint~~

~~Appendix JA6. The installer shall verify the refrigerant charge and FID device in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.4.2. The HERS Rater shall verify FID device in accordance with the procedures in Section RA3.4.2; or~~

- III. The installer shall perform the weigh-in charging procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the Section RA3.2.2 standard charge verification procedure and Section RA3.3 airflow rate verification procedure or approved alternatives in Section RA1. The ~~HERS~~ ECC-Rater shall verify the charge using Sections RA3.2.2 and RA3.3 or approved alternatives in Section RA1.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.1(c)7Aic:** When the outdoor temperature is less than 55°F and the installer utilizes the weigh-in charging procedure in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 to verify the refrigerant charge, the installer may elect to utilize the ~~HERS Rater~~ verification procedure in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.2. If the ~~HERS Rater~~ verification procedure in Section RA3.2.3.2 is used for compliance, the system's thermostat shall conform to the specifications in Section 110.12. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.1(c)7Aib.

- ii. Air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted packaged systems, small duct high velocity systems and mini-split systems, which are of a type that cannot ~~comply~~ be verified using the specified procedures, with the requirements of Section 150.1(c)7Ai shall comply with Subsections a and b, as applicable.
  - a. The installer shall confirm the refrigerant charge using the weigh-in charging procedure specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1, as verified by an ~~HERS~~ ECC-Rater according to the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.2; and
  - b. Systems that utilize forced air ducts shall comply with the minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.1(c)7Aib provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedures in

Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative procedure in Section RA1.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.1(c)7A:** Packaged systems for which the manufacturer has verified correct system refrigerant charge prior to shipment from the factory are not required to have refrigerant charge confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing. The installer of these packaged systems shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the packaged system was pre-charged at the factory and has not been altered in a way that would affect the charge. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirements in Section 150.1(c)7Aib, provided that the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedure specified in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative in Section RA1.

#### **7.1.4 SECTION 150.2 – ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS FOR ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS TO EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS**

Section 150.2(a):

**E. Space-Conditioning Load Calculations and System Capacity:**

- i. Minimum capacity limits and supplemental heating requirements are as described in Section 150.0(h).
- ii. The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating design load (HL) and cooling design load (CL), the type of space conditioning system, and the duct sizing.
  - a. In situations where airflow is field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
  - b. In situations where airflow is NOT field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 150.2-A for heating and Table 150.2-B for cooling.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.2(a)1Eii:** Ductless space-conditioning systems.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.2(a)1Eii:** For variable-speed and multi-speed systems, if the maximum system capacity specified in Tables 150.2-A or 150.2-B falls between two available system capacities for a space-conditioning system, the larger of the two available capacities may be selected.

- iii. For additions, the envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 150.2-C.

**Exception to Section 150.2(a)1Eiii:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

**TABLE 150.2-A MAXIMUM HEATING CAPACITY**

<b><u>System Type</u></b>	<b><u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heating Only Systems</u></b>	<b><u>Heat Pump Maximum Heating Capacity when HL is greater than CL</u></b>	<b><u>Heat Pump Maximum Heating Capacity when CL is greater than HL by less than 12 kBtuh</u></b>	<b><u>Heat Pump Maximum Heating Capacity when CL is greater than HL by 12 kBtuh or more</u></b>
<u>Single Speed System Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>

**TABLE 150.2-B MAXIMUM COOLING CAPACITY**

<b><u>System Type</u></b>	<b><u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Cooling Only Systems</u></b>	<b><u>Heat Pump Maximum Cooling Capacity when HL is greater than CL</u></b>	<b><u>Heat Pump Maximum Cooling Capacity when CL is greater than HL by less than 12 kBtuh</u></b>	<b><u>Heat Pump Maximum Cooling Capacity when CL is greater than HL by 12 kBtuh or more</u></b>
<u>Single Speed System Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>No Maximum</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>

<u>Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>				
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Footnote for Table 150.2-A and Table 150.2-B:

HL and CL refer to the design heating load and design cooling load respectively

**TABLE 150.2-C MAXIMUM INFILTRATION AIR CHANGES PER HOUR FOR LOAD CALCULATIONS**

<u>Floor Area of Conditioned Space</u>	<u>Single Story Heating</u>	<u>Single Story Cooling</u>	<u>Two Story Heating</u>	<u>Two Story Cooling</u>	<u>Townhouse or Condominium Heating</u>	<u>Townhouse or Condominium Cooling</u>
<u>ACH for ≤900 sqft</u>	<u>0.61</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.79</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>0.69</u>	<u>0.36</u>
<u>ACH for 901- 1500 sqft</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>0.80</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>0.27</u>
<u>ACH for 1501-2000 sqft</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.20</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>0.26</u>	<u>0.43</u>	<u>0.23</u>
<u>ACH for 2001-3000 sqft</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>0.19</u>
<u>ACH for ≥3001 sqft</u>	<u>0.28</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.37</u>	<u>0.19</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.17</u>
<u>CFM for one Fireplace</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>

Section 150.2(a)2D

- D. Space-Conditioning Load Calculations and System Capacity:** Additions to existing buildings shall comply with all applicable requirements specified in 150.2(a)1E.

Section 150.2(b)1F

F. **Altered space-conditioning system - mechanical cooling.** When a space-conditioning system is an air conditioner or heat pump that is altered by the installation or replacement of refrigerant-containing system components such as the compressor, condensing coil, evaporator coil, refrigerant metering device or refrigerant piping, the altered system shall comply with the following requirements:

- i. All thermostats associated with the system shall be replaced with setback thermostats meeting the requirements of Section 110.2(c).
- ii. Air-cooled air conditioners ~~in Climate Zones 2, and 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and through 15, air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps~~ in all climate zones, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted package systems, small duct high velocity air systems, and minisplit systems, shall comply with Subsections a and b, unless the system is of a type that cannot be verified using the specified procedures. Systems that cannot comply with the requirements of 150.2(b)1Fii shall comply with Section 150.2(b)1Fiii.

**Exception to Section 150.2(b)1Fii:** Entirely new or complete replacement packaged systems for which the manufacturer has verified correct system refrigerant charge prior to shipment from the factory are not required to have refrigerant charge confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing. The installer of these packaged systems shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the packaged system was pre-charged at the factory and has not been altered in a way that would affect the charge. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.2(b)1Fii, provided that the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedure specified in RA3.3 or an approved alternative in RA1.

- a. Minimum system airflow rate shall comply with the applicable Subsection I or II below as confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative procedure as specified in Section RA1.
  - I. Small duct high velocity systems shall demonstrate a minimum system airflow rate greater than or equal to 250 cfm per ton of nominal cooling capacity; or
  - II. All other air-cooled air conditioner or air-source heat pump systems shall demonstrate a minimum system airflow rate

greater than or equal to 300 cfm per ton of nominal cooling capacity; and

**Exception 1 to Section 150.2(b)1Fii:** Systems unable to comply with the minimum airflow rate requirement shall demonstrate compliance using the procedures in Section RA3.3.3.1.5; and the system's thermostat shall conform to the specifications in Section 110.12.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.2(b)1Fii:** Entirely new or complete replacement space conditioning systems, as specified by Section 150.2(b)1C, without zoning dampers may comply with the minimum airflow rate by meeting the applicable requirements in Tables-150.0-B or 150.0-C as confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.1.4.4 and RA3.1.4.5. The design clean-filter pressure drop requirements of Section 150.0(m)12C for the system air filter device(s) shall conform to the requirements given in Tables150.0-B and 150.0-C.

- b. The installer shall charge the system according to manufacturer's specifications. Refrigerant charge shall be verified according to one of the following options, as applicable.
  - I. The installer and rater shall perform the standard charge verification procedure as specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2, or an approved alternative procedure as specified in Section RA1; or
  - ~~II. The system shall be equipped with a fault indicator display (FID) device that meets the specifications of Reference Joint Appendix JA6. The installer shall verify the refrigerant charge and FID device in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.4.2. The HERS Rater shall verify FID device in accordance with the procedures in Section RA3.4.2; or~~
  - III. The installer shall perform the weigh-in charging procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the RA3.2.2 standard charge verification procedure and RA3.3 airflow rate verification procedure or approved alternatives in

RA1. The ~~HERS-ECC~~-Rater shall verify the charge using RA3.2.2 and RA3.3 or approved alternatives in RA1.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.2(b)1Fiib:** When the outdoor temperature is less than 55° F and the installer utilizes the weigh-in charging procedure in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 to demonstrate compliance, the installer may elect to utilize the ~~HERS-Rater~~ verification procedure in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.2. If the ~~HERS-Rater~~ verification procedure in Section RA3.2.3.2 is used for compliance, the system's thermostat shall conform to the specifications in Section 110.12. Ducted systems shall comply with the minimum system airflow rate requirements in Section 150.2(b)1Fiia.

- iii. Air-cooled air conditioners in climate Zones 2 ~~and, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and through~~ 15, ~~air-cooled air conditioners or~~ and air-source heat pumps in all climate zones, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted package systems, small duct high velocity, and minisplit systems, which are of a type that cannot comply with the requirements of 150.2(b)1Fiib shall comply with subsections a and b, as applicable.
  - a. The installer shall confirm the refrigerant charge using the weigh-in charging procedure specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1, as verified by an ~~HERS-ECC~~-Rater according to the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.2.3.2; and
  - b. Systems that utilize forced air ducts shall comply with the minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.2(b)1Fiia provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedures in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative procedure in Section RA1.

**Exception to Section 150.2(b)1Fiii:** Entirely new or complete replacement packaged systems for which the manufacturer has verified correct system refrigerant charge prior to shipment from the factory are not required to have refrigerant charge confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing. The installer of these packaged systems shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the packaged system was pre-charged at the factory and has not been altered in a way that would affect the charge. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.2(b)1Fiib,

provided that the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedure specified in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative in Section RA1.

## 7.1.5 SECTION 160.3 – MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR SPACE CONDITIONING SYSTEMS IN MULTIFAMILY BUILDINGS

### (b) Dwelling unit space-conditioning and air distribution systems.

1. **Building cooling and heating loads.** Building heating and cooling loads shall be determined using a method based on any one of the following, using cooling and heating loads as two of the criteria for equipment sizing and selection:
  - A. The ASHRAE Handbook, Equipment Volume, Applications Volume and Fundamentals Volume; or
  - B. The SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Standards Manual;or
  - C. The ACCA Manual J.

**Exception to Section 160.3(b)1:** Block loads, the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment, may be used for the purpose of system sizing for additions.

**Note:** Heating systems are required to have a minimum heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC.

2. **Design conditions.** Design conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following:
  - A. For the purpose of sizing the space-conditioning (HVAC) system, the indoor design temperatures shall be 68°F for heating and 75°F for cooling.
  - B. Outdoor design conditions shall be selected from one of the following:
    - i. Reference Joint Appendix JA2, which is based on data from the ASHRAE Climatic Data for Region X; or
    - ii. The ASHRAE Handbook Fundamentals Volume; or
    - iv. The ACCA Manual J
  - C. The outdoor design temperatures for heating shall be no lower than the 99.0 percent Heating Dry Bulb or the Heating Winter Median of Extremes values.
  - D. The outdoor design temperatures for cooling shall be no greater than the 1.0 percent Cooling Dry Bulb and Mean Coincident Wet Bulb values.

**7. Defrost.**

- A. If a heat pump is equipped with a defrost delay timer, the delay timer must be set to greater than or equal to 90 minutes.
- B. The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the testing procedure found in the Certificate of Installation.

**Exception to 160.3(b)7.** Dwelling units in Climate Zones 1, 6 through 10, 15, and 16 shall not be required to comply with the 90 minute delay timer requirements.

**8. Capacity variation with third-party thermostats. Variable or multi-speed systems shall comply with the following requirements:**

- A. The space conditioning system and thermostat together shall be capable of responding to heating and cooling loads by modulating system compressor speed.
- B. The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the control configuration has been tested in accordance with the testing procedure found in the Certificate of Installation.

### **7.1.6 SECTION 170.2 – PRESCRIPTIVE APPROACH**

Section 170.2(c)3BiallI:

- III. The installer shall charge the system according to manufacturer’s specifications. Refrigerant charge shall be verified according to one of the following options, as applicable:
  - A. The installer and rater shall perform the standard charge procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2 or an approved alternative procedure as specified by RA1; or
  - ~~B. The system shall be equipped with a fault indicator display (FID) device that meets the specifications of Reference Joint Appendix JA6. The installer shall verify the refrigerant charge and FID device in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.4.2. The HERS Rater shall verify the FID device in accordance with the procedures in Section RA3.4.2; or~~
  - BG. The installer shall perform the weigh-in charging procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1, provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the RA3.2.2 standard charge verification procedure and RA3.3 airflow rate verification procedure or approved

alternatives in RA1. The ~~HERS-ECC~~-Rater shall verify the charge using RA3.2.2 and RA3.3 or approved alternatives in RA1.

## 7.2 Reference Appendices

### 7.2.1 Joint Appendix 6.1 Fault Indicator Display (FID)

(deleted in its entirety)

### 7.2.2 Residential Appendix 3.2.3 Weigh-In Charging Procedure

This section specifies the weigh-in charging procedure in which the weight of the required refrigerant charge is determined by using the manufacturer's specifications for a standard refrigerant charge weight and taking into account adjustment factors such as deviations in refrigerant line length and diameter. The calculated weight of refrigerant is then installed using a refrigerant scale. RA3.2.3 provides two procedures: Section RA3.2.3.1 shall be used by the HVAC installer when the weigh-in procedure is required by the Standards for compliance. Section RA3.2.3.2 shall be used by the ~~HERS-ECC~~-Rater when the Standards specify use of the procedure for compliance, or specify it as an optional procedure for compliance. The weigh-in charging procedure is an acceptable method for demonstrating compliance at any outdoor temperature, however if the weigh-in charging procedure is used, ~~HERS~~-verification of compliance cannot use group sampling.

HVAC installers shall use the weigh-in charging procedure in accordance with the space conditioning system manufacturer's specifications.

Both the HVAC installer and the ~~HERS-ECC~~-Rater shall test the system airflow as specified by Standards Sections 150.1(c)7Aib and 150.2(b)1Fiia as applicable.

#### **RA3.2.3.1 HVAC Installer – Weigh-In Charging Procedure**

Split system air conditioners and heat pumps are shipped from the factory charged with a standard amount of refrigerant as indicated on the nameplate. The manufacturer-supplied refrigerant charge is expected to be the correct amount for the system based on a standard liquid line length and diameter. It is the responsibility of the HVAC installer to ensure that the charge is correct for each air conditioner and to adjust the charge based on liquid line dimensions that deviate from the manufacturer's standard line specification.

##### **RA3.2.3.1.1 Procedure Options**

There shall be two options for compliance using the weigh-in charging procedure:

**RA3.2.3.1.1.1** *Weigh-in Charge Adjustment*

This option is applicable to a new system or existing system when a new outdoor unit is installed (with factory charge in outdoor unit). The HVAC installer shall weigh in lineset and indoor coil charge adjustment after evacuation of lineset and indoor coil. The documentation shall include the calculated charge adjustment for the lineset.

**RA3.2.3.1.1.2** *Weigh-in Total Charge*

This option is applicable to all systems. The installer shall weigh in the total system charge after refrigerant recovery and evacuation of the entire system. The total system charge includes the nameplate charge for the outdoor unit and any adjustment for the lineset dimensions and indoor coil in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The documentation shall include the nameplate charge and the calculated lineset adjustment.

**RA3.2.3.1.2 Minimum Qualifications for this Procedure**

Persons who use this procedure to demonstrate compliance with Title 24, Part 6 shall be qualified to perform the following:

- (a) Calculate the correct system charge based on the Manufacturer's standard charge and adjustments to the standard charge based on lineset dimensions and indoor coil.
- (b) Obtain accurate refrigerant charge weight.

**RA3.2.3.1.3 Instrumentation Specifications**

Instrumentation for the procedures described in this section shall conform to the following specifications:

**RA3.2.3.1.3.1** *Refrigerant Scale*

An electronic refrigerant scale having an accuracy equal to or better than  $\pm 0.5$  oz or  $\pm 0.5\%$  of the measured value shall be used.

**RA3.2.3.1.4 Calibration**

The accuracy of instrumentation shall be maintained using the following procedures. A sticker with the calibration check date shall be affixed to each instrument calibrated.

**RA3.2.3.1.4.1** *Refrigerant Scale*

Refrigerant scales shall be calibrated according to the manufacturer's recommended procedures. When the manufacturer certifies the calibration for a limited time, the refrigerant scale shall be recalibrated according to the manufacturers required procedure when the calibration period expires.

### RA3.2.3.1.5 Weigh-in Procedure

The weigh-in procedure shall be performed in accordance with all manufacturer specifications to document and confirm:

- (a) Liquid line filter drier has been installed if required per outdoor condensing unit manufacturer's instructions, and installed with the proper orientation with respect to refrigerant flow, ~~if applicable.~~
- (b) If refrigerant line connections require welding, tThe system is brazed with dry nitrogen in the lines and indoor coil.
- (c) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with vacuum, tThe system is evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rises no more than 300 microns over five minutes.
- (d) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with nitrogen gas, the system is pressurized to the manufacturer's specified pressure and if the pressure cannot be maintained, leaks shall be located and fixed.
- (e) The calculated weight adjustment for lineset length is based on the length and diameter of the lineset.
- (f) The calculated weight adjustment for coil size is based on manufacturer instructions.
- (g) The actual total weight adjustment is equal to the sum of the calculated weight adjustments for lineset and coil size.
- (h) The calculated and actual total weights of refrigerant in the system are recorded on or near the nameplate label, in indelible ink or other permanent means.
- (i) ~~The lineset correction is calculated based on the length and diameter of the lineset.~~
- (j) ~~The indoor coil correction to refrigerant weight is used if it is supplied by the manufacturer.~~
- (k) ~~The amount of charge calculated for the lineset correction (and indoor coil correction if available) is added or removed, or the total charge based on the lineset, indoor coil, and standard label charge is installed.~~

The HVAC Installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the manufacturer's specifications for these procedures have been met. This shall be verified through on-site observation using procedures in RA 3.2.3.2.

### RA3.2.3.2 HERSECC-Rater – Observation of Weigh-In Charging Procedure

When the Standards indicate this procedure is required, or is an option for compliance, the HERSECC-Rater shall coordinate with the HVAC Installer to observe the weigh-in charging procedure. HERSECC-Rater shall observe and confirm:

- (a) Either 1) or 2) below:
  - 1. Observe and confirm Vacuum and Pressurization tests:
    - i. In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with vacuum, the system is evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rises no more than 300 microns over five minutes.
    - ii. In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with nitrogen gas, the system was pressurized to the manufacturer's specified pressure and if the pressure could not be maintained, leaks were located and fixed.
  - 2. (b) No fittings (other than the fitting to the compressor) are compression or flare fittings.
- (b) The calculated weight adjustment for lineset length was based on the length and diameter of the lineset.
- (c) The calculated weight adjustment for coil size was based on manufacturer instructions.
- (d) The actual charge adjustment was equal to the sum of the calculated weight adjustments for lineset and coil size.
- (e) The calculated and actual total weights of refrigerant in the system were recorded on or near the nameplate label, in indelible ink or other permanent means.
- (f) The lineset correction is calculated based on the length and diameter of the lineset, including the liquid line filter drier if required per outdoor condensing unit manufacturer instructions.
- (g) The indoor coil correction to refrigerant weight is used if it is supplied by the manufacturer.
- (h) The installer adds or removes the amount of charge calculated for the lineset correction or installs the total charge based on lineset, indoor coil, and standard label charge.

## 7.2.3 Residential Appendix 3.4 Field Verification of Installed HVAC System Components and Devices

### RA3.4.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of these procedures is to verify that residential space cooling systems and heat pumps have the required components to achieve the energy efficiency claimed in the compliance documents. The procedures apply when a Fault Indicator Display (FID) is specified for split system equipment, or when an HSPF/HSPF2, SEER/SEER2, or EER/EER2 higher than the default is claimed. For dwelling units with multiple systems, the procedures shall be applied to each system separately.

The installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the components required for compliance have been installed.

### **RA3.4.2 RESERVED Fault Indicator Display (FID) Verification Procedure**

~~The FID verification procedure shall consist of visual inspection to confirm that the FID is installed on the system, and that the manufacturer has certified to the Energy Commission that the FID model meets the applicable requirements of Reference Joint Appendix JA6. In addition, the space conditioning system shall comply with the procedures specified in Sections RA3.4.2.1, or RA3.4.2.2, or RA3.4.2.3.~~

#### ~~RA3.4.2.1 **Verification of installation of a FID with "self diagnostic reporting" functionality when outdoor air temperature is less than 55F**~~

~~The space conditioning system installer shall use the weigh in charging procedure in Section RA3.2.3.1 to comply with refrigerant charge requirements. ECC Verification compliance for the refrigerant charge requirement shall be satisfied by visual inspection to confirm the system has a FID installed, and confirming the installed FID "self diagnostic reporting function" indicates FID sensors and internal processes are operating within the FID device's specified design parameters.~~

#### ~~RA3.4.2.2 **Verification of Installation of a FID that does not have "self diagnostic reporting" functionality when outdoor air temperature is less than 55F**~~

~~The space conditioning system installer shall use the weigh in charging procedure in Section RA3.2.3.1 to comply with the refrigerant charge requirements, and ECC verification compliance for the refrigerant charge requirement shall be delayed until a time when the outdoor air temperature is equal to or greater than 55F, at which time the procedure in RA3.4.2.3 shall be performed.~~

#### ~~**RA3.4.2.3 Verification of Installation of a FID when the outdoor air temperature is equal to or greater than 55F**~~

~~When the outdoor air temperature is warmer than 55F, the space conditioning system installer shall use either the standard charge verification procedure specified in RA3.2.2, the weigh in charging procedure specified in RA3.2.3.1, or an approved alternative~~

procedure as specified in RA1, to comply with the refrigerant charge verification requirement. ~~ECC Verification compliance for the refrigerant charge requirement shall be validation of the FID installation when the outdoor air temperature is warm enough for the installed FID to perform a valid refrigerant charge test according to the FID manufacturer specification. The ECC Rater verification shall consist of operating the air conditioner in cooling mode for at least 15 minutes and performing a visual inspection to verify the FID reports the system is operating within acceptable parameters, or otherwise reports a system fault. If the FID reports that there is a system fault, the system does not comply with the refrigerant charge verification requirement.~~

## 8. Bibliography

---

Harris & Sloan Consulting Engineers, Inc. 2024. "Harris & Sloan comment on 2025 Energy Code Pre-Rulemaking Express Terms." Docket #24-BSTD-01 TN#256315. 5/13/2024. <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>

Luke Morton, Gina Rodda, Brian Selby, Nick Brown. 2024. "Comments on HVAC Load calcs, EER2 in PV, and Ceiling Insulation trigger in Replacement Duct Systems." Docket #24-BSTD-01. TN#256292. 5/10/2024. <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>

Statewide CASE Team. 2023. "Residential HVAC Performance." [https://title24stakeholders.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Revised\\_2025\\_T24\\_Final-CASE-Report-RES-HVAC-Performance.pdf](https://title24stakeholders.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Revised_2025_T24_Final-CASE-Report-RES-HVAC-Performance.pdf)

# Attachment 1: Public Comments Submitted by the Statewide CASE Team

---

Attachment 1 presents comments that the Statewide CASE Team submitted to the CEC's docket that are relevant to this measure.

- Harris & Sloan Consulting Engineers, Inc. 2024. "Harris & Sloan comment on 2025 Energy Code Pre-Rulemaking Express Terms." Docket #24-BSTD-01 TN#256315. 5/13/2024.  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>
- Luke Morton, Gina Rodda, Brian Selby, Nick Brown. 2024. "Comments on HVAC Load calcs, EER2 in PV, and Ceiling Insulation trigger in Replacement Duct Systems." Docket #24-BSTD-01. TN#256292. 5/10/2024.  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=24-BSTD-01>

## Attachment 2: Final CASE Report

---

The final version of the Final CASE Report is provided in full in Attachment 2 to this report.

# Residential HVAC Performance



**Residential HVAC**  
Kristin Heinemeier, Frontier Energy

**Revised November 2023**  
**Original version: August 2023**  
**Final CASE Report**



This report was prepared by the California Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Program that is funded, in part, by California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Copyright 2023 Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, and Sacramento Municipal Utility District. All rights reserved, except that this document may be used, copied, and distributed without modification.

Neither Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Sacramento Municipal Utility District or any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, method, product, policy or process disclosed in this document; or represents that its use will not infringe any privately-owned rights including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks or copyrights.

# Document Information

**Category:** Codes and Standards

**Keywords:** Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative; California Statewide Utility Codes and Standards Team; Codes and Standards Enhancements; 2025 California Energy Code; 2025 Title 24, Part 6; California Energy Commission; energy efficiency; heat pump; HVAC; refrigerant charge; crankcase heating; defrost; load calculations; ducts; distribution; sizing; variable capacity, zoning.

**Authors:** Kristin Heinemeier, Dave Springer, Stephen Becker, Keith Saechao, Marc Hoeschele, Alea German (Frontier Energy); Abram Conant (Proctor Engineering); Mike MacFarland (Energy Docs); Russ King (Coded Energy); Marshall Hunt (Marshall Hunt, P.E.); Jon McHugh (McHugh Energy Consultants)

**Prime Contractor:** Frontier Energy

**Project Management:** California Statewide Utility Codes and Standards Team: Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, and Los Angeles Department of Water and Power.

**Revisions as of 11/13/2023** This document contains the following revisions:

1. Updated results for the defrost, supplementary heating, and refrigerant charge verification measures based on corrected incremental costs. This changed in which climate zones and buildings the measures are proposed.
2. Updated the modified sections in Tables 1-6.
3. Updated the economic impacts in Tables 17 and 20.
4. Added code language for Section 150.2 for the refrigerant charge verification proposal in alterations.
5. Added a new Appendix I that describes changes to the proposals that occurred working with the Energy Commission after the CASE Report was finalized.

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Addressing Energy Equity and Environmental Justice</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 General Equity Impacts	4
2.2 Specific Impacts of the Proposal	8
<b>3. Measure Description</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Proposed Code Change	9
3.2 Justification and Background Information	10
3.3 Summary of Proposed Changes to Code Documents	32
3.4 Regulatory Context	41
3.5 Compliance and Enforcement	43
<b>4. Market Analysis</b>	<b>50</b>
4.1 Current Market Structure	50
4.2 Technical Feasibility and Market Availability	51
4.3 Market Impacts and Economic Assessments	62
4.4 Economic Impacts	68
4.5 Fiscal Impacts	76
<b>5. Energy Savings</b>	<b>78</b>
5.1 Energy Savings Methodology	78
5.2 Per-Unit Energy Impacts Results	85
<b>6. Cost and Cost-effectiveness</b>	<b>99</b>
6.1 Energy Cost Savings Methodology	99
6.2 Energy Cost Savings Results	99
6.3 Incremental First Cost	116
6.4 Incremental Maintenance and Replacement Costs	118
6.5 Cost Effectiveness	119
<b>7. First-Year Statewide Impacts</b>	<b>137</b>
7.1 Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Savings	137
7.2 Statewide Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Reductions	150
7.3 Statewide Water Use Impacts	151
7.4 Statewide Material Impacts	151
7.5 Other Non-Energy Impacts	151
<b>8. Proposed Revisions to Code Language</b>	<b>152</b>
8.1 Guide to Markup Language	152
8.2 Standards	152

8.3	Reference Appendices .....	175
8.4	ACM Reference Manual .....	182
8.5	Residential Compliance Forms .....	192
8.6	Low-rise Multifamily Compliance Forms .....	194
8.7	Single Family Residential Compliance Manual .....	195
8.8	Nonresidential and Multifamily Compliance Manual.....	199
<b>9.</b>	<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>202</b>
	<b>Appendix A : Statewide Savings Methodology</b> .....	<b>213</b>
	<b>Appendix B : Embedded Electricity in Water Methodology</b> .....	<b>228</b>
	<b>Appendix C : California Building Energy Code Compliance (CBECC) Software Specification</b> .....	<b>229</b>
	<b>Appendix D : Environmental Analysis</b> .....	<b>237</b>
	<b>Appendix E : Discussion of Impacts of Compliance Process on Market Actors</b>	<b>239</b>
	<b>Appendix F : Summary of Stakeholder Engagement</b> .....	<b>245</b>
	<b>Appendix G : Energy Cost Savings in Nominal Dollars</b> .....	<b>249</b>
	<b>Appendix H : Description of Existing Building Prototype</b> .....	<b>256</b>
	<b>Appendix I : Updated Changes to the Proposals</b> .....	<b>259</b>

## List of Tables

Table 1:	Summary of Code Change Proposal— Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers).....	xiii
Table 2:	Summary of Code Change Proposal—Supplemental Heating.....	xiv
Table 3:	Summary of Code Change Proposal—Defrost .....	xv
Table 4:	Summary of Code Change Proposal—Crankcase Heating.....	xvi
Table 5:	Summary of Code Change Proposal—Refrigerant Charge Verification .....	xvii
Table 6:	Summary of Code Change Proposal— Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems .....	xviii
Table 7:	Summary of Impacts for Residential HVAC Performance .....	xx
Table 8:	Summary of Proposed Code Changes.....	9
Table 9:	Sample Paths for Common Projects .....	44
Table 10:	California Construction Industry, Establishments, Employment, and Payroll in 2022 (Estimated) .....	62
Table 11:	Specific Subsectors of the California Residential Building Industry by Subsector in 2022 (Estimated) .....	63

Table 12: California Building Designer and Energy Consultant Sectors in 2022 (Estimated) .....	64
Table 13: California Housing Characteristics in 2021 <sup>a</sup> .....	65
Table 14: Distribution of California Housing by Vintage in 2021 (Estimated).....	66
Table 15: Owner- and Renter-Occupied Housing Units in California by Income in 2021 (Estimated) .....	66
Table 16: Employment in California State and Government Agencies with Building Inspectors in 2022 (Estimated) .....	68
Table 17: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on the California Residential Construction Sector .....	70
Table 18: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on the California Building Designers and Energy Consultants Sectors.....	71
Table 19: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on California Building Inspectors .....	72
Table 20: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on Discretionary Spending by California Residents .....	73
Table 21: Net Domestic Private Investment and Corporate Profits, U.S. ....	75
Table 22: Prototype Buildings Used for Energy, Demand, Cost, and Environmental Impacts Analysis .....	80
Table 23: Modifications Made to Standard Design in Each Prototype to Simulate Proposed Code Change.....	84
Table 24: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Design .....	86
Table 25: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Design.....	87
Table 26: First-Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Design .....	87
Table 27: First-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Design .....	88
Table 28: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Supplementary Heating.....	89
Table 29: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Supplementary Heating .....	89
Table 30: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Supplementary Heating .....	90
Table 31: First Year Long-term System Cost Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Supplementary Heating.....	90
Table 32: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Defrost .....	91
Table 33: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Defrost .....	92
Table 34: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Defrost.....	92
Table 35: First Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Defrost .....	93

Table 36: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Crankcase Heating .....	94
Table 37: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Crankcase Heating ...	94
Table 38: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Crankcase Heating ...	95
Table 39: First Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Crankcase Heating .....	95
Table 40: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge .....	96
Table 41: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge ...	97
Table 42: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge ...	97
Table 43: First Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge .....	98
Table 44: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Design – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype .....	100
Table 45: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Design – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype .....	101
Table 46: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype .....	101
Table 47: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype .....	102
Table 48: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype .....	102
Table 49: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Prototype .....	103
Table 50: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype .....	103
Table 51: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Supplementary Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype .....	104
Table 52: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Supplementary Heating – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype .....	105
Table 53: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Defrost – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype .....	106
Table 54: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Defrost – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype .....	107
Table 55: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Defrost – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype .....	107
Table 56: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype .....	108

Table 57: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype .....	108
Table 58: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Prototype .....	109
Table 59: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype .....	109
Table 60: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype .....	110
Table 61: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype .....	111
Table 62: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype.....	111
Table 63: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype .....	112
Table 64: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype .....	112
Table 65: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Prototype.....	113
Table 66: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype.....	113
Table 67: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Refrigerant Charge – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype .....	114
Table 68: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Refrigerant Charge - Prescriptive – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype .....	115
Table 69: Summary of Measure Incremental First Cost.....	116
Table 70: Production Builder Installed HVAC System Costs .....	117

Table 71: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Design – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	120
Table 72: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Design – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype.....	121
Table 73: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – Existing Home Prototype.....	121
Table 74: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype.....	122
Table 75: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype.....	122
Table 76: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use Prototype.....	123
Table 77: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype.....	124
Table 78: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Supplementary Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype .....	125
Table 79: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Supplementary Heating – Existing Home Prototype.....	126
Table 80: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Defrost – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	127
Table 81: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Defrost – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype.....	128
Table 82: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Defrost – Existing Home Prototype.....	128
Table 83: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype.....	129
Table 84: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype.....	129
Table 85: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use Prototype.....	130
Table 86: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype ...	130
Table 87: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Crankcase Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype....	131
Table 88: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Crankcase Heating – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype .....	132

Table 89: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype .....	132
Table 90: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype .....	133
Table 91: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype .....	133
Table 92: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use Prototype .....	134
Table 93: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype .....	134
Table 94: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Refrigerant Charge – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype....	135
Table 95: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Refrigerant Charge – Existing Home Prototype .....	136
Table 96: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Design .....	138
Table 97: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Design .....	139
Table 98: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Design .....	139
Table 99: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Supplementary Heating .....	140
Table 100: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Supplementary Heating .....	141
Table 101: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Supplementary Heating .....	141
Table 102: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Defrost .....	142
Table 103: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Defrost.....	143
Table 104: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost.....	143
Table 105: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating CC .....	144

Table 106: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Crankcase Heating CC .....	145
Table 107: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating CC.....	145
Table 108: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating TC.....	146
Table 109: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Crankcase Heating TC .....	147
Table 110: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating TC .....	147
Table 111: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction & Additions – Refrigerant Charge.....	148
Table 112: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Refrigerant Charge .....	149
Table 113: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Refrigerant Charge .....	149
Table 114: First-Year Statewide GHG Emissions Impacts .....	150
Table 115: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Design.....	216
Table 116: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Design.....	217
Table 117: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Supplemental Heating.....	218
Table 118: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Supplemental Heating.....	219
Table 119: Estimated New Construction and Existing Build Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Defrost .....	220
Table 120: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Defrost .....	221
Table 121: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heating, Compressor.....	222
Table 122: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heater, Compressor .....	223
Table 123: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heating, Temperature.....	224
Table 124: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heater, Temperature .....	225

Table 125: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Refrigerant Charge.....	226
Table 126: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Refrigerant Charge.....	227
Table 127: Proposed New Construction CBEECC-Res Testing.....	235
Table 128: Roles of Market Actors in the Proposed Compliance Process.....	241
Table 129: Utility-Sponsored Stakeholder Meetings.....	246
Table 130: Engaged Stakeholders.....	247
Table 131: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Design – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	249
Table 132: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Design – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Prototype.....	250
Table 133: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Design – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype.....	250
Table 134: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Supplementary Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	251
Table 135: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Supplementary Heating – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype.....	251
Table 136: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Defrost – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	252
Table 137: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Defrost – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Prototype.....	252
Table 138: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Defrost – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype.....	253
Table 139: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Crankcase – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	253
Table 140: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Crankcase – 500 ft <sup>2</sup> Prototype.....	254
Table 141: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Crankcase – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype.....	254
Table 142: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Charge Verification – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype.....	255
Table 143: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Charge Verification – 1665 ft <sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype.....	255
Table 144: Single Family Alteration Prototype Description.....	256
Table 145: Alteration Prototype Baseline Assumptions.....	257

Table 146: Savings Results for OCST Measure .....262

Table 147: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – OCST/CCH.....263

Table 148: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – OCST/CCH...264

Table 149: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – OCST/CCH .....264

Table 150: First-Year Statewide GHG Emissions Impacts .....265

# Executive Summary

---

This report documents a set of code change proposals designed to ensure that residential HVAC systems perform efficiently and effectively, providing comfort and protecting the condition of the equipment. The six proposals are mostly mandatory measures, with a few prescriptive measures and newly defined prescriptive alternatives. They generally apply to both single family and multifamily buildings, and new construction as well as additions and alterations. The proposed measures include one new HERS measure and contain proposals to allow for remote verification in lieu of on-site verification. Every effort was made to simplify the proposals while adding rigor to some processes, such as HVAC load calculations and refrigerant charge verifications. These measures reflect an increased emphasis on the design process, which is especially important with the increased interest in installing heat pumps.

The Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative presents recommendations to support the California Energy Commission's (CEC's) efforts to update the California Energy Code (Title 24, Part 6) to include new requirements or to upgrade existing requirements for various technologies. Three California Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) — Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric, and Southern California Edison — and two Publicly Owned Utilities — Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, and Sacramento Municipal Utility District (herein referred to as the Statewide CASE Team when including the CASE Author) — sponsored this effort. The program goal is to prepare and submit proposals that would result in cost-effective enhancements to improve energy efficiency and energy performance in California buildings. This report and the code change proposals presented herein are a part of the effort to develop technical and cost-effectiveness information for proposed requirements on building energy-efficient design practices and technologies.

The Statewide CASE Team submits code change proposals to the CEC, the state agency that has authority to adopt revisions to Title 24, Part 6. The CEC will evaluate proposals submitted by the Statewide CASE Team and other stakeholders. The CEC may revise or reject proposals. See the CEC's 2025 Title 24 website for information about the rulemaking schedule and how to participate in the process: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/225-building-energy-efficiency>.

Also, since completion of the original version of this CASE Report, some new recommendations have been prepared, and these are described in Appendix I.

## Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)

### Proposed Code Change

Proper design of a residential HVAC system is the foundation for energy savings and effective operation over the life of the system, for new homes as well as additions or alterations. Sizing is sometimes a delicate balance between oversizing (which can be inefficient, uncomfortable, and prevent effective humidity control), and undersizing (which can result in excessive operation of heat pump backup strip heating, insufficient airflow to achieve whole home comfort, and inability to achieve thermostat set points).

The requirements proposed here include requiring that load calculations be completed in more situations, and that their results be documented and submitted, while they do provide an allowance to use simplified calculations in some cases. They require that duct and diffuser designs be submitted with building plans. After load calculations are completed, they provide different limits on the system capacity than currently recommended nationwide, to reflect California climates—primarily not allowing undersized heat pumps that would require supplementary heating to meet capacity and not allowing oversized cooling systems when ducts are not correspondingly sized.

The benefits of these measures include avoiding the energy penalty from an upsized system in existing buildings without correspondingly sized ducts where cooling is dominant, and minimizing use of supplementary heating by avoiding undersizing the heat pump in buildings where heating is dominant. It would also improve comfort by encouraging selection and location of diffusers to ensure adequate mixing. This measure would also result in significant improvements in electrical demand when strip heating is installed. By preventing oversizing, it would reduce wear and tear on a system and extend its life. Table 1 summarizes the scope of the proposal.

**Table 1: Summary of Code Change Proposal— Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

<b>Short Description</b>	Require documentation of load calculations and system sizing; provide details on duct/diffuser design; minimum heating capacity—not including supplementary heating
<b>Type of Requirement</b>	Mandatory
<b>Applicable Climate Zones</b>	All HVAC in all CZs, New Construction (NC), Additions (ADD), and Alterations (ALT). Not for multifamily in CZs 1 and 16.
<b>Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6</b>	10-103(a)2, 10-103(b)3, 150.0(h) 1, 2, 5(new), 6(new); 160.3(b)1, 2, 5M(new), 7(new); 150.2(a)1E(new) and 2D(new) and 150.2(b)1O(new), 2D(new),, 180.1(a)4(new), (b)4(new); 180.2(b)2Avi(new).
<b>Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices</b>	JA 2.2, and RA TBD(new)
<b>Would Compliance Software Be Modified</b>	Yes
<b>Modified Compliance Document(s)</b>	CF1R-PRF, CR1R-ALT-02, CF1R-NCB, CF2R-MCH-01-E, CF2R-TBD(new)

---

## Supplementary Heating

---

### Proposed Code Change

Some heat pumps are installed with gas or electric backup or supplementary heating, depending upon climate, equipment sizing, and contractor experience. This supplementary heating can provide an added capacity cushion to maintain customer comfort and satisfaction, but it should be used sparingly: electric resistance supplementary heating uses about three times as much electric energy as a heat pump compressor to provide a unit of heat, while a "dual fuel" system that couples a heat pump along with a natural gas furnace as a backup heat source may result in more greenhouse gas emissions than a standard heat pump. With a well-designed heat pump system, supplementary heating should seldom operate in most California climates.

The supplemental heating measure requires installation of controls that lock out the operation of gas or electric supplementary heating when temperatures are not severe and limit the capacity of electric resistance strip heating to the minimum needed for emergency or defrost operation. Because the configuration of this control is so challenging and so critical, HERS verification is proposed to be required.

These measures would provide cost effective energy savings in most climate zones, by eliminating the use of either inefficient electric resistance strip heating or natural gas at times when the heat pump would provide comfort more efficiently and with fewer greenhouse gas emissions. They would also provide significant benefits to winter morning peak demands, through proper control and a limit on capacity. Possible comfort impacts may occur for those occupants who choose to implement a deep nighttime setback, by extending the amount of time needed to reach setpoint. Education on the consequences of night setback would be helpful, and on how to mitigate those consequences through early start. Table 2 summarizes the proposal.

**Table 2: Summary of Code Change Proposal—Supplemental Heating**

<b>Short Description</b>	Require documentation of load calculations and system sizing, even for like-for-like replacements; provide details on duct/diffuser design; require use of average infiltration assumptions (or blower door test) and allow simplifying assumptions in some load calculations; minimum heating capacity—not including supplementary heating; and maximum equipment sizing limits (or ensure adequate airflow).
<b>Type of Requirement</b>	Mandatory
<b>Applicable Climate Zones</b>	Heat pumps in all CZs but 7 and 15; Not for small single family or multifamily homes.
<b>Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6</b>	110.2(b)1, 110.2(b)2, 150.0(h)8(new), 150.0(h)9(new); 160.3(b)9(new)
<b>Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices</b>	RA 3.4.TBD(new)

<b>Would Compliance Software Be Modified</b>	Yes
<b>Modified Compliance Document(s)</b>	CF2R-MCH-01-E, CF2R-MCH-TBD(new), CF3R-MCH-TBD(new)

---

## Defrost

---

### Proposed Code Change

To remove ice from heat pump outdoor coils at times when outdoor temperatures are low and humidity is high enough, heat pump systems typically utilize a defrost cycle, during which the system "reverses," essentially air conditioning the indoor space to warm up the outside coils (for ice removal), followed by a period of recovery, during which the system must reheat the space.

This measure would require that, when present, the manufacturer's defrost delay timer be set to a moderate value of no less than 90 minutes to extend the amount of time between defrost cycles.

This would reduce energy use by heat pumps by reducing the frequency of defrost cycles and the length of time the unit spends in defrost mode. The proposed control requirement would prevent excessive winter morning peak demand, as well as improve comfort and reduce wear and tear on a system and improve system life, by reducing the frequency of defrost operation. Table 3 summarizes the proposal.

**Table 3: Summary of Code Change Proposal—Defrost**

<b>Short Description</b>	Set defrost delay timer optimally
<b>Type of Requirement</b>	Mandatory
<b>Applicable Climate Zones</b>	Heat pumps in all CZs but 6 and 7; Not for small single-family homes in CZs 3, 5-10, 15; Not for multifamily in CZs 1, 6-10, & 15-16.
<b>Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6</b>	150.0(h)7(new); 160.3(b)8(new)
<b>Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices</b>	RA 3.4.TBD(new)
<b>Would Compliance Software Be Modified</b>	Yes
<b>Modified Compliance Document(s)</b>	CF2R-MCH-01-E, CF2R-MCH-TBD(new), CF3R-MCH-TBD(new)

---

## Crankcase Heating (CCH)

---

### Proposed Code Change

CCH is used in most heat pumps and air conditioners to prevent compressor damage by keeping the compressor warmer than the outdoor coils and casing<sup>1</sup>. Field monitoring studies have found that CCH can consume surprising amounts of energy on an annual basis, particularly in low load buildings or other applications where the compressor is off for much of the year. CCH energy is a “vampire” electrical load—silent and overlooked—but significant when totaled over time and across all California air conditioner and heat pump units.

This prescriptive space conditioning control measure requires installation of an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat (OCST) to reduce energy use. Alternatively, the installer can choose a system that has no CCH, or one that has a CCH with better controls. There are two components to these “better controls,” which have been modeled separately. The first component – Compressor Control or CC—would ensure that the CCH will turn off when the system is operating. The second component – Temperature Control or TC – would ensure that the CCH will turn off at warmer outdoor temperatures when the system is not operating.

Preventing installation of a system that allows the CCH to run when it is not needed can provide enormous annual energy savings. Since it can be impossible in the field to determine whether a system has a CCH or how it is controlled, this measure would require that information be provided by the manufacturer. Table 4 summarizes the proposal.

**Table 4: Summary of Code Change Proposal—Crankcase Heating**

<b>Short Description</b>	Install Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat, or CCH must have combination of Compressor Control (CC) and Temperature Control (TC).
<b>Type of Requirement</b>	Prescriptive
<b>Applicable Climate Zones</b>	Heat pumps and AC in all CZs for single family, and in all CZs but CZ 1 for multifamily
<b>Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6</b>	150.1(c)7B(new); 170.2(c)3Bv
<b>Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices</b>	None
<b>Would Compliance Software Be Modified</b>	Yes
<b>Modified Compliance Document(s)</b>	CF2R-MCH-01-E

---

<sup>1</sup> During off-cycles, the refrigerant would migrate to the coldest part of the system.

---

## Refrigerant Charge Verification

---

### Proposed Code Change

Proper refrigerant charge is necessary for air conditioners and heat pumps to operate at peak performance in all climate zones. Title 24 Part 6 currently requires either testing the refrigerant temperatures and pressures with refrigerant gauges or adding the correct amount of refrigerant by weight to an empty or partially charged system. For the former, a HERS Rater is required to visit the site to do independent testing. For the latter, a HERS Rater is required to visit the site to observe the weigh-in process. This was found to be cost effective for air conditioners in only a subset of climate zones.

The proposed measure would require verification in more climate zones, but just for heat pumps. It retains existing methods (except the Fault Indicator Display method, which is proposed to be retired) but adds rigor to the weigh-in method while providing a remote verification option that should make the process easier and less expensive in most situations.

This measure would provide savings by extending the requirement to more systems and is expected to be more accurate due to increased rigor to the weigh-in method. The allowance for remote verification may also lead to increased compliance rates for this measure. Table 5 summarizes the proposal.

**Table 5: Summary of Code Change Proposal—Refrigerant Charge Verification**

<b>Short Description</b>	Require documentation when refrigerant weigh-in method used and allow remote verification.
<b>Type of Requirement</b>	Prescriptive
<b>Applicable Climate Zones</b>	Heat Pumps in ALT and NC in CZs 1, 3-7, 16. Not for small single family and multifamily homes.
<b>Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6</b>	150.1(c)7A; 170.2(c)3Bi
<b>Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices</b>	JA 6.1(eliminated), RA3.2.3, RA 3.2.4(eliminated)
<b>Would Compliance Software Be Modified</b>	Yes
<b>Modified Compliance Document(s)</b>	CF2R-MCH-25c, CF3R-MCH-25c, CF2R-MCH-25g (new), CF3R-MCH-25g (new), CF3R-MCH-25d (eliminated)

---

## Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems

---

### Proposed Code Change

Variable Capacity and Multi Speed (VCMS) systems can provide much improved system efficiency which is reflected in the high efficiency ratings. However, for systems with ducts in vented attics without roof deck insulation, duct losses climb as the airflow rate declines, and the distribution efficiency can be greatly reduced. This effect is captured when systems are modeled using the VCHP-Detailed method in CBECC-Res but is not reflected in standard CBECC-Res modeling of VCMS systems. The proposed change to compliance models would account for reduced distribution efficiency at lower speeds and airflows.

The proposed measure would require a change in the way that fan efficacy is measured during field verification and clarifies how system air flow is defined in zoned systems. It also proposes modifying the way that variable capacity systems are modeled in vented attics. There are no energy savings associated with this measure. Table 6 summarizes the proposal.

**Table 6: Summary of Code Change Proposal— Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems**

<b>Short Description</b>	Modify fan efficacy test procedure; clarify definition of system airflow; compliance model revisions to account for distribution loss impacts of variable capacity systems.
<b>Type of Requirement</b>	Prescriptive
<b>Applicable Climate Zones</b>	Variable Capacity Systems in all CZs
<b>Modified Section(s) of Title 24, Part 6</b>	150.0(m)13; 160.3(b)5L
<b>Modified Title 24, Part 6 Appendices</b>	None
<b>Would Compliance Software Be Modified</b>	Yes
<b>Modified Compliance Document(s)</b>	None

### Market Analysis and Regulatory Assessment

Currently, there are almost ten million single family homes in California, and more than 60 thousand are built annually. By 2026, 90 percent of new homes are likely to be installing heat pumps, increasing to 100 percent within the next 30 years. Similarly, a little over ten percent of HVAC replacements are currently gas to heat pump, but this fraction is expected to increase to 100 percent within the next 30 years. The residential HVAC performance measures described in this report would be applicable to all of these homes.

Each measure was evaluated for market and technical feasibility, as described in Section 4, and all proposed measures were found to be feasible and cost effective. Some highlights of this assessment include:

- The importance of providing education to contractors on load calculations, sizing methods, and the importance of proper sizing.

- The importance of doing thoughtful duct and diffuser design, particularly with heat pumps which can cause uncomfortable drafts if poorly designed.
- Overcoming contractor and homeowner perceptions of effectiveness and the fact that supplementary heating should be minimized.
- The importance of ensuring that controls are configured correctly, the challenges this poses as systems are becoming more and more complicated, and the role that instructions from manufacturers can play.
- The role for advanced tools to collect and report system data for remote verification of the Refrigerant Charge Verification.

## **Energy Savings and Cost Effectiveness**

Table 7 presents a summary of the estimated impacts of the proposed code change that would be realized statewide during the first 12 months that proposed requirement(s) are in effect. The total statewide savings across all measures is estimated to be over 135 GWh of electricity, 170 million kBtu in source energy, and 430 M 2026 PV\$ for Long-term Systemwide Cost (LSC) savings. Most of the benefits are from alterations—electricity savings is over 90 percent from alterations and source and LSC savings are almost 80 percent from alterations. The highest performing measures include CCH and design measures in alterations and supplementary heating control measure in new construction and additions.

Table 7 also shows the highest benefit-cost (B/C) ratio expected across all climate zones.<sup>2</sup> The proposed code changes were found to be cost effective for all climate zones where they are proposed to be required, with a B/C ratio over the 30-year period of analysis exceeding 1.0 (the threshold for inclusion in the proposal). For the design measures, the B/C ratio was essentially infinite since the incremental cost is expected to be negative. For other measures, the B/C ratio exceeded 100 (for defrost in alterations in Climate Zone 16 and CCH in alterations in Climate Zone 15). See Section 6 for the methodology, assumptions, and results of the cost-effectiveness analysis.

Greenhouse gas emissions reductions and their monetary value are presented in Section 7 and Appendix D.

---

<sup>2</sup> The benefit-to-cost (B/C) ratio compares the benefits or cost savings to the costs over the 30-year period of analysis. Proposed code changes that have a B/C ratio of 1.0 or greater are cost effective. The larger the B/C ratio, the faster the measure pays for itself from energy cost savings.

**Table 7: Summary of Impacts for Residential HVAC Performance**

Metric	Measure	Per-Unit Impacts During First Year New Construction & Additions	Per-Unit Impacts During First Year Alterations	Per-Unit Impacts During First Year Unit for Savings	Statewide Impacts During First Year New Construction & Additions	Statewide Impacts During First Year Alterations	Statewide Impacts During First Year Unit for Savings
Electricity Savings	Design	10	106	kWh/unit	0.02	56.7	GWh
	Supplementary Heating	125	87	kWh/unit	6.6	6.1	GWh
	Defrost	46	57	kWh/unit	3.4	6.6	GWh
	Crankcase Heating (compressor)	18	29	kWh/unit	0.1	0.2	GWh
	Crankcase Heating (temperature)	62	29	kWh/unit	2.0	2.4	GWh
	Refrigerant Charge Verification	62	132	kWh/unit	0.7	3.1	GWh
	All	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>13.22</b>	<b>74.9</b>	GWh
Source Energy Savings	Design	22	80	kBtu/unit	0.04	42.8	Million kBtu
	Supplementary Heating	365	249	kBtu/unit	19.3	17.5	Million kBtu
	Defrost	158	267	kBtu/unit	11.8	23.2	Million kBtu
	Crankcase Heating (compressor)	36	56	kBtu/unit	0.1	0.5	Million kBtu
	Crankcase Heating (temperature)	56	30	kBtu/unit	1.8	2.5	Million kBtu
	Refrigerant Charge Verification	184	270	kBtu/unit	2.0	6.4	Million kBtu
	All	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>36.84</b>	<b>92.1</b>	Million kBtu
Total LSC Savings	Design	\$67	\$147	2026 PV\$/ unit	\$0.1	\$78.6	Million 2026 PV
	Supplementary Heating	\$951	\$658	2026 PV\$/ unit	\$50.3	\$46.2	Million 2026 PV
	Defrost	\$374	\$632	2026 PV\$/ unit	\$27.9	\$54.8	Million 2026 PV
	Crankcase Heating (compressor)	\$133	\$212	2026 PV\$/ unit	\$0.4	\$1.8	Million 2026 PV
	Crankcase Heating (temperature)	\$369	\$173	2026 PV\$/ unit	\$11.7	\$14.2	Million 2026 PV
	Refrigerant Charge Verification	513	\$942	2026 PV\$/ unit	5.5	\$22.2	Million 2026 PV
	All	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$99.6</b>	<b>\$215.6</b>	Million 2026 PV
Best Benefit-Cost Ratio	Design	N/A	N/A	(infinite)	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Supplementary Heating	18	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Defrost	34	64	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Crankcase Heating (compressor)	28	40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Crankcase Heating (temperature)	28	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Refrigerant Charge Verification	16	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Compliance and Enforcement

The compliance process is described in Section 3.5. Impacts that the proposed measure would have on market actors is described in Section 3.5.2 and Appendix E. Key issues related to compliance and enforcement include:

1. Load calculations were previously required, although there was no requirement to submit them or verify that they were completed. The proposed measures include a requirement that a report from the load calculations be submitted with the Certificate of Compliance. Notably, load calculations would now be required even for like-for-like system replacements.
2. At the same time, simplifications of the load calculation process are allowed in some circumstances.
3. A schematic diagram and room-by-room description of duct and diffusers would be required on the system plans submitted to the enforcement agency.
4. Sizing limits are provided, as a modification to the ACCA Manual S limits that are often used.
5. Manufacturers' documents would be needed to ascertain whether a heat pump or air conditioner's CCH meets requirements.
6. Supplementary heating (strip heaters or furnace backup) would have to be locked out above a certain outdoor air temperature, and this control would have to be configured correctly (requiring manufacturers to provide succinct instructions) and HERS verified.
7. Refrigerant charge weigh-in can be HERS-verified remotely for the first time, with a requirement to upload evidence or documentation of system characteristics and the weigh-in process.
8. The process for testing airflow and efficacy are clarified for variable speed systems serving zoned systems.
9. The proposed measures include one new HERS verification and provide an alternative verification for one existing HERS measure.

## Addressing Energy Equity and Environmental Justice

The Statewide CASE Team assessed the potential impacts of the proposed measure, and based on a preliminary review, the measure is unlikely to have significant impacts on energy equity or environmental justice. As outlined throughout the report, these proposals are cost effective and would minimally disrupt current building practices and no explicit barriers to proposal adoption are foreseen. Full details addressing energy equity and environmental justice can be found in Section 2 of this report.

# 1. Introduction

---

The Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) initiative presents recommendations to support the California Energy Commission's (CEC's) efforts to update California's Energy Code (Title 24, Part 6) to include new requirements or to upgrade existing requirements for various technologies. The three California Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) — Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric, and Southern California Edison — and two Publicly Owned Utilities — Los Angeles Department of Water and Power and Sacramento Municipal Utility District (herein referred to as the Statewide CASE Team when including the CASE Author) — sponsored this effort. The program goal is to prepare and submit proposals that would result in cost-effective enhancements to improve energy efficiency and energy performance in California buildings. This report and the code change proposal presented herein are a part of the effort to develop technical and cost-effectiveness information for proposed requirements on building energy-efficient design practices and technologies.

The CEC is the state agency that has authority to adopt revisions to Title 24, Part 6. One of the ways the Statewide CASE Team participates in the CEC's code development process is by submitting code change proposals to the CEC for consideration. CEC would evaluate proposals the Statewide CASE Team and other stakeholders submit and may revise or reject proposals. See the CEC's 2025 Title 24 website for information about the rulemaking schedule and how to participate in the process:

<https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiencystandards/2025-building-energy-efficiency>.

The goal of this CASE Report is to present a code change proposal for residential HVAC performance. The report contains pertinent information supporting the proposed code change.

When developing the code change proposal and associated technical information presented in this report, the Statewide CASE Team worked with many industry stakeholders including individual HVAC manufacturers, contractors, and distributors, TECH program managers, and HERS Raters. The proposal incorporates feedback received during a public stakeholder workshop that the Statewide CASE Team held on January 24, 2023, (California Statewide Codes & Standards Team 2023).

The following is a summary of the contents of this report:

- Section 2 – Addressing Energy Equity and Environmental Justice presents the potential impacts of proposed code changes on disproportionately impacted populations (DIPs), as well as a summary of research and engagement methods.
- Section 3 – Measure Description provides a description of the six measures and their background. This section also presents a detailed description of how this code change is accomplished in the various sections and documents that make up the Title 24, Part 6 Standards.

- Section 4 – Market Analysis includes a review of the current market structure. It describes the feasibility issues associated with the code change, including whether the proposed measure overlaps or conflicts with other portions of the building standards, such as fire, seismic, and other safety standards, and whether technical, compliance, or enforceability challenges exist.
- Section 5 – Energy Savings presents the per-unit energy, demand reduction, and Long-term Systemwide Cost savings associated with the proposed code change. This section also describes the methodology that the Statewide CASE Team used to estimate per-unit energy, demand reduction, and Long-term Systemwide Cost savings.
- Section 6 – Cost and Cost presents the lifecycle cost and cost-effectiveness analysis. This includes a discussion of the materials and labor required to implement the measure and a quantification of the incremental cost. It also includes estimates of incremental maintenance costs, i.e., equipment lifetime and various periodic costs associated with replacement and maintenance during the period of analysis.
- Section 7 – First-Year Statewide Impacts presents the statewide energy savings and environmental impacts of the proposed code change for the first year after the 2025 code takes effect. This includes the amount of energy that would be saved by California building owners and tenants and impacts (increases or reductions) on material with emphasis placed on any materials that are considered toxic. Statewide water consumption impacts are also reported in this section.
- Section 8 – Proposed Revisions to Code Language concludes the report with specific recommendations with ~~strikeout~~ (deletions) and underlined (additions) language for the Standards, Reference Appendices, and Alternative Calculation Method (ACM) Reference Manual. Generalized proposed revisions to sections are included for the Compliance Manual and compliance forms.
- Section 9 – Bibliography presents the resources that the Statewide CASE Team used when developing this report.
- Appendix A: Statewide Savings Methodology presents the methodology and assumptions used to calculate statewide energy impacts.
- Appendix B: Embedded Electricity in Water Methodology presents the methodology and assumptions used to calculate the electricity embedded in water use (e.g., electricity used to draw, move, or treat water) and the energy savings resulting from reduced water use.
- Appendix C: California Building Energy Code Compliance (CBECC) Software Specification presents relevant proposed changes to the compliance software (if any).

- Appendix D: Environmental Analysis presents the methodologies and assumptions used to calculate impacts on GHG emissions and water use and quality.
- Appendix E: Discussion of Impacts of Compliance Process on Market Actors presents how the recommended compliance process could impact identified market actors.
- Appendix F: Summary of Stakeholder Engagement documents the efforts made to engage and collaborate with market actors and experts.
- Appendix G: Energy Cost Savings in Nominal Dollars presents LSC savings over the period of analysis in nominal dollars.
- Appendix H: Description of Existing Building Prototype provides background information on the existing building prototype used for energy savings analysis.
- Appendix I: Updated Changes to the Proposals summarizes new recommendations that were developed after completion of the original version of this CASE Report. These include changes to the crankcase heater measure and removal of some HERS verification requirements. Updated estimates of savings are provided.

The California IOUs offers free energy code training, tools, and resources for those who need to understand and meet the requirements of Title 24, Part 6. The program recognizes that building codes are one of the most effective pathways to achieve energy savings and GHG reductions from buildings – and that well-informed industry professionals and consumers are key to making codes effective. With that in mind, the California IOUs provide tools and resources to help both those who enforce the code, as well as those who must follow it. Visit [EnergyCodeAce.com](https://EnergyCodeAce.com) to learn more and to access content, including a glossary of terms.

## 2. Addressing Energy Equity and Environmental Justice

---

### 2.1 General Equity Impacts

The Statewide CASE Team recognizes, acknowledges, and accounts for a history of prejudice and inequality in disproportionately impacted populations (DIPs) and the role this history plays in the environmental justice issues that persist today. While the term disadvantaged communities (DACs) are often used in the energy industry and state agencies, the Statewide CASE Team chose to use terminology that is more acceptable to and less stigmatizing for those it seeks to describe (DC Fiscal Policy Institute 2017). Like the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) definition, DIPs refer to the populations throughout California that “most suffer from a combination of economic, health, and environmental burdens. These burdens include poverty, high unemployment, air and water pollution, presence of hazardous wastes, as well as high incidence of asthma and heart disease” (CPUC n.d.). DIPs also incorporate race, class, and gender since these intersecting identity factors affect how people frame issues, interpret, and experience the world.<sup>3</sup>

Including impacted communities in the decision-making process, ensuring that the benefits and burdens of the energy sector are evenly distributed, and facing the unjust legacies of the past all serve as critical steps to achieving energy equity. Recognizing the importance of engaging DIPs and gathering their input to inform the code change process and proposed measures, the Statewide CASE Team is working to build relationships with community-based organizations (CBOs) to facilitate meaningful engagement. A participatory approach allows individuals to address problems, develop innovative ideas, and bring forth a different perspective. Please reach out to Kristin Heinemeier ([kheinemeier@frontierenergy.com](mailto:kheinemeier@frontierenergy.com)) and Marissa Lerner ([mlerner@energy-solution.com](mailto:mlerner@energy-solution.com)) for further engagement.

Energy equity and environmental justice (EEEJ) is a newly emphasized component of the Statewide CASE Team’s work and is an evolving dialogue within California and

---

<sup>3</sup> Environmental disparities have been shown to be associated with unequal harmful environmental exposure correlated with race/ethnicity, gender, and socioeconomic status. For example, chronic diseases, such as respiratory diseases, cardiovascular disease, and cancer, associated with environmental exposure have been shown to occur in higher rates in the LGBTQ+ population than in the cisgender, heterosexual population (Goldsmith and Bell 2021). Socioeconomic inequities, climate, energy, and other inequities are inextricably linked and often mutually reinforcing.

beyond.<sup>4</sup> To minimize the risk of perpetuating inequity, code change proposals are being developed with intentional consideration of the unintended consequences of proposals on DIPs. The Statewide CASE Team identified potential impacts via research and stakeholder input. While the listed potential impacts should be comprehensive, they may not yet be exhaustive. As the Statewide CASE Team continues to build relationships with CBOs, these partnerships would inform and further improve the identification of potential impacts. The Statewide CASE Team is open to additional peer-reviewed studies that contribute to or challenge the information on this topic presented in this report. The Statewide CASE Team is currently continuing outreach with CBOs and EEEJ partners. Results of that outreach as well as a summary of the 2025 code cycle EEEJ activities would be documented in the 2025 EEEJ Summary Report that is expected to be published on [title24stakeholders.com](http://title24stakeholders.com) by the end of 2023.

### **2.1.1 Procedural Equity and Stakeholder Engagement**

As mentioned, representation from DIPs is crucial to considering factors and potential impacts that may otherwise be missed or misinterpreted. The Statewide CASE Team is committed to engaging with representatives from as many affected communities as possible. This code cycle, the Statewide CASE Team is focused on building relationships with CBOs and representatives of DIPs across California. To achieve this end, the Statewide CASE Team is prioritizing the following activities:

- Identification and outreach to relevant and interested CBOs.
- Holding a series of working group meetings to solicit feedback from CBOs on code change proposals.
- Developing a 2025 EEEJ Summary Report

In support of these efforts, the Statewide CASE Team is also working to secure funds to provide fair compensation to those who engage with the Statewide CASE Team. While the 2025 code cycle would come to an end, the Statewide CASE Team's EEEJ efforts would continue, as this is not an effort that can be "completed" in a single or even multiple code cycles. In future code cycles, the Statewide CASE Team is committed to furthering relationships with CBOs and inviting feedback on proposed code changes

---

<sup>4</sup> The CEC defines energy equity as "the quality of being fair or just in the availability and distribution of energy programs" (CEC 2018). American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE) defines energy equity as that which "aims to ensure that disadvantaged communities have equal access to clean energy and are not disproportionately affected by pollution. It requires the fair and just distribution of benefits in the energy system through intentional design of systems, technology, procedures and policies" (ACEEE n.d.). Title 7, Planning and Land Use, of the California Government Code defines environmental justice as "the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of people of all races, cultures, incomes, and national origins, with respect to the development, adoption, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies" (State of California n.d.).

with a goal of engagement with these organizations representing DIPs throughout the code cycle. Several strategies for future code cycles are being considered, including:

- Creating an advisory board of trusted community-based organizations that may provide consistent feedback on code change proposals throughout the development process.
- Establishing a robust compensation structure that enables participation from CBOs and DIPs in the Statewide CASE Team’s code development process.
- Holding equity-focused stakeholder meetings to solicit feedback on code change proposals that seem more likely to have strong potential impacts.

## **2.1.2 Potential Impacts on DIPs in in Single Family and Multifamily Buildings**

### **2.1.2.1 Health Impacts**

Understanding the influences that vary by demographics, location, or type of housing is critical to developing equitable code requirements. For example, residents in market rate apartments would have different air quality concerns than those in single family homes, or even those in subsidized multifamily housing (where smoking and other potential contaminants are closely regulated and monitored).

Several of the potential negative health impacts from buildings on DIPs are addressed by energy efficiency (Norton 2014., Cluett 2015, Rose 2020). For example, indoor air quality (IAQ) improvements through ventilation or removal of combustion appliances can lessen the incidents of asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and some heart problems. Water heating and building shell improvements can lower stress levels associated with energy bills by lowering utility bill costs. Better insulation and tighter building envelopes can reduce the health impacts from intrusion of dampness and contaminants, as well as providing a measure of resilience during extreme conditions. Electrification can reduce the health consequences resulting from NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Studies have shown that not only do the effects of urban heat islands lead to higher mortality during heat waves, but those in large buildings are disproportionately affected (Smargiassi 2008, Laaidi 2012). These residents tend to be the elderly, people of color, and low-income households (Drehobl 2020, Blankenship 2020, IEA 2014).

### **2.1.2.2 Energy Efficiency and Energy Burden**

Because low-income households have a higher energy burden (percent of income spent on energy) than average households, energy efficiency alone can benefit them more acutely compared to the average. Numerous studies have shown that low-income households spend a much higher proportion of their income on energy (two to five times) than the average household (Power 2007, Norton 2014., Rose 2020). See section 6.2 for an estimate of energy cost savings from the current proposals. Moreover,

utility cost stability is typically more important to these households compared to average households; for households living paycheck to paycheck, an unexpectedly high energy bill can keep that household cyclically impoverished (Drehobl 2020). Energy burdened households are 175 to 200 percent more likely to remain impoverished for longer than households not experiencing energy burden (Drehobl 2020). The impact of a rate increase or weather-related spike is more easily handled the greater the efficiency of the home. The cost impacts of efficiency and renewable can be significantly different for those in subsidized housing (where the total of rent plus utilities is controlled) versus those in single family homes or market rate multifamily buildings.

### **2.1.2.3 First Cost and New Construction**

One potential negative consequence to DIPs of code-based efficiency improvements is the potential for increased housing costs. However, a study found that increased construction costs do not have a statistically significant impact on home prices, as prices in the new home market are driven overwhelmingly by demand (Stone, Nickelsburg and Yu 2018).. According to a peer-reviewed study done for the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC), land costs and developer characteristics (size, experience, and profit structure of the firm) have the most significant effect on affordable housing costs (CTCAC 2014). The 2014 study echoes the same findings in CTCAC's cost study prepared in 1996 as well as the 2015 study by Stone, et al (Stone, Nickelsburg and Yu 2015). Similarly, developers of market-rate apartments conduct studies to investigate rent history and other information for comparable multifamily properties, which informs rent levels for specific projects.

### **2.1.2.4 Cost Impacts for Renters**

Renters within DIPs can also benefit from home energy efficiency improvements. Whether market rate or affordable, utility bills would be lower to the degree their homes are more energy efficient. However, the utility bill impacts of energy efficiency in subsidized affordable housing is less clear, since CTCAC staff regularly review tax credit properties. To assure that affordable housing renters pay utility bills virtually equal to the utility cost estimates that were used when establishing rents (Internal Revenue Service, Treasury 2011). Renters of market-rate housing seldom ask about energy efficiency and utility bills,<sup>5</sup> so efficiency has little impact on rents, whereas it can have a large impact on utility bills (NMHC 2022).

---

<sup>5</sup> According to manager and renter surveys conducted by the Multi-Housing Council in 2022, residents are interested in internet connectivity, package delivery services, gyms, and similar amenities. Smart thermostats were the only energy related feature they reported as essential or nearly so.

## 2.2 Specific Impacts of the Proposal

The Statewide CASE Team assessed the potential impacts of the proposed measures, and based on a preliminary review, the measures are unlikely to have significant impacts on energy equity or environmental justice outside of any impacts mentioned above, therefore reducing the impacts of disparities in DIPs. DIPs likely include many renters, a group that is subject to the split incentive, where the person who makes energy efficiency upgrade decisions is not always the same person who pays the utility bills, which lowers or removes the motivation to invest in energy efficiency for the building owner. These proposals ensure a minimum level of energy savings as a code requirement, which alleviates concerns related to split incentives. See Section 7.5 for a discussion of potential impacts to health, cost, resiliency, and comfort.

## 3. Measure Description

### 3.1 Proposed Code Change

This CASE Report documents a set of proposals designed to ensure that residential HVAC systems perform efficiently and effectively, providing comfort and protecting the condition of the equipment. The six measures described here are mostly mandatory measures, with a few prescriptive measures and newly defined prescriptive alternatives. They generally apply to both single family and multifamily buildings, and to new construction as well as additions and alterations. The proposed measures include one new HERS measure and contain proposals to allow for remote verification in lieu of on-site verification for an existing HERS measure.

Table 8 provides a summary of the measures. Every effort was made to simplify these measures, while adding rigor to some processes such as HVAC load calculations and refrigerant charge verifications. These measures reflect an increased emphasis on the design process, which is especially important with the increased interest in installing heat pumps.

**Table 8: Summary of Proposed Code Changes**

Measure	Type	Applicable to	Brief Description
<b>Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/ Diffusers)</b>	Mandatory	All HVAC in all CZs, New Construction (NC); Not for multifamily.	Require documentation of load calculations and system sizing; provide details on duct/diffuser design; minimum heating capacity—not including supplementary heating.
<b>Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/ Diffusers)</b>	Mandatory	All HVAC in all CZs, Additions (ADD) and Alterations (ALT); Not for multifamily in CZs 1 and 16.	Require documentation of load calculations and system sizing, even for like-for-like replacements; provide details on duct/diffuser design; require use of average infiltration assumptions (or blower door test) and allow simplifying assumptions in some load calculations; minimum heating capacity—not including supplementary heating; and maximum equipment sizing limits (or ensure adequate airflow).
<b>Supplementary Heating</b>	Mandatory	Heat pumps in single family except CZ 7 and 15. Not for small single family or multifamily homes.	Install and field verify controls that lock out supplementary heating above a certain outdoor temperature; impose strip heating capacity limits.

Measure	Type	Applicable to	Brief Description
<b>Defrost</b>	Mandatory	Heat pumps in single family except CZs 6, 7 and small single family homes in CZs 3, 5-10, 15. Multifamily except CZs 1, 6-10, 15-16.	Set defrost delay timer optimally.
<b>Crankcase Heating (CCH)</b>	Prescriptive	Heat pumps and AC in all CZs. Not for multifamily homes in CZ 1.	Install OCST, or CCH with CC and TC.
<b>Refrigerant Charge Verification (RCV)</b>	Prescriptive	Heat pumps. In ALT and NC: CZs 1, 3-7, 16; Not for small single family homes or multifamily homes	Require documentation when refrigerant weigh-in method used and allow remote verification.
<b>Variable Capacity Systems</b>	Mandatory	Variable Capacity Systems in all CZs	Modify fan efficacy test procedure; clarify definition of system airflow; compliance model revisions to account for distribution loss impacts of variable capacity systems.

### 3.2 Justification and Background Information

#### 3.2.1 Justification

##### 3.2.1.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)

Proper design of a residential HVAC system is the foundation for energy savings and effective operation over the life of the system, for new homes as well as additions or alterations. Sizing is sometimes a delicate balance between oversizing (which can be inefficient, uncomfortable, and prevent effective humidity control), and undersizing (which can result in excessive operation of heat pump backup strip heating, insufficient airflow to achieve whole home comfort, and inability to achieve thermostat set points).

The requirements proposed here seek to provide load calculation and equipment selection guidance that is specific to California climates. This is achieved by putting more emphasis on design, by requiring that authorized sizing calculations (already required—based on authorized algorithms found in or ACCA Manual J, ASHRAE Manuals, or the SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Standards Manual) be submitted, and a duct and diffuser design be submitted, preventing of heat pump units (and avoiding excessive strip heating), avoiding upsized systems that have a steep energy penalty unless ducts are correspondingly upsized, and ensuring that

variable capacity and multi speed systems can operate at sufficiently low capacities. The requirements propose to provide more rigor in the requirement to use and document authorized load calculations and require a closer look at the impact of building infiltration on sizing. To reduce the time and cost for simple projects, allowance is made for simplification of the current procedures in many circumstances, although load calculations are explicitly now required even for like-for-like equipment replacements.

The benefits of these measures include avoiding the energy penalty from an upsized system in existing buildings without correspondingly upsized ducts where cooling is dominant and minimizing use of supplementary heating by avoiding the heat pump in buildings where heating is dominant. It would also improve comfort by encouraging selection and location of diffusers to ensure adequate mixing. This measure would also result in significant improvements in electrical demand when strip heating is installed. By preventing oversizing, it would reduce wear and tear on a system and extend its life.

### **3.2.1.2 Supplementary Heating**

Some heat pumps are installed with gas or electric backup or supplementary heating, depending upon climate, equipment sizing, and contractor experience. For the HVAC designer, supplementary heating can provide an added capacity cushion to maintain customer comfort and satisfaction. Supplementary heating should be used sparingly: electric resistance supplementary heating uses about three times as much electric energy as a heat pump compressor to provide a unit of heat, while a "dual fuel" system that couples a heat pump along with a natural gas furnace as a backup heat source may result in more greenhouse gas emissions than a standard heat pump. With a well-designed heat pump system, supplementary heating should seldom operate in most California climates. To minimize energy consumption, proper sizing of the heat pump and controls are needed to ensure that the heat pump provides as much of the required heating as possible. The supplemental heating measure requires installation of controls that lock out the operation of the supplementary heating when temperatures are not severe and limit the capacity of electric resistance strip heating to the minimum needed for emergency or defrost operation. Because this control is so critical to avoiding the potential for significant energy waste with supplementary heating, HERS verification is proposed to be required. To assist in both installation and verification, manufacturers of heat pumps and third-party thermostats would be required to produce simple language instructions of how to configure their equipment to meet these requirements.

These measures would provide cost effective energy savings in most climate zones, by eliminating the use of either inefficient electric resistance strip heating or natural gas at times when the heat pump would provide comfort more efficiently and with fewer greenhouse gas emissions. They would also provide significant benefits to winter morning peak demands, through proper control and a limit on capacity. Possible comfort

impacts may occur for those occupants who choose to implement a deep nighttime setback, by extending the amount of time needed to reach setpoint.

It is important to note that avoiding supplementary heating makes all the other performance measures proposed here even more important (e.g., sizing, defrost controls, and air distribution design).

### **3.2.1.3 Defrost**

To remove ice from heat pump outdoor coils at times when outdoor temperatures are low and humidity is high enough, heat pump systems typically utilize a defrost cycle. In a defrost cycle, the system "reverses," essentially air conditioning the indoor space to warm up the outside coils (for ice removal), followed by a period of recovery, during which the system must reheat the space. Energy used for defrosting is necessary, but does not contribute to useful heating, and can create comfort problems.

This measure would require that, when present, the manufacturer's defrost delay timer be set to a moderate value of no less than 90 minutes to extend the amount of time between defrost cycles.

This would reduce energy use by heat pumps by reducing the frequency of defrost cycles and the length of time the unit spends in defrost mode. The proposed control requirement would improve peak demand, as the conditions that are conducive to frost development coincide closely with winter morning peaks in California's mild climates. The requirement can also improve comfort, as it would minimize unnecessary defrost operation. Reducing the frequency of defrost operation can also reduce wear and tear on a system and improve system life.

### **3.2.1.4 Crankcase Heating**

Crankcase heating (CCH) is used in most heat pumps and air conditioners to prevent compressor damage by keeping the compressor warmer than the outdoor coils and casing<sup>6</sup>. Field monitoring studies have found that CCH can consume surprising amounts of energy on an annual basis, particularly in low load buildings or other applications where the compressor is off for much of the year. CCH that runs during mild conditions is particularly a problem in air conditioners, where the CCH can run all winter long while the system is not running.

This prescriptive space conditioning control measure requires installation of an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat (OCST) to reduce energy use. Alternatively, the installer can choose a system that has no CCH, or one that has a CCH with better controls. There are two components to these "better controls," which have been modeled separately. The first component – Compressor Control or CC—would ensure

---

<sup>6</sup> During off-cycles, the refrigerant would migrate to the coldest part of the system.

that the CCH will turn off when the system is operating. The second component – Temperature Control or TC – would ensure that the CCH will turn off at warmer outdoor temperatures when the system is *not* operating.

CCH energy is a “vampire” electrical load—silent and overlooked—but significant when totaled over time and across all California air conditioner and heat pump units. Especially in mild climates where systems are likely to be off for significant fraction of the year, CCH can represent a large fraction of the energy consumed over the year. Studies have found situations where CCH represented about half of annual energy (for example (B. A. Wilcox 2018, Dryden 2021, McHugh 2022)). Better control can reduce this significantly. CCH operation does not represent a significant peak demand issue, since its use is not concentrated during either the summer or winter peak. It should have no effect on comfort. So long as the CCH is not disabled at times when it would be needed, it should not affect system life or maintenance requirements.

### **3.2.1.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

Proper refrigerant charge is necessary for air conditioners and heat pumps to operate at peak performance in all climate zones. The primary methods of verification of refrigerant charge in Title 24 Part 6 currently include either testing the refrigerant temperatures and pressures with refrigerant gauges or adding the correct amount of refrigerant by weight to an empty or partially charged system. For the former, a HERS Rater is required to visit the site to do independent testing. For the latter, a HERS Rater is required to visit the site to observe the weigh-in process.

Challenges with ensuring proper refrigerant charge include inadequate training, out-of-calibration gauges, charging air conditioners when outdoor temperatures are too low, the time and cost for a HERS Rater to be at the site (along with logistical challenges of being there at the right moment during installation), concerns about the inaccuracy of the current requirements, and the fact that modern systems are more complicated for which standard procedures are not appropriate. Since manufacturers take great pains to determine the optimum amount of charge, it would be preferred to use their recommended charge weight.

The proposed measure would extend verification requirements to heat pumps in all climate zones. It retains existing methods but adds rigor to the weigh-in method while providing a remote verification option that should make the process easier and less expensive in most situations. It removes the description of the Field Indicator Display method of compliance, as there is no record that it has ever been used or any indication that it is likely to be used in the future.

The revised procedures for charge verification would not affect energy savings or cost effectiveness in the climate zones where it is already required for both cooling-only systems and heat pumps. But energy savings for charge verification are higher for heat

pumps than for cooling-only systems, and charge verification was found to be cost effective for heat pumps in single family homes in all climate zones, even those where it was not cost effective for cooling-only systems. Adding increased rigor to the weigh-in method makes charge verification more accurate, only increasing energy savings, although these additional savings were not modeled. The allowance for remote verification may also lead to increased compliance rates for this measure. The HERS verification process may be a big contributor to non-compliance rates, due in part to the inconvenience and complexity of coordinating system startup with HERS Rater availability—which seems especially unwarranted when charge weigh-in is the only verification needed—and the requirement in some cases for homeowners to be present. Reducing the cost and inconvenience of this verification may improve compliance rates.

### **3.2.1.6 Variable Capacity and Zonally Controlled Systems**

Variable Capacity and Multi Speed (VCMS) systems can provide much improved system efficiency, which is reflected in the high SEER and HSPF ratings. However, for systems with ducts in vented attics without roof deck insulation, duct losses climb as the airflow rate declines, and the distribution efficiency can be greatly reduced. This effect is captured when systems are modeled using the VCHP-Detailed method in CBECC-Res but is not reflected in standard CBECC-Res modeling of VCMS systems. The proposed change to compliance models would account for reduced distribution efficiency at lower speeds and airflows. Zonally controlled systems would not be affected by this change since duct air velocity is maintained.

The proposed measure would require change in the way that fan efficacy is measured during field verification and clarifies how system air flow is defined in zoned systems. It also proposes modifying the way that variable capacity systems are modeled in vented attics.

## **3.2.2 Background Information**

### **3.2.2.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

Design includes selecting the right type of system for the application (building characteristics and climate); sizing the unit and system optimally; sizing and positioning ducts and diffusers to provide well-mixed conditioned air without drafts; and selecting features that would improve performance. Sizing must find a balance between oversizing and undersizing.

In section 150.0(h) of the standards, Title 24 Part 6 currently requires that cooling and heating loads be determined using a method based on the ASHRAE Handbook, the SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Standards Manual, or ACCA Manual J.

ACCA Manual J is the decades old load calculation with continual support by Air Conditioning Contractor of America (ACCA, Manual J—Residential Load Calculation 2016). The SMACNA Manual and ASHRAE Handbooks also provide algorithms that are authorized to be used in load calculation. Algorithms provided in these sources can be embedded in commercial software or can be used in manual calculations.

Title 24, Part 6, 150.0(h) also notes that the system must meet a minimum heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the California Building Code (CBC). It specifies that the load calculations shall be based on an indoor temperature of 68°F for heating and 75°F for cooling, and on outdoor design conditions selected from Reference Joint Appendix JA2.2 (which is based on data from the ASHRAE Climatic Data from 1982 for Region X—Including California). It says that “The outdoor design temperatures for heating shall be no lower than the Heating Winter Median of Extremes values. The outdoor design temperatures for cooling shall be no greater than the 1.0 percent Cooling Dry Bulb and Mean Coincident Wet Bulb values.”

The California Green Code, Title 24, Part 11, Section 4.507.2, states that heating and air conditioning systems shall be sized, designed and have their equipment selected using ACCA Manuals J, D, and S, although there is no indication, it has been followed consistently. However, there is no specific requirement in Title 24, Part 6 to follow Manual S for system selection (ACCA, Manual S—Residential Equipment Selection 2014). For heat pumps, Manual S calls for sizing the heat pump to satisfy cooling loads regardless of climate zone, and to make up any shortfall in heating capacity with strip heating. It should be noted that Manual S is currently being revised, and it is expected that a new version would be available before the 2025 revisions to Title 24 Part 6 are adopted. The Statewide CASE Team provided comments to ACCA to ensure that it is the most informed basis from which to define California sizing requirements.

The California Mechanical Code requires that residential ducts be sized according to ACCA Manual D (or other approved methods).

Typical sizing methods allow for several implicit and explicit safety factors that consistently result in oversized systems:

- Using the most severe outdoor temperatures for sizing
- Assuming the worst regarding building infiltration
- Using authorized load calculation methods, many of which are known to oversize by approximately 20 percent in some situations.
- Using Manual S, which allows for a safety factor in the selected system size.
- Using all these to identify a “good” size, and then rounding up to the next larger system.

These combine to result in a very oversized system. It should be remembered that oversizing a system is not the “safe” solution, as an oversized system can have as many comfort problems as an undersized system. And if an oversized unit is not accommodated by installing upsized ductwork, there can be a steep energy penalty.

The mandatory measures proposed in this section include the following:

### **Requirements Related to Load Calculations:**

- The design temperatures to be used in the authorized load calculations are proposed to be based on updated 2021 ASHRAE Region X weather data, and to be based on the 1 percent heating value, rather than the more severe “Heating Winter Median of Extremes” values, because the latter leads to oversized systems. Indoor temperature assumptions are not proposed to be changed.
- Accurate load calculations are important, but they can be time consuming. This proposal addresses this concern by allowing simplifying assumptions for the inputs to authorized load calculations in some circumstances. For example, in a simple like-for-like HVAC replacement (replacing with the same type of system and the same or smaller size), designers would be allowed to make assumptions such as a square home, and only general window locations. These simplifying assumptions are designed based on an analysis of the sensitivity of authorized load calculation outputs to different inputs. These assumptions would not be allowed for installation of heat pumps with electric resistance strip heaters, where sizing is more critical in managing the system’s annual energy usage.
- For existing buildings, the envelope infiltration leakage load calculation input is critical. It is common for designers to use this input to ensure a “safety factor” by assuming high leakage, resulting in a larger system. This is not a desired practice, so the proposed requirements here would allow designers to upsize the unit based on a leaky envelope only if they establish through “blower door” infiltration measurements that it is in fact leaky. Otherwise, they would be required to assume no greater than “average” leakage and disclose that the leakage was not measured.
- This measure proposes to require that the output of an authorized load calculation, (and inputs and a description of the calculation if a load calculation software tool is not used) including design conditions, be submitted with a CF-1R to the local jurisdiction for plan review.
- Like-for-like equipment replacements, or “box-swaps” have been allowed in the past without load calculations. However, many existing systems were poorly sized to start with, and changes to the home over time make any sizing estimates in the past irrelevant. Load calculations would be required even for this application.

## Requirements Related to System Selection:

- It is proposed that systems selected must meet ACCA Manual S limits, with the following mandatory modifications:
  - Minimum sizes:
    - For heating-only systems and heat pumps, the heating capacity (not including any supplementary heating) must be at least as large as the design heating load.
  - For alterations, maximum sizes:
    - For cooling-only systems, or heat pumps where the design cooling load is greater than the design heating load (cooling-dominated application):
      - The cooling capacity cannot be more than 6,000 Btuh larger than the design cooling load, and the heating capacity cannot be more than 12,000 Btuh larger than the design heating load.
      - For multi- and variable-speed systems, the *lowest speed* cooling capacity cannot be more than 80 percent of the design cooling load.
    - For heat pumps where the design heating load is greater than the design cooling load (heating-dominated application):
      - For multi- and variable speed systems, the *lowest speed* heating capacity cannot be more than 80 percent of the design heating load.
    - These maximum capacity limits are waived if system airflow is verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton. Since this is already a requirement for new construction, these maximum limits do not apply to new construction. The limits are also waived if the design cooling load is more than 12,000 Btuh larger than the design heating load, since it may then be unavoidable to oversize the heating capacity.
- Undersizing of heating systems is disallowed to prevent the common practice of downsizing a heat pump and making up for the lack of capacity with inefficient strip heating or fossil fuel use. There are no proposed restrictions on undersizing air conditioners.
- This proposal is more lenient about oversizing systems, particularly in heating dominated applications, and where the duct system is appropriately sized. This reflects research that found that, in heating dominated applications or in situations where the ducts are correspondingly upsized, there is little energy

penalty for installing an oversized system (Domanski, Henderson and Payne 2014). In cooling dominated applications, however, they found about a 7 percent energy penalty if a system is oversized by 20 percent, and ducts are not correspondingly upsized. In cooling-dominated applications, it would likely be impossible to avoid somewhat oversizing the heating, so the proposed measure allows oversizing of cooling capacity by up to 6,000 Btuh and heating capacity by up to 12,000 Btuh in these cooling-dominated applications.

For alterations, designers can choose to oversize the system in excess of these limits, however, so long as they verify that the system airflow is sufficient, in some cases requiring duct modifications.

In the small fraction of applications that are extremely cooling-dominated—defined as having cooling loads that exceed heating loads by more than 12,000 Btuh—it may be impossible to adequately limit heating oversizing. In these applications, system designers can also waive the limits described above.

- The limits above relate to the capacity of a single-speed system, or the maximum capacity of a multi- or variable-speed system. For multi- and variable-speed systems, the degree to which the system can “turn down” to operate at a lower capacity is also important to its overall performance. The proposed limits for the maximum allowed lowest speed capacity to require that the system be able to turn down to 80 percent of the design cooling load for cooling dominated applications or 80 percent of the design heating load for heating dominated applications. Again, the designer can choose to waive these limits so long as the ducts are appropriately sized for the system’s nominal capacity, and in extremely cooling-dominated applications.
- The measure also refers to requirements from Manual S-2023 for other limits on heat pumps, cooling-only systems, and heating-only systems.

### **Requirements Related to Ducts and Diffusers:**

- Duct size and diffuser type and location are important for providing comfort. This is especially critical for heat pumps, where the supplied air is at a much lower temperature than that supplied by a furnace (typically about 100°F vs. 130°F for a furnace). Diffusers are rated by the manufacturer with a certain “throw.” This defines the distance from the diffuser to a point where air velocity has been reduced to 50 feet per minute, where it is suitable to be introduced into the occupied zone. A well-designed and placed diffuser would have a throw that is well matched to the room size. These factors are described in ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals, Chapter 20.

The proposed measure would not require designers to design the ducts and diffusers well, but would require that they look at the manufacturer specs of the

diffusers that they would employ, and show the manufacturer throw and room geometry. It is proposed that these factors be required to be included on the plans with at least a schematic diagram and room-by room information. If a designer has given some thought to the design of the system, this should take very little incremental time.

The benefits of these required measures depend on whether the building is in a cooling or heating dominated climate zone. With cooling dominated applications, the primary benefit is from avoiding the energy penalty from an oversized system without correspondingly upsized ducts. This penalty is expected to vary from about five percent for a ten percent oversized system to over 20 percent for a one hundred percent oversized system (Domanski, Henderson and Payne 2014). With heating dominated applications, the primary benefit is avoiding undersizing the heat pump and requiring excessive amounts of supplementary heating, from either electric resistance strip heating or furnace operation (in a dual fuel system).

This measure would also have a big impact on peak demand when strip heating is installed. A strip heater on an undersized heat pump attempting to warm up a space on a cold winter morning would have a very significant increased demand. It would also have an impact on comfort, since it avoids excessive oversizing that threatens comfort due to short-cycling (never staying at the setpoint for long) and difficulty in controlling humidity. Oversizing that causes the system to cycle frequently can cause more wear and tear on a system and reduce its life.

### **3.2.2.2 Supplementary Heating**

Most heat pump space conditioning systems utilize supplementary heating to ensure that the capacity is always sufficient to provide comfort to occupants. This backup heating can be either an electric resistance strip heater or a natural gas furnace. While this may be necessary in some very cold climates, interviews with contractors throughout California have found that with a well-designed system, it is not necessary in most residential applications. Even in Colder climate zones within California, the use of heat pumps designed with improved capacity at lower temperatures can avoid the need for supplemental heating.

Electric resistance heating uses about three times as much energy as the heat pump compressor to provide heat, and electric heat has been disallowed as a primary source of heating in California for decades. When designers choose to install electric resistance heating backup with heat pumps, its use must be carefully controlled to avoid excessive energy use, particularly on cold winter mornings when occupants want their system to warm rapidly.

“Dual fuel” systems that use a heat pump along with a natural gas furnace are sometimes installed as a retrofit (making replacement of the air handler unnecessary

and often avoiding the need for installing a 220V circuit to the air handler) or a new system where the heat pump and furnace can be matched by the manufacturer. These systems are sometimes selected because they do not require replacement of the air handler, or because homeowners or builders/contractors believe that the heat pump is not a mature technology, and they are anxious about relying on it to provide adequate comfort. With a well-designed system, it is possible that the furnace would seldom operate. To minimize the use of fossil fuels, controls should be installed to ensure that the heat pump provides as much of the heating as possible.

The requirements that are currently in Section 110.2(b)2 of Title 24, Part 6 related to strip heating specify that strip heating shall have controls that prevent strip heater operation when the heating load can be met by the heat pump alone. They also state that the strip heater must have controls in which “the cut-on temperature for compression heating is higher than the cut-on temperature for supplementary heating, and the cut-off temperature for compression heating is higher than the cut-off temperature for supplementary heating.” Exceptions are provided for defrost, and for recovery from setback so long as controls “preclude the unnecessary operation” of the strip heater. These requirements are difficult to interpret and impossible to enforce consistently. Supplementary heating in the form of a backup natural gas furnace is not addressed.

In its Performance Tested Comfort Systems (PTCS) program, Bonneville Power Administration requires that auxiliary strip heat must be controlled in such a manner that it does not engage when the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F, except when supplemental heating is required during a defrost cycle or when emergency heating is required during a refrigeration cycle failure (Burke 2019).

The mandatory measures proposed in this section include the following:

- Both electric resistance strip heating and furnaces in dual fuel systems are required to have controls that can lock out the supplementary heating whenever the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F. The temperature selection was made to provide optimal solutions for both very cold climate zones that have a very low design temperature, and more mild climates where the control should be more stringent.

This type of control can typically be carried out by a thermostat (either from the OEM or a third-party manufacturer) that has access to an outdoor air temperature sensor or some other way of accessing local weather information (e.g., a smart thermostat). It can also be carried out by OEM or third-party controls on the outdoor unit. In either case, the measure requires that the controls be wired correctly, setpoints be set appropriately, and any other configurations such as dip switches or thermostat installation settings be correct. This is important since heat pumps (especially dual fuel) are more complicated

than conventional systems, and there may be installers who are not aware of the importance of these settings or trained in how to set them.

- Properly configured controls are required not only to ensure optimal performance, but also to avoid the potential for very high energy use and high winter morning peak demands. HERS verification of this configuration is required. Because it is difficult for both installers and HERS Raters to identify the proper way to configure systems, simple language easily accessible instructions for configuring the system to meet these requirements would be required to be provided by the manufacturer of either the heat pump or the thermostat (particularly important if a third-party thermostat is used). Interviews with contractors indicated that controls for heat pumps (and especially for control of supplementary heating) are more complex than conventional systems, and that many contractors are not aware of the importance of configuring controls correctly. As the state and the entire HVAC industry moves toward electrification of heating end uses rapidly, it is essential that heat pumps are installed and controlled correctly.
- A second requirement sets a limit on the capacity of a strip heater. This would prevent excessive energy use if for some reason the controls are not adequate. It would also minimize the peak demand impact of strip heaters on cold winter mornings. The limit is based on either the difference between the heating capacity at the design temperature and the heating design load, or 2.7 kw per nominal ton, whichever is greater. The first metric is a common way of sizing a heat pump, based upon the balance point. 17 percent of respondents to a survey of contractors reported that they size the strip heater capacity to equal or exceed the entire heat pump nominal heating capacity. If the heat pump is sized according to the limits described in Section 3.2.1, however, this capacity limit should not be an issue. The second metric is an amount of heating designed to mildly temper air delivered during transient defrost operation, without carrying the entire heating load of the home during defrost<sup>7</sup>. This approach would allow for indoor temperature to be maintained during the defrost cycle.

Implementing the proposed modifications in Title 24, Part 6 provides cost effective energy savings by eliminating the use of either inefficient electric resistance strip heating or natural gas at times when the heat pump would provide comfort more efficiently and with fewer greenhouse gas emissions. It would also provide significant benefits to winter morning peak demands, through proper control and a limit on capacity.

---

<sup>7</sup> Power required to heat air from 50°F just to 72°F at about 390 cfm/ton.

Possible comfort impacts may occur for those occupants who choose to implement a deep nighttime setback. Extending the time needed to reach set point can occur, and occupants may choose to modify the nighttime setback strategy. The strip heater capacity limit may result in brief periods of cooler-than desired supply air during defrosts cycles, but these would be brief, and the capacity of the heat pump would soon be available to provide the necessary heating.

It is important to note that avoiding supplementary heating makes all the other performance measures proposed here even more important. It reinforces the importance of adequate attention to sizing, air distribution design, and defrost controls, for example.

### **3.2.2.3 Defrost**

A defrost cycle removes ice from the heat pump outdoor refrigerant coil when outdoor temperatures are low and relative humidity high enough. In heating operation, the outdoor coil of a heat pump is significantly colder than the ambient temperature as the evaporator needs a temperature difference to extract heat from the outdoor air. When the outdoor dry bulb temperature is low enough to cause below freezing coil air temperatures, frost build up on the outdoor coil is common. This affects the heat transfer capacity of the outdoor coils, and lower the airflow through the coils, further reducing the evaporator temperature and heat transfer capacity. In extreme situations, the airflow can be reduced to such an extent that the system would no longer be able to operate due to low refrigerant pressure controls.

To prevent this, systems typically utilize a defrost cycle. During periods where frosting is likely (normally outdoor air temperatures in the mid-thirties to mid-forties), the system reverses operation and pulls heat from indoors and rejects the heat to the outdoor coil. In heating mode, this is essentially air conditioning the indoor space to warm up the outside coils, followed by a period of recovery, during which the system must reheat the space. Since providing cooling during already cold conditions can be uncomfortable to the occupants, most systems compensate by running supplementary strip heat to temper the air.

There is not a lot that can be done to reduce the energy consumed for defrosting, but a good control algorithm can ensure that it runs as infrequently and as quickly as possible, to just prevent frost. Many systems use both temperature and time controls to initiate and to terminate the cycle. For example, some systems initiate the defrost mode only after a specified amount of time has elapsed after conditions become conducive to frost, based on outdoor air temperature or an outdoor coil temperature. The defrost mode itself can be terminated after a set amount of time, or when conditions are no longer conducive. The only user-configurable parameter of most defrost cycles is the “delay time,” or the amount of time that must elapse before initiating the defrost mode.

Typical settings are 30, 60, 90, or 120 minutes, and the longer the delay, the less energy would be used on defrost. This delay time is typically configured through use of a dip switch or jumper on the control board of the outdoor unit.

Some higher-end systems utilize more advanced controls, including sensing temperatures at different points in the coil, or sensing any increasing resistance to airflow across the coil. Some systems disable the inside blower so that cold air is not blown on occupants. This may affect the amount of time the system has to stay in defrost mode. The energy used during these advanced defrost cycles is part of the federally regulated performance rating of a heat pump or air conditioner (AHRI 2023), so any requirements on these controls would be preempted, and are not proposed in this CASE Report. Since this feature is seldom advertised or considered a differentiator, lack of information can be a market barrier, and it is reasonable for code to address this.

The only mention of defrost in Title 24, Part 6 is to clarify that supplemental heating can be provided during a defrost cycle. There is no mention of controls that can minimize the energy used during these cycles by ensuring that they run as infrequently as possible and continue only as long as needed to eliminate coil icing.

The mandatory measure proposed in this section include the following:

- This measure requires the installer to set the defrost delay timer—if it exists—to a value of no less than 90 minutes. Many contractors have found that 120 minutes is adequate in most California climates, and 90 minutes is a safe and efficient setting.
- The measure also requires the manufacturer to provide simple instructions for how to configure this timer. Proper settings are required to be HERS verified.

This new proposed requirement would reduce energy use by heat pumps by reducing the frequency of defrost cycles and the length of time the unit spends in defrost mode. Energy impacts can be estimated from analysis of fraction of time in a defrost cycle that the system is in defrost mode vs. delay mode. Energy use by the heat pump is constant during both delay and defrost. The strip heater should only operate during the defrost mode, when it must both address current heating loads as well as make up for the cooling effect of the heat pump—with a very low coefficient of performance of 1.0. If the delay time is increased from 45 minutes (midway between the 30- and 60-minute

settings that are common in some systems) to 90 minutes (the limit recommended by this measure), energy used for defrosting would be reduced by about 25 percent.<sup>8</sup>

The proposed control requirement would impact peak demand, as the conditions that are conducive to frost development coincide closely with winter morning peaks. The requirement can also have comfort implications, as it would minimize unnecessary defrost operation. Reducing the frequency of defrost operation can also reduce wear and tear on a system and improve system life.

#### **3.2.2.4 Crankcase Heating**

CCH is used in most heat pumps and air conditioners to keep the compressor warmer than the outdoor coils and casing. This prevents the migration of liquid refrigerant into the compressor, which could cause severe damage on startup. CCH only needs to run when the compressor is off to ensure that upon startup refrigerant has not accumulated in the compressor. It never needs to run when the compressor is operating. A variety of superior technologies exist, including compressors that inherently do not require CCH, and controls that operate CCH only as needed. Poorly controlled CCH is particularly a problem in air conditioners, where the CCH can run all winter long.

Field monitoring studies have found that CCH can consume surprising amounts of energy on an annual basis, particularly in low load buildings or other applications where the compressor is off for much of the year. For one monitored project, CCH in apartments consumed 900-2300 kWh/year, or almost half of the total annual HVAC load (McHugh 2022). CCH at each apartment at a housing complex in California represented a fixed load of about 100 Watts, consuming about 900 kWh/year or half of the average systems' energy use (Dryden 2021). Researchers studying a research house in central California found CCH was roughly half of the system's annual cooling energy usage (B. A. Wilcox 2018).

DOE regulates OFF mode power, including CCH, for residential air conditioners and heat pumps (DOE 2022). This regulation is based on the test method provided in AHRI Standard 210/240 (AHRI 2023), which describes how the off-mode Power Consumption (referred to as  $P_{w,off}$ ) is to be established in a test lab. The method of test includes measuring power consumed by a heat pump or air conditioner when the unit is connected to its main power source but is neither providing cooling nor heating to the building it serves. Hence it includes the CCH, but also components such as controllers

---

<sup>8</sup> Assumes that the system energy consumption divided by heating load (E/L) is about 0.33 during the defrost delay (as expected for heat pump compressor operation) and is 2.33 during defrost operation (heat pump still runs in cooling mode with a COP of 3, and strip heating both meets heating load and removes an equivalent amount of cooling, each with a COP of 1). Assuming 12 minutes of defrost operation per cycle, going from a delay of 45 minutes to 90 minutes decreases the percent time in defrost from 21 percent to 12 percent per cycle. The average cycle E/L is reduced from 0.75 to 0.57: a 25 percent reduction.

and indicators.  $P_{w,off}$ —the final metric—is the average of the off-mode power per compressor, measured under conditions simulating the heating (P1) and shoulder (P2) seasons. These values are adjusted for the type of controls and for whether compressors are single- or variable speed.

DOE efficiency standards require systems with a nominal capacity of less than three tons,  $P_{w,off}$  be no greater than 30W for air conditioners and 33W for heat pumps, and for larger systems it must be no greater than 10W/ton for air conditioners and 11W/ton for heat pumps.

Regulations related to off-mode power consumption do not, however, explicitly address *on-mode* consumption. The federal test standard does not require that a CCH be present during those tests (per AHRI 210/240 Appendix G. Unit Configuration for Standard Efficiency Determination – Normative), and hence federal regulation is silent on energy use by CCH when the system is in on-mode.

It is impossible to identify in the field whether a unit has a CCH and how it is controlled.

The prescriptive space conditioning control requirements include two alternative means of compliance for heat pumps or air conditioners:

- Installation of an OCST, or
- Better control: disclose that there is no CCH, or that it has “better control”, which must include two components:
  - The CC requirement states that CCH may not run continuously when the compressor is operating.
  - The TC requirement states that CCH control includes either thermostatic control (disabling CCH above a fixed outdoor temperature no higher than 71°F or differential temperature between crankcase and evaporator or condenser) or Positive Temperature Coefficient Control.

CCH energy is a “vampire” electrical load: silent and overlooked, but significant. This is especially true in mild climates where systems are likely to be off for a significant fraction of the year. CCH can represent a large fraction of the energy consumed over the year. CCH operation does not represent a significant peak demand issue, since its use should not coincide with either the summer or winter peak (although avoiding operation when the compressor is running would provide more savings during peak periods). It should have no effect on comfort. So long as the CCH is not disabled at times when it would be needed, it should not affect system life or maintenance requirements.

### **3.2.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

Proper refrigerant charge is necessary for air conditioners and heat pumps in all Climate Zones to operate at peak performance. Installers must ensure that a new system has

the proper amount of charge before completing an installation, and this has been required beginning with the 2001, Title 24, Part 6 standards. With the increasing use of heat pumps, incorrect charge affects heating as well as cooling performance.

The primary methods of verification of refrigerant charge in Title 24, Part 6 currently include testing the refrigerant temperatures and pressures with refrigerant gauges or adding the correct amount of refrigerant by weight to an empty or partially charged system. For the former, a HERS Rater is required to visit the site to do independent testing. For the latter, a HERS Rater is required to visit the site to observe the weigh-in process. A review of CalCERTS registry data revealed that of the 71 thousand air conditioners that did charge verification in the 2019 code cycle, 83 percent used the subcooling method (form MCH-25B), and only 16 percent used weigh-in (form MCH-25-C) (CalCERTS 2023). For the 23 thousand heat pumps verified, the numbers were split more evenly: 57 percent used subcooling and 42 percent used weigh-in. Only half of one percent used the superheat method (form MCH-25A), and only one E form was filed (winter set up).

An alternative to refrigerant charge verification using a “fault indicator display” or FID has been allowed. As of this date no manufacturers have stepped forward with a device that meets the specifications listed in the Joint Appendices Section JA6.1. Measuring the temperature difference (“split”) between supply and return air streams is a component of the current FID specification, and an improved method was proposed in the 2019 Quality HVAC CASE Report as an alternative to charge verification but was not adopted due to concerns with its accuracy (Heinemeier 2020). The HERS registry from 2019 found that MCH-25D forms were filed using the FID method (CalCERTS 2023).

Challenges with ensuring proper refrigerant charge include:

- Inadequate technician training.
- Difficulty in coordinating the HERS visit with the contractor refrigerant charge activity, and narrow window of opportunity for verification leading to non-compliance.
- Some of the charging processes are lengthy (such as system evacuation), and it is impractical for a HERS Rater to be present to observe them.
- Varying manufacturer charge test specifications and refrigerant weigh-in information provided.
- Some variable capacity systems cannot use the standard charging protocol due to their inability to set compressor speed and/or do not provide charge ports.
- Challenges with winter charge verification when the outdoor temperature is lower than 55°F such as manufacturer prohibitions against restricting outdoor unit

airflow, and lack of follow-up when verification is deferred until outdoor temperatures rise.

- Inadequate evacuation and leak detection due to improperly maintained vacuum pumps or limited time for evacuation.
- Out-of-calibration gauges or scales
- Concerns about the accuracy of the current requirements
- Lack of charge verification where sampling is allowed.

Modern HVAC systems are more complicated, and the “standard procedures” (charge testing procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2 Title 24, Part 6, 2022) are less appropriate, and manufacturers take great pains to determine the optimum amount of charge. Using their recommended charge weight can be the most accurate, although the current weigh-in method lacks rigor and lacks a confirming performance test to ensure that the system is truly ready to operate efficiently. It is possible to weigh in the correct amount of charge but have systems still not perform properly due to other faults, such as low indoor coil airflow or line restrictions.

There are currently two primary methods allowed for verifying that the right amount is added: testing the performance of the system (subcooling for TXVs or superheat for fixed metering devices—the “standard” procedure) or observing that the installer added the required amount by weight (the “weigh-in” procedure). In both cases, a HERS Rater must travel to the site and perform their own tests or observe the installers adjustments. Sampling is allowed for the standard procedure, but not for the weigh-in procedure. To address situations where the outdoor conditions are not conducive to a charge test when the system is installed in winter, allowances are provided for modifying the test protocol or allowing for tentative approval pending future testing during the summer.

In RA3.2, the current weigh-in method requires that the installer confirm—and that the HERS Rater observe—several factors:

- The system is evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rises no more than 300 microns over five minutes.
- The system is brazed with dry nitrogen in the lines and indoor coil.
- For weigh-in charge adjustment: The installer adds or removes the correct amount of charge, including adjustments for the diameter and extra length of the line set, indoor coil (adjustments provided by manufacturer), and liquid line filter drier.
- For weigh-in of total charge: the installer adds or removes the correct amount of charge, based on line set, indoor coil, filter dryer, and standard label charge.

Charge verification is currently required in only Climate Zones 2 and 8-15, because it was not found to be cost effective for cooling-only systems in other climate zones.

Credit is given for charge verification with application of a “Compressor Efficiency Multiplier” within the CBECC-Res compliance software: 0.90 without verification, and 0.96 with verification. This reflects both the reduction in performance possible if the system is poorly charged and the probability that the system would end up properly charged with and without verification.

A third method of verification is currently offered in Title 24, Part 6: Field Indicator Display (FID). This was added as a placeholder to allow manufacturers to propose other methods to comply, although its definition is very thoroughly described. This method has never been utilized, and as written, it is not likely to be used. There is also more general language that allows anyone to propose a new method to the Energy Commission for approval as an acceptable alternative.

The accuracy of the standard procedure has been questioned. Researchers at Purdue University evaluated the charge testing procedure in Title 24, Part 6 (among other diagnostic methods), and found that it can lead to false alarm rates on the order of 50 percent (Yuill, Cheung and Braun 2014). Using refrigerant gauges in the standard procedure provides opportunities for both refrigerant release (a significant greenhouse gas problem), and introduction of moisture and other non-condensable into the system, (a threat to performance and equipment life). Modern systems are more complicated, making the standard method less appropriate, and the performance charts provided for the standard method do not apply to variable capacity systems.

At the same time, technologies have emerged that allow contractors to collect, record, and report information about installation and adjustment processes and the results of tests. At the most basic level, almost all field installers have a smart phone capable of taking time-stamped photographs of instrument gauge readings. For more advanced documentation, Visual Service ([visualservice.com](http://visualservice.com)), for example, allows the installer to use any Bluetooth-enabled instruments to take measurements (such as refrigerant canister weight, and air flow and temperature measurements) and record the readings. Reports specific to code compliance can be created and securely transmitted to a HERS Rater. These types of solutions make it possible to get robust validation of contractor activities without the expense of a HERS Rater traveling to the site.

This CASE Report proposal provides a set of code changes that would shift focus from charge testing to verified weigh-in, while adding more rigor to the weigh-in method. At the same time, it provides an option that would allow HERS Raters to verify weigh-in remotely, with electronic documentation uploaded by installers. The proposed measure retains existing methods but adds rigor to the weigh-in method while providing a remote verification option that should make the process easier and less expensive in most situations.

Charge verification has greater savings for heat pumps than for cooling-only systems, because heat pumps operate year-round. The Compressor Efficiency Multiplier within CBECC-Res for verified and unverified systems is not proposed to be changed.

The prescriptive measures proposed in this section include the following:

- Refrigerant charge verification is proposed to be required for heat pumps.  
**Exception:** Pre-charged systems that have a line set length within 5' and a coil size within 10 percent of the manufacturer's defaults.
- Systems for which charge cannot be adjusted may not use compression or flare fittings.

Modifications to the Charge Verification options include:

- Standard Charge Verification Procedure:
  - Current procedure including winter setup and tentative approval with return visit.
  - Not allowed for variable capacity systems, although variable capacity systems may follow manufacturer charge verification test method.
- Weigh-In Charging Verification Procedure:
  - Document system was brazed with dry nitrogen in the lines and indoor coil, and type of fittings used.
  - Document system was evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rose no more than 300 microns over five minutes prior to weighing-in refrigerant (in-person verification, vacuum gauge photographs, or electronic instrument records).
  - Document assumptions for weigh-in adjustment or total charge weigh in (estimate geometry, line set assumed by manufacturer, excess line set length, adjustment for indoor coil(s) as recommended by manufacturer, filter dryer) and resulting total or added/removed refrigerant weight required per manufacturer's requirements.
  - Document weight added or recovered (in-person verification, before and after scale photographs, or electronic instrument records).  
**Exception:** Vacuum documentation is not required if verification or documentation is provided that no fittings (other than the fitting to the compressor) are compression or flare fittings (in-person verification, photographs).
  - Weigh-in Procedure can be observed by HERS Rater in person or verified remotely through review of submitted documentation.

It is also proposed to remove any mention of the FID approach from Title 24, Part 6.

The revised procedures for charge verification would not affect energy savings or cost effectiveness in the climate zones where it is already required for both cooling-only systems and heat pumps. In the climate zones where charge verification was not previously found to be cost effective for cooling-only systems—1, 3-7, and 16—charge verification was not required. But energy savings for charge verification is higher for heat pumps than cooling-only systems, and charge verification was found to be cost effective for heat pumps in all climate zones, even those where it was not cost effective for cooling-only systems.

Although it is not modeled, it is expected that the revised procedures would make charge verification more accurate, due to the increased rigor for the weigh-in method. The allowance for remote verification may also lead to increased compliance rates. A properly charged system would provide comfort more reliably. A system that is poorly charged or contains non-condensable due to an improper evacuation would have a reduced system life and require maintenance or replacement prematurely.

Other solutions such as automated Fault Detection and Diagnosis (FDD) were also considered. A measure to allow long-term FDD to be substituted for charge verification was considered for the 2022 Title 24 Part 6 code cycle, but it was problematic because it is difficult to ascertain in a fair and robust way that a particular tool is reliable enough. These considerations are documented in the CASE Report developed at that time (Heinemeier 2020). The current proposal is a step in this direction, by allowing installers to make use of advanced tools to make their own diagnoses.

### **3.2.2.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

Variable capacity (VC) heat pumps and air conditioners, including those with two-speed and variable speed compressors and fans, can provide much improved system efficiency, which is accounted for in high efficiency ratings. However, for systems with ducts in vented attics without roof deck insulation, conduction losses increase as the airflow rate declines, and the distribution efficiency can be greatly reduced (Krishnamoorthy, Modera and Harrington 2017). This effect is captured by compliance software when systems are modeled using the “VCHP-Detailed” option (only available for cold-climate heat pumps that are NEEP listed) but is not reflected in standard CBECC-Res modeling of VCMS systems. The proposed change to compliance software would account for reduced distribution efficiency of all variable capacity systems while operating at lower speeds and airflows in response to the reduced instantaneous building loads as modeled. Zonally controlled systems would not be affected by this change since duct air velocity is maintained. This change would have little or no impact on systems with ducts in vented high-performance attics (prescriptively required in Climate Zones 4 and 8-16) or where ducts are in conditioned space.

Fan efficacy testing measures the fan power consumed per cfm of system airflow. This can be no more than 0.58 W/cfm for heat pumps or 0.45 W/cfm for furnaces. This metric is used to confirm that the fan energy of a system is not excessive, although it has a more important purpose of ensuring that the airflow of the system is adequate. Airflow and fan efficacy testing is done for VCMS systems at maximum speed.

A current exception to fan efficacy verification for zonally controlled systems allows efficacy verification to be conducted with all zones calling (zone dampers open) if a variable capacity system is installed. However, this overlooks the efficacy penalty if a single zone is calling for high speed fan and not all dampers are open, which can result in much reduced airflow and increased fan energy.

Title 24, Part 6 Section 150.0(m)13C requires zonally controlled systems to deliver through the air handler fan in every zonal control mode 350 cfm per ton of nominal cooling capacity. Taken literally, this would require each air handler used in a multi-split DX or multizone hydronic system to deliver 350 cfm per ton. This oversight can be overcome by including an exception stating if one compressor serves multiple air handlers that the sum of measured air handler airflows must meet or exceed 350 cfm/ton.

The mandatory measures proposed in this section include the following:

- For all Variable Capacity/Zoned Systems, airflow and efficacy testing must be done with only a single zone (the smallest, or the dump zone) calling, not with all zone dampers open. This would ensure that when the system is only serving a single zone it would continue to operate efficiently. To pass this test would require that systems coordinate fan speed with the number of zones calling. Such products are available from major manufacturers.
- For systems with individual compressors serving multiple air handlers, the *sum* of airflows measured at all air handlers must be at least 350 cfm per ton of nominal compressor capacity.
- For non-zonally controlled VCMS systems with attic ducts, performance (airflow, distribution efficiency, and duct loss) would be calculated by compliance software as a function of instantaneous building load.

A current exception to fan efficacy verification for zonally controlled systems allows efficacy verification to be conducted with all zones calling if a variable capacity system is installed. A modification to this exception would require efficacy to be tested when only one zone (the smallest, or the dump zone) is calling to verify that controls adequately reduce fan speed under this condition. This code change acknowledges that there is currently no requirement that fan, and compressor speed be coordinated with the number of zones calling, which can result in watts per cfm far exceeding the allowed 0.45 or 0.58 values.

### 3.3 Summary of Proposed Changes to Code Documents

The sections below summarize how the standards, Reference Appendices, Alternative Calculation Method (ACM) Reference Manuals, and compliance forms would be modified by the proposed change.<sup>9</sup> See Section 8 of this report for detailed proposed revisions to code language.

#### 3.3.1 Specific Purpose and Necessity of Proposed Code Changes

Each proposed change to language in Title 24, Part 1 and Part 6 as well as the reference appendices to Part 6 are described below. See Section 8.2 of this report for marked-up code language.

##### 3.3.1.1 *Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)*

---

#### **Section: 10-103 – Permit, Certificate, Informational, and Enforcement Requirements for Designers, Installers, Builders, Manufacturers and Suppliers.**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purposes are to require that the load calculation report be submitted with the Certificate of Compliance, that submitted building plans must include at least a schematic diagram and room-by-room duct and diffuser design information, and that various compliance and maintenance documents be left at the building,<sup>32</sup> attached to the indoor air handling unit.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to ensure that sizing and design items are developed by designers and documented for installers, and that information critical to future maintenance is more likely to be retained with the unit.

---

#### **Section: 150.0(h)1 – Mandatory Features and Devices, Space-Conditioning Equipment, Building Cooling and Heating Loads.**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to require that the load calculation report be submitted with the Certificate of Compliance.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to ensure that These changes are necessary to ensure that sizing and design items are developed by designers and documented for installers.

---

<sup>9</sup> Visit [EnergyCodeAce.com](https://www.energycodeace.com) for trainings, tools and resources to help people understand existing code requirements.

---

**Section: 150.0(h)2 – Mandatory Features and Devices, Space-Conditioning equipment, Design Conditions.**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to change the outdoor design condition to be used for sizing heating systems, and to utilize the most up to date weather data.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to ensure that sizing assumptions are optimal for year-round operation.

---

**Section: 150.0(h)5 – Mandatory Features and Devices, Space-Conditioning Equipment, Duct Design (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to require that submitted building plans must include at least a schematic diagram and room-by-room duct and diffuser design information.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to ensure designers have designed air distribution system to provide adequate mixing and minimize drafts.

---

**Section: 150.0(h)6 – Mandatory Features and Devices, Space-Conditioning Equipment, System Selection (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to provide guidance on how to use authorized load calculation in sizing, and the requirements for submittal of sizing calculations, primarily for new construction. It provides minimum capacity limit for heating, not including any supplementary heating and states that there is no maximum limit for new construction.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to ensure designers have avoided situations where system performance may be degraded due to undersizing.

---

**Section: 150.2(a)1E and 2D – Energy Efficiency Standards for Additions and Alterations to Existing Single family Residential Buildings – Additions: Prescriptive and Performance (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to modify 150.0(h)1 requirements for load calculations in additions: It allows simplifying assumptions for small additions (except HP with strip), clarifies that room-by-room loads are not required, and requires ‘average’ leakage or measurement with disclosure.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to simplify the load calculation process in some situations, and to prevent the practice of assuming a leaky envelope to justify a larger system.

---

**Section: 150.2(b)1O and 2D – Energy Efficiency Standards for Additions and Alterations to Existing Single family Residential Buildings – Alterations: Prescriptive and Performance (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to modify 150.0(h)1 requirements for load calculations in alterations: It clarifies that it is still required for like-for-like, allows simplifying assumptions for like-for-like (except HP with strip), clarifies that room-by-room loads are not required, and requires “average” leakage or measurement with disclosure.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to require load calculations even when a system is being swapped out for another similar system, simplify the load calculation process in some situations, and to prevent the practice of assuming a leaky envelope to justify a larger system.

---

**Section: 150.2(b)2E – Energy Efficiency Standards for Additions and Alterations to Existing Single family Residential Buildings – Alterations: Prescriptive and Performance (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to provide an exception so that room-by-room duct information is not required when ducts are not replaced or added, in an alteration.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to avoid complicating the design process when ducts are not modified.

---

**Section: Joint Appendix 2.2: California Design Location Data, Table 2-3- Design Day Data for California Cities**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to update the design data with more recent 2021 ASHRAE design temperature data.

**Necessity:** This change is necessary to update design data

---

**Section: Residential Appendix TBD – Simplifying Load Calculation Input Assumptions (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to provide simplifying assumptions allowed for load calculations in some circumstances.

**Necessity:** This change is to simplify the load calculation process.

### 3.3.1.2 *Supplementary Heating*

---

**Section: 150.0(h)9 – Mandatory Features and Devices, Space-Conditioning Equipment, Supplementary Heating Control Configuration (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** Changes to this section would identify required controls that would lock out supplementary heating above a certain temperature and define a maximum capacity for electric strip heating. They would require that manufacturers of heat pumps and/or thermostats would provide simple instructions on how to configure their equipment to meet these requirements, and that the controls be configured correctly. It would provide a maximum capacity limit on electric resistance strip heaters. It would require HERS verification that heat pumps are capable and configured to do lockout supplementary heating above a certain OAT.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to ensure that supplementary heating is not used when it is not needed.

---

**Section: Residential Appendix TBD: Procedure for Verification of Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls. (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to provide procedures for verification supplementary heating control configuration.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to provide guidance to HERS Raters on how to confirm proper configuration of system controls.

### 3.3.1.3 *Defrost*

---

**Section: 150.0(h)7 – Mandatory Features and Devices, Space-Conditioning Equipment, Defrost (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to require that defrost delay timers shall be set optimally, and that manufacturers of space conditioning systems would provide simple instructions on how to configure their equipment to meet these requirements, and that the controls be HERS verified.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to ensure that defrost modes are engaged less frequently.

---

**Section: Residential Appendix TBD: Procedure for Verification of Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls. (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to provide procedures for verification of defrost delay timer configuration.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to provide guidance to HERS Raters on how to confirm proper configuration of system controls.

### **3.3.1.4 Crankcase Heating (CCH)**

---

**Section: 150.1(c)7B – Performance and Prescriptive Compliance Approaches for Single family Residential Buildings, Prescriptive Standards/Component Packages, Space Heating and Space Cooling, Crankcase Heating (NEW)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to provide options and exceptions for CCH.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to ensure that heat pumps and AC systems are operating to minimize electrical energy usage.

### **3.3.1.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

---

**Section: 150.1(c)7A– (New Construction) Performance and Prescriptive Compliance Approaches for Single family Residential Buildings. Space heating and space cooling. Refrigerant Charge and 150.2(b)1Fii and iii – (Alterations) Prescriptive, Altered space-conditioning system - mechanical cooling.**

---

**Specific Purpose:** Changes to these sections include removing the FID option, adding a stipulation that systems may use a method recommended by manufacturer, adding an exception that pre-charged systems with line set and coil sizes close to manufacturer's defaults are not required to do RCV, and changing the climate zones where RCV is required.

**Necessity:** These changes would clean up the code by removing a major section that is no longer needed, provide exceptions to reflect the technical limitations of charge verification procedures, and note which climate zones RCV is required for AC and HP.

---

### **Section: Joint Appendix 6.1: Fault Indicator Display (FID)**

---

**Specific Purpose:** This appendix, which includes all the detailed requirements for the FID method of charge verification, is removed in its entirety. This method has never been utilized, and with advances in technology, it is no longer expected to be used.

**Necessity:** This change would clean up the code by removing a major section that is no longer needed.

---

**Section: RA3.2.3 Weigh-In Charging Procedure**

---

**Specific Purpose:** This section is changed to apply to heat pumps, provide an exception from vacuum verification when better fittings are used, and provide a new section describing the requirements for remote verification of the weigh-in procedure.

**Necessity:** These changes are required to describe the enhanced requirements for documentation and relaxed requirements to allow remote verification.

---

**Section: RA3.4.2 Fault Indicator Display (FID) Verification Procedure**

---

**Specific Purpose:** This section, which requires field verification for FID charging procedure, is removed in its entirety. This method has never been utilized, and with advances in technology, it is no longer expected to be used.

**Necessity:** This change would clean up the code by removing a major section that is no longer needed.

### **3.3.1.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

---

**Section: 150.0(m)13C & D**

---

**Specific Purpose:** The specific purpose is to modify Exception 1 to Section 150.0(m)13C to require that fan efficacy tests effectively address zonally controlled variable capacity systems. This may be accomplished by eliminating or slightly modifying the current exception that applies to these systems.

**Necessity:** These changes are necessary to ensure that these systems perform at required efficacy levels in all modes.

## **3.3.2 Specific Purpose and Necessity of Changes to the Residential ACM Reference Manual**

### **3.3.2.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

Section 2.4.1 Heating Subsystems and 2.4.6 Cooling Subsystems of the Single Family ACM Reference Manual would be revised to clarify the approach to sizing for the Standard and Proposed Designs.

### **3.3.2.2 Supplementary Heating**

Section 2.4.1 Heating Subsystems of the Single Family ACM Reference Manual would be revised to define how supplementary heating is controlled in the software.

### **3.3.2.3 Defrost**

Section 2.4.1 Heating Subsystems of the Single Family ACM Reference Manual would be revised to incorporate details on how defrost is modeled in the software.

### **3.3.2.4 Crankcase Heating**

Section 2.4.1 Heating Subsystems of the Single Family ACM Reference Manual would be revised to incorporate details on how CCH is modeled in the software.

### **3.3.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

Section 2.4.7 Verified Refrigerant Charge of Fault Indicator Display of the Residential ACM Reference Manual currently describes how credit is calculated when charge is verified to be correct. It estimates impacts by establishing a Compressor Efficiency Multiplier (CEM) which is used in compliance performance calculations to degrade the efficiency of a compressor to 90 percent of the rated efficiency when charge is not verified as correct but is increased to 96 percent of the rated efficiency when it is verified as correct. This would be expanded to include a specific CEM for heating. References to the fault indicator display option would also be deleted.

Additionally, Appendix G – Algorithms would be updated to specify the details of how the CEM is applied in the equations that calculate efficiency.

### **3.3.2.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

The proposed code change would not modify the ACM Reference Manual.

## **3.3.3 Summary of Changes to the Residential Compliance Manual**

The following information would be added or revised in the Residential Compliance Manual:

### **3.3.3.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

- Revise Sections 4.2 and 4.3 of the Residential Compliance Manual to provide the sizing limits for Heating and Cooling systems, respectively, for new construction, additions, and alterations. This includes exceptions for existing homes where airflow is verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton.
- Provide specific requirements for submitting authorized load calculation inputs and/or outputs in the permit application package.
- Describe the simplifying assumptions that are allowed to the authorized load calculation inputs in some cases.
- Describe requirement to assume only “average” envelope leakage.
- Clarify that load calculations are now required even for like-for-like replacements.
- Clarify that room-by-room loads are not required.

- Provide the specific requirements for including at least schematic diagrams and room-by-room duct and diffuser information, except when ducts are not replaced or modified.

### **3.3.3.2 *Supplementary Heating***

- Describe the requirement to lockout supplementary heating on heat pumps, including obtaining instructions from manufacturer and configuring controls.
- Describe requirements to confirm that variable and multispeed systems installed with third-party thermostats must be configured correctly, including obtaining instructions from manufacturers a configuring control.

### **3.3.3.3 *Defrost***

- Describe the requirements for the defrost delay timer, including obtaining instructions from manufacturer and configuring controls.

### **3.3.3.4 *Crankcase Heating***

- Describe what manufacturer documentation can be used to ascertain whether or not there is CCH and whether the CCH meets requirements.
- Describe prescriptive options and how to provide the necessary information.

### **3.3.3.5 *Refrigerant Charge Verification***

- Describe the new procedure for remote verification of weigh-in.
- Describe new exceptions from RCV requirements.

### **3.3.3.6 *Variable Capacity Systems***

- Add a discussion of VCMS and duct losses to the Residential Compliance Manual.

## **3.3.4 Summary of Changes to Compliance Forms**

The proposed code changes would modify the compliance forms, as listed below.

### **3.3.4.1 *Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)***

- CF1R-PRF, ALT-02, and NCB: Add requirements for load calculation report to be submitted and plans to include at least a schematic diagram and room-by-room duct and diffuser information.
- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section I: Remove capacity information.
- CF2R-MCH-TBD: New form to show system selection information including loads and capacities.

### **3.3.4.2 Supplementary Heating**

- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section H: Add space to record whether supplementary heating is used.
- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section H: Add space to record that supplementary heating controls (controls capability, provided instructions, configuration of controls) and capacity for strip heaters meet requirements.
- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section H: Add space to record that variable and multi speed systems installed with third-party thermostats meets requirements (instructions provided and system configured).
- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section N: Add HERS test required for configuration of space Conditioning System Controls (Supplementary Heating Lockout).
- CF2R-MCH-TBD: New form to document configuration of space Conditioning System Controls (Supplementary Heating Lockout).
- CF3R-MCH-TBD: New form to verify configuration of space Conditioning System Controls (Supplementary Heating Lockout).

### **3.3.4.3 Defrost**

- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section H: Add space to record that defrost delay timer setting meets requirements (instructions provided, timer configured).
- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section N: Add HERS test required for configuration of space Conditioning System Controls (Defrost Delay Timer).
- CF2R-MCH-TBD: New form to document configuration of space Conditioning System Controls (Defrost Delay Timer).
- CF3R-MCH-TBD: New form to verify configuration of space Conditioning System Controls (Defrost Delay Timer).

### **3.3.4.4 Crankcase Heating**

- CF2R-MCH-01-E, Section D: Add space to record prescriptive CCH option chosen, confirm compliance, and provide additional information.

### **3.3.4.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

- CF2R-MCH-25c (Documentation of Weigh-In): Modified to reflect exception for verification of vacuum when better fittings are used.
- CF3R-MCH-25c (Observation of Weigh-In): Modified to reflect exception for verification of vacuum when better fittings are used.
- CF2R-MCH-25g (Remote Documentation of Weigh-In): New form to include documentation requirements for remote weigh-in, and reflecting exception for verification of vacuum when better fittings are used.

- CF3R-MCH-25g (Remote Verification of Weigh-In): New form to include documentation verification for remote weigh-in and reflect exception for verification of vacuum when better fittings are used.
- CF3R-MCH-25d (FID): Eliminate form for Refrigerant Charge FID Option.

#### **3.3.4.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

The proposed code change would not modify the Compliance Forms.

### **3.4 Regulatory Context**

#### **3.4.1 Determination of Inconsistency or Incompatibility with Existing State Laws and Regulations**

##### **3.4.1.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

The California Green Code, Title 24, Part 11, Section 4.507.2, states that heating and air conditioning systems shall be sized, designed and have their equipment selected using ACCA Manuals J, D, and S. The CEC should consider modifying requirements in Title 24, Part 11 requirements to agree with the requirements proposed here for Title 24, Part 6.

The California Mechanical Code requires that residential ducts be sized according to ACCA Manual D (or other approved methods). This is consistent with the requirements proposed here.

##### **3.4.1.2 Supplementary Heating**

This proposal is not relevant to other parts of the California Building Standards Code (<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>). Changes outside of Title 24, Part 6 are not needed.

##### **3.4.1.3 Defrost**

This proposal is not relevant to other parts of the California Building Standards Code (<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>). Changes outside of Title 24, Part 6 are not needed.

##### **3.4.1.4 Crankcase Heating**

This proposal is not relevant to other parts of the California Building Standards Code (<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>). Changes outside of Title 24, Part 6 are not needed.

### **3.4.1.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

This proposal is not relevant to other parts of the California Building Standards Code (<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>). Changes outside of Title 24, Part 6 are not needed.

### **3.4.1.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

This proposal is not relevant to other parts of the California Building Standards Code (<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>). Changes outside of Title 24, Part 6 are not needed.

## **3.4.2 Duplication or Conflicts with Federal Laws and Regulations**

### **3.4.2.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

There are no relevant federal laws or regulations.

### **3.4.2.2 Supplementary Heating**

There are no relevant federal laws or regulations.

### **3.4.2.3 Defrost**

There are no relevant federal laws or regulations.

### **3.4.2.4 Crankcase Heating**

DOE regulates (DOE 2023) OFF mode power, including CCH, for residential air conditioners and heat pumps. The test method provided in AHRI Standard 210/240 (AHRI 2023) and Appendix M1 of CFR 10 Part 430 Subpart B (DOE 2022) describes how the Off-mode Power Consumption (referred to as  $P_{w,off}$ ) is to be established in a test lab. Regulations related to off-mode power consumption do not, however, address *on-mode* consumption. On-mode performance is generally included in other efficiency ratings and tests and hence are regulated. However, the standard does not require that a CCH be present during those tests (per AHRI 210/240 Appendix G. Unit Configuration for Standard Efficiency Determination – Normative), and hence federal regulation appears to be silent on energy use by CCH when the system is in on-mode.

### **3.4.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

There are no relevant federal laws or regulations.

### **3.4.2.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

There are no relevant federal laws or regulations.

### **3.4.3 Difference From Existing Model Codes and Industry Standards**

#### **3.4.3.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

Right sizing is a part of national programs such as ENERGY STAR® and the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC).

#### **3.4.3.2 Supplementary Heating**

There are no relevant industry standards or model codes.

#### **3.4.3.3 Defrost**

There are no relevant industry standards or model codes.

#### **3.4.3.4 Crankcase Heating**

There are no relevant industry standards or model codes.

#### **3.4.3.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

There are no relevant industry standards or model codes.

#### **3.4.3.6 Variable Capacity Systems**

There are no relevant industry standards or model codes.

## **3.5 Compliance and Enforcement**

When developing this proposal, the Statewide CASE Team considered methods to streamline the compliance and enforcement process and how negative impacts on market actors who are involved in the process could be mitigated or reduced. This section describes how to comply with the proposed code change. It also describes the compliance verification process. Appendix E presents how the proposed changes could impact various market actors.

### **3.5.1 Compliance Activities During Phases of Project**

#### **3.5.1.1 Full Summary of Measures**

*(HL= Heating Load; HC=Heating Capacity at Design Temperature; CL= Total Cooling Load; CC=Total Cooling Capacity at Design Temperature)*

1. *If Addition or Alteration:*

- a. Assume no more than “average” envelope leakage (*or do blower door test to establish envelope leakage*)
- b. *If like-for-like replacement or small addition and not a heat pump with strip heater:* May use simplifying assumptions in load calculation.

2. Include load calculation report or calculation inputs, outputs, and description with CF1R, (*unless like-for-like or downsized replacement of properly sized system*)
3. Include diagram and information on ducts/diffusers on plans.
4. Select suitable unit:
  - a. HC must be  $\geq$  HL.
  - b. *If CL is between HL and HL+12000, and airflow  $\leq$  350cfm/ton:*
    - i. CC must be  $\leq$  CL + 6000.
    - ii. HC must be  $\leq$  HL+12000.
    - iii. *If variable capacity or multi speed:* Turns down to below 80 percent.
  - c. *Prescriptive:* Install Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat (*or CCH has better control*)
  - d. *If strip heater:* Limit on capacity
5. *If strip heater or dual fuel:* Install controls that lock out supplementary heating above 35°F.
6. Set defrost delay timer.
7. *Prescriptive:* *If air conditioner in certain climates or heat pump in all climates, verify refrigerant weigh-in (or, if not variable capacity, standard charge test):* Document weigh-in parameters, may upload documentation (*or have in-person verification*)

*If variable capacity and zoned system:* Modified fan efficacy test procedure

**Table 9: Sample Paths for Common Projects**

*(Assuming: single speed, not extremely cooling dominated application, no supplementary heating.)*

Project	Sample Path
<b>Replace AC/Furnace with Heat Pump</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assume “average” envelope leakage.</li> <li>• Use simplifying assumptions in load calc.</li> <li>• Include load calc report with CF1R.</li> <li>• Include duct/diffuser info on plans.</li> <li>• Select suitable unit:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <math>HC \geq HL</math></li> <li>○ If <math>CL &gt; HL</math>:  <math>CC \leq CL+6000</math> and <math>HC \leq HL+12000</math></li> <li>○ OCST or CCH well controlled</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Set defrost delay timer.</li> <li>• Upload documentation of refrigerant weigh-in</li> </ul>

Project	Sample Path
<b>Like-for-Like AC/Furnace Replacement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assume “average” envelope leakage.</li> <li>• Use simplifying assumptions in load calc.</li> <li>• Include load calc report with CF1R.</li> <li>• Include duct/diffuser info on plans.</li> <li>• Select suitable unit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <math>HC \geq HL</math></li> <li>○ <del>If <math>CL &gt; HL</math>:</del> <math>CC \leq CL + 6000</math> and <math>HC \leq HL + 12000</math></li> <li>○ OCST or CCH well controlled</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <del>Set defrost delay timer.</del></li> <li>• In <u>certain</u> climates: Upload documentation of refrigerant weigh-in</li> </ul>
<b>New Construction with Heat Pump</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Assume “average” envelope leakage.</del></li> <li>• <del>Use simplifying assumptions in load calc.</del></li> <li>• Include load calc report with CF1R.</li> <li>• Include duct/diffuser info on plans.</li> <li>• Select suitable unit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <math>HC \geq HL</math></li> <li>○ <u>If <math>CL &gt; HL</math>:</u> <math>CC \leq CL + 6000</math> and <math>HC \leq HL + 12000</math></li> <li>○ CCH well controlled</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <u>Set defrost delay timer.</u></li> <li>• Upload documentation of refrigerant weigh-in</li> </ul>
<b>New Construction with AC/Furnace</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>Assume “average” envelope leakage.</del></li> <li>• <del>Use simplifying assumptions in load calc.</del></li> <li>• Include load calc report with CF1R.</li> <li>• Include duct/diffuser info on plans.</li> <li>• Select suitable unit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <math>HC \geq HL</math></li> <li>○ <del>If <math>CL &gt; HL</math>:</del> <math>CC \leq CL + 6000</math> and <math>HC \leq HL + 12000</math></li> <li>○ CCH well controlled</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <del>Set defrost delay timer.</del></li> <li>• In <u>certain</u> climates: Upload documentation of refrigerant weigh-in</li> </ul>

The compliance verification activities related to this measure that need to occur during each phase of the project are described below:

## Design Phase:

- The system designer would complete authorized load calculations for new construction, additions, and alterations. In some cases of alterations and additions, these calculations can make use of simplifying assumptions [TBD].
- For additions, and alterations, when a heat pump with strip heating is installed, or when the designer does not choose to assume an envelope leakage of no greater than average envelope leakage, the installer would do a blower door test to establish the expected leakage, which would be included in the authorized load calculation inputs.
- The designer would generate an authorized load calculation report, (or a description of the inputs, outputs, and calculation method for non-software calculations).
- The designer would design the distribution system and prepare a room-by-room list of ducts and diffusers.
- The designer would select a system to be installed, following the requirements proposed here. If the designer chooses to oversize the system (or in situations where heat pump cooling loads are significantly greater than heating loads and it is impossible to meet limits for both cooling and heating capacity simultaneously), the designer would ensure that the system provides 350 cfm/ nominal system ton. This may require duct modifications. Because the airflow verification is done at the end, the designer would have to be quite sure they can meet this requirement before choosing to oversize the unit.
- If the designer chooses to include supplementary heating system, they ensure that it meets capacity limits (if electric resistance strip heating) and specify a thermostat or other controls that use either an outdoor air temperature sensor or an internet weather feed to lock out supplementary heating.
- The designer specifies installation of an OCST or selects a system that has CCH controls that meet the prescriptive requirements.
- If the designer is selecting a variable capacity system combined with zoning, they must specify one of the systems that integrate compressor and fan speed with zonal control.

## Permit Application Phase:

- The designer would submit authorized load calculation report, (or a description of the inputs, outputs, and calculation method for non-software calculations), selected system details, and duct/diffuser list or diagram on a CF1R to the local jurisdiction for plan review.

- The designer or energy consultant would include all choices in their compliance run.

### **Construction Phase:**

- If necessary, the installer would make duct modifications to ensure that the system provides 350 cfm/nominal system ton.
- The installer would obtain instructions for configuring supplementary heating lockout, setting defrost delay timer, and configuring variable or multispeed systems with third-party thermostats.
- The designer would install an OCST or obtain manufacturers documentation of CCH characteristics.
- If supplementary heating is used, the installer would ensure that outdoor temperature lockout control is configured correctly.
- If used, the installer would set the defrost delay timer to be  $\geq 90$  minutes.
- For variable or multispeed systems with third-party thermostats, the installer would configure the system to ensure that the capacity varies.
- In certain climate zones for cooling only or all climate zones for heat pump systems, the installer would select a method of Refrigerant Charge Verification.
- If a Standard Charge Verification is used, the installer would use existing methods to charge the system.
- If a remote Charge Weigh-in Verification is used, the installer would document all weigh-in parameters, document the vacuum reached and maintained, and document scale readings before and after weighing in the charge. These can be documented via photographs, or via electronic instrument records. A CF2R form would be provided to record all assumptions.
- For Variable Capacity/Zoned Systems, the installer would provide and install a system that complies with the specifications.

### **Inspection Phase:**

- No changes to building inspection process.
- HERS verification of proper configuration of the supplementary heating control and defrost delay timer settings would be required.
- If a Standard Charge Verification is used, and when sampled, a HERS Rater would travel to the site and conduct an independent test, as before.
- If an in-person Charge Weigh-in Verification is used, the installer would ensure that a HERS Rater would be at the site when the charge is weighed in, as before.
- If a remote Charge Weigh-in Verification is used, the installer would upload all documentation to a HERS Rater, who would verify it remotely.

## 3.5.2 Discussion of Compliance and Enforcement Processes

### Design Phase:

- Authorized load calculations are already required, so requirements to do this are not new. However, many designers have not done these required calculations in the past, so they would need training and support to do them. It is possible that more consultants would emerge who can do the calculations for designers. There are also distributors who provide these calculations as a service to their contractor customers.
- For those who already do authorize load calculations, they may find that simplifying assumptions make their jobs easier than before.
- Industry experts report that most HVAC installers also are not trained in how to do a blower door test. While it is not strictly required, it may be a good option for many projects. Again, training would be required, or consultants may begin offering this as a discrete service.
- Designing or inventorying existing ducts and diffusers and indicating them on a system schematic may require additional work on the part of the designer. They may require training, although this is already an important part of system design and this would be a welcome change in the industry, and a critical change when heat pump installation is more ubiquitous.
- While load calculations have been required for some time, designers have never been instructed on what to do with that information. For the first time, Title 24 Part 6 would put requirements on the systems they can select. This should not require any additional time, however, beyond what is already required.
- If the designer chooses to include supplementary heating system, ensuring that it meets capacity limits and specifying a thermostat or other controls that lock it out should not take extra time or expertise.
- In the short run, it may be difficult for designers to identify available system that have adequate CCH controls, because this information is sometimes difficult to find. Outreach to manufacturers would help to make this information more available.

### Permit Application Phase:

- Submitting the authorized load calculation report, and duct/diffuser schematic diagram with the CF1R to the local jurisdiction for plan review would not take much time, once the design has been established in the design phase. Care must be taken to ensure that all the information that is needed on design choices is available at this stage of project development.

- It is not expected that Plan Checkers would require much additional time to review submissions to verify that requirements are met.

### **Construction Phase:**

- If the designer chooses to oversize the system, the installer may have to make duct modifications. This would be additional work, but most installers know how to do this. This is already required, however, and is not a new requirement.
- Installers would be required to configure controls appropriately, which may require training. Manufacturers would help in this by producing a succinct and readily accessible description of how to configure and verify the configuration of their equipment to meet these requirements.
- Charge Weigh-in Verification would require more documentation than previously, and one more test (temperature split). The added time for these can be more than compensated for if remote verification is used.
- Four manufacturers have been identified that provide systems that integrate control of the compressor and fan speed with the number of zones calling for heating or cooling to avoid over-pressurization of ducts, a decrease in airflow, and an increase in fan energy use. These systems are unlikely to impact the time required for installation compared to other zoned systems.

### **Inspection Phase:**

- The documentation requirements for the remote Charge Weigh-in Verification option are provided in RA 3.2.3.3. The detailed procedure for submitting this documentation to HERS Raters would be developed by HERS Providers.
- HERS verification would be required for supplementary heating and defrost delay timer configurations. Because these are difficult for both installers and verifiers, simple instructions from manufacturers would be required.
- Verification of integrated variable capacity zoned systems would be simpler than methods required for other zoned systems. A test method to be developed in the Reference Appendices would require testing of airflow and efficacy when only one zone is calling, instead of every zonal control mode as is currently required for zoned systems, making the test no more time consuming than for single zone systems.

## 4. Market Analysis

---

### 4.1 Current Market Structure

The Statewide CASE Team performed a market analysis with the goals of identifying current technology availability, current product availability, and market trends. It then considered how the proposed standard may impact the market in general as well as individual market actors. Information was gathered about the incremental cost of complying with the proposed measure. Estimates of market size and measure applicability were identified through research and outreach with stakeholders including utility program staff, CEC staff, and a wide range of industry actors. In addition to conducting personalized outreach, the Statewide CASE Team discussed the current market structure and potential market barriers during a public stakeholder meeting that the Statewide CASE Team held on January 24, 2023, (California Statewide Codes & Standards Team 2023).

Currently, there are about 9,023,257 existing single family homes in California and 65,022 new homes are estimated to be built in 2026. These figures are based on forecasts provided by the CEC (see Appendix A for further details). The Statewide Case Team assumes that by 2026, 90 percent of new homes would be installing heat pumps. This fraction is expected to increase to 100 percent within the next 30 years, due to a range of factors including new code requirements, local reach code requirements for electrification and current and expected tax incentives. The residential HVAC performance measures described in this report would be applicable to all these homes, as described in the following sections.

The Statewide CASE Team estimates that 12.62 percent of HVAC replacements would install heat pumps in 2026 (see Appendix A for further details), and this fraction is expected to increase to 100 percent within the next 30 years, due to new code requirements, reach codes, tax incentives, and rebates from programs such as TECH Clean California. The following sections describe how many homes these measures would apply.

The measures described here would have an impact on several market actors. HVAC system designers and system installers typically work for the same HVAC contracting firm. These firms range from small “mom and pop”, one-truck firms to large and sophisticated firms employing a hundred or more HVAC professionals. These contractors purchase equipment and supplies from distributors that are sometimes aligned with manufacturers. Many distributors have experienced staff that play an important role in educating designers and installers on the proper use of the products that they sell. Energy consultants provide services to designers to run compliance software and determine if the building complies with code and help prepare documents

to submit to code officials. Plans checkers, who work for city or county jurisdictions, typically do a cursory review of submitted plans, primarily to determine whether required information is provided in the plan package. HERS Raters are independent consultants, hired by contractors to provide on-site testing and observations. It is possible that some of these roles may be modified as the market adjusts to the revised requirements in the 2025 version of Title 24, Part 6. For example, distributors or energy consultants may take on a bigger role in carrying out and documenting load calculations, system selection, duct/diffuser design, and blower door tests. When HERS Raters provide remote verification, they would be in much more of a quality-assurance rather than testing role.

Beyond the January 2023 Stakeholder meeting, the Statewide CASE Team met with several manufacturers and contractors during development of this proposal. In addition, the CASE team worked with Evergreen Economics to conduct a survey of contractors to assess the prevalence of some of the issues described below and to estimate incremental costs. The results are described in the sections below, but to summarize, there were 27 responses, submitted from 5/8 to 6/28, of which 22 reported that they have worked somewhat with residential HVAC in the last three years.

- 60 percent hold C20 licenses
- 95 percent have more than five years of experience in residential construction.
- 45 percent work for firms with ten or fewer employees, while the remainder were evenly split between firms with fewer than and greater than fifty employees.
- 45 percent work throughout California, and all regions were represented.
- Almost half of the respondents personally work in both single and multifamily, and for both retrofits and new construction, and other ten percent do all sectors except multifamily retrofit.
- About a third focus on only single family (both retrofit and new construction).
- Almost a third do the full range of services, including sales, design, and installs, while almost half focus only on design.

The team has reviewed data collected in the HERS Registry to ascertain rates of implementation of various processes and features.

## **4.2 Technical Feasibility and Market Availability**

### **4.2.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

The measures described in this report respond to several current barriers to the market for HVAC systems. Investments made in efficient HVAC that would be paid back over the life of the system in reduced energy bills are considered cost effective, although higher first costs are a barrier for a first-cost focused market, and for any situation

where the person paying for the improvement is not the same as the person paying bills (and benefitting from reduced bills). Sizing has direct implications on this first cost. While requiring a larger heat pump that would not have excessive strip heating comes at a higher first cost, it is partially offset by avoiding the cost of the strip heater itself. And preventing designers from specifying larger-than-needed systems would reduce first costs. Sizing also has an informational market barrier, as many contractors believe that undersizing can create comfort problems while overlooking the comfort problems created by oversizing. They can effectively convince homeowners to invest in a large system with the promise that it would provide better comfort, which is not necessarily true.

For additions and alterations, it would be important to educate contractors on this, so that they can effectively communicate to consumers as they are explaining why they may, for example, recommend replacing their current systems with a smaller unit. Conversely, the requirement that contractors must determine that an envelope is leaky before upsizing a system to accommodate those leaks is accompanied by a required disclaimer that they chose not to measure the leaks, and that the system may in fact be undersized. This of course could be counteracted by sealing leaks or measuring the infiltration rate.

Most systems installed in new construction, additions, and alterations are already required to use authorized load calculations, but there is no way to definitively determine how many do it since it is not required to be documented.

About half of respondents to the Evergreen Economics survey reported that they do not use software for load calculations for like-for-like replacements. 20 percent report not using software for other types of replacements, and 13 percent report not using software for new construction, additions, or remodels.

Respondents also reported on the amount of time they currently take to do design tasks. The average time required to gather and input data for load calculation was a little over two hours, and time to select system was a little over an hour—for a total of three hours and twenty minutes. However, this time depended on the respondent's approach to sizing. The eight respondents who said that they aim to select as small a system as possible while maintaining comfort reported spending an average of only one and a half hours for load calculation and a half hour for system selection, for a total of about two hours. On the opposite extreme, the two respondents who typically upsize generously reported spending an average of five and a half hours for load calculation, and one and a half hours for system selection, for a total of seven hours. The time to do load calculation and system selection also seems to depend on the software used for calculation, and some tools require significantly less time for the contractor. While the average respondent spends more time, clearly it is possible to do the sizing and system selection within about two hours.

A 2011 study of then-new construction found that 60 percent of systems were below the now-required 350 cfm/ton, and the median of these undersized duct systems were 20 percent undersized (Proctor, Chitwood and Wilcox 2011). Those new systems in 2011 now represent a best case for existing buildings, and the Statewide CASE Team assumed that 60 percent of existing homes would not meet the required 350 cfm/ton required to allow oversizing. The Statewide CASE Team assumed most designers of replacement systems would opt for not oversizing rather than doing ductwork modifications.

Products are readily available to ensure proper system design. Authorized load calculation software is available from multiple sources, including:

- Wrightsoft Right-J8
- Elite RHVAC
- Adtek Acculoads
- Florida Solar Energy Center's EnergyGauge (including Kwik Model)
- Carmelsoft HVAC ResLoad-J
- Avenir MJ8 Editions of HeatCAD and LoopCAD
- Cool Calc Manual J

While some software has been available for quite a long time, some of the software is new and responds to the need to make sizing quicker and more reliable. The blower door requirement should not be problematic as blower doors are standard diagnostic tools and are readily available in the market.

Changes in the design process would be required, although nothing is required that goes beyond the standard good practice for design. Authorized load calculations are already required, so this requirement is not new. However, many designers have not done these required calculations in the past, and designers have never been instructed on what to do with that information. For those who already do authorize load calculations, collecting inputs for load calculation can be time consuming, and many contractors may find that simplifying assumptions make their jobs easier than before. Training on how to do load calculations is offered by many entities, although more contractors may need to avail themselves of it. It is possible that consultants would emerge who can do the calculations for designers. In fact, there are already distributors who provide these calculations as a service to their contractor customers.

Time may be better spent measuring infiltration rates, although many contractors do not own blower doors or know how to do a test. This measure was designed to focus on the most important inputs in the load calculations, one of which is infiltration. While blower door testing is not strictly required, it may be a good option for many projects. Again, training would be required, or consultants may begin offering this as a discrete service.

Designing or inventorying existing ducts and diffusers may require additional work on the part of the designer. They may require training, although this is an important part of system design, and this would be a welcome change in the industry. For the first time, Title 24, Part 6 would put requirements on the systems they can select. Simply adhering to different limits on the size they can select should not require much additional time, however.

After completing the design, submitting the authorized load calculation report (or a description of the inputs, outputs, and calculation method for non-software calculations), selected system details, and duct/diffuser list or diagram on a CF1R to the local jurisdiction for plan review would not take much more time. Care must be taken to ensure that all the information that is needed on design choices is available at this stage of project development. If the designer chooses to oversize the system, the installer may have to make duct modifications. This would be additional work, but most installers know how to do this.

This measure is feasible in any climate zone, although optimum sizing depends on climate zone. It can be more difficult to change system size for an alteration, although downsizing a system is not difficult. There should be very few situations where an existing system would be required to be upsized (for example, an undersized heat pump that had utilized excessive strip heating to meet load), which would hypothetically be more difficult in existing buildings.

As the market transitions from furnaces to heat pumps, it is critical to do proper air distribution design to avoid drafts and improve comfort. This measure can have an impact on comfort, since it avoids excessive oversizing that threatens comfort due to short-cycling (never staying at the setpoint for long) and difficulty in controlling humidity. Oversizing that causes the system to cycle frequently can cause more wear and tear on a system and reduce its life. There should be no degradation in performance over time due to these measures. However, in some situations downsizing a replacement system may uncover maintenance problems that had been masked by an oversized system and causing high energy bills. Addressing these uncovered problems could further improve performance.

#### **4.2.2 Supplementary Heating**

Contractor and owner perception of heat pump effectiveness is a barrier to the implementation of heat pumps. The outdated perception that heat pumps do not adequately heat a home is still prevalent.<sup>10</sup> This can make it difficult to “sell” a customer on the need to fuel switch, and/or forego or minimize the size or operation of a strip

---

<sup>10</sup> See, for example, New York Times, E. Shao. “As Heat Pumps Go Mainstream, A Big Question: Can They Handle Real Cold?” Feb 22, 2023,

heater. Although many contractors in California avoid installing strip heaters, it would be important to disseminate case studies of installing systems without strip even in colder climate zones—both to contractors and owners. Most are not aware of cold-climate heat pumps that can be a very suitable solution in colder climates. For alterations, dual fuel can overcome market barriers of first cost, (by not requiring replacement of the air handler and possibly avoiding panel upgrades), and they overcome anxiety of new technologies and the perception that heat pumps are somehow not sufficient. These perceptions should be confronted head on, however, as they are truly outdated.

Interviews and a survey of contractors were conducted to determine the percentage of heat pump systems are installed with supplementary heating currently. We expect that with the current CASE proposal requirements that prevent undersizing, an even smaller number would be installed with supplementary heating. These are the heat pumps to which the supplementary heating measure would apply.

Properly configured controls are required not only to ensure optimal performance, but also to avoid the potential for very high energy use and winter morning peak demands. Therefore, manufacturers of heat pumps and thermostats would be required to produce a simple language one-page description of how to configure and verify the configuration of their equipment to meet these requirements. Interviews with contractors indicated that controls for heat pumps (and especially for control of supplementary heating) are more complex than conventional systems, and that many contractors are not aware of the importance of configuring controls correctly. As the state moves toward electrification of heating end uses rapidly, it is essential that heat pumps are installed and controlled correctly.

Heat pumps in all sizes and cold-climate heat pumps that can provide sufficient heating without supplementary heating are readily available on the market today, and new products are becoming available all the time. The controls to ensure that supplementary heating is not operated when unnecessary are also readily available: smart thermostats, proprietary thermostats for high-end and VC systems, proprietary controls on outdoor unit, and third-party controls on outdoor unit.

Installers throughout the state are prepared to implement the supplementary heating measure, although controls can be confusing, and it can be difficult to ensure they are set optimally. Designers need to be able to ascertain whether a particular product has the required controls for locking out supplementary heating above a certain temperature. Manufacturers of heat pumps and thermostats can help in this by making this feature more prominent in their product literature. Meeting the strip heating capacity limit is not at all difficult. Configuring controls is where this measure becomes difficult, and training that focuses on this would be helpful. Manufacturers can also help on this front by prominently providing simple instructions for this. This could also be helped by resources, such as the Thermostat Support Sheets provided in the Performance Tested

Comfort Systems by Bonneville Power Administration, which describes how to set up several common thermostat models for use with heat pumps (Bonneville Power Administration 2020). Because heat pumps are relatively new for many contractors, it would be necessary at least in the short term to verify that they have configured controls properly, especially for heat pumps and dual fuel systems. It is possible that this verification could be conducted remotely with use of photographs.

The supplementary heating measure is appropriate in all climate zones. Possible comfort impacts may occur for those occupants who choose to implement a deep nighttime setback. Extending the time needed to reach setpoint can occur, and occupants may choose to modify the nighttime setback strategy. The Evergreen Economics survey found that about half of contractors reported that they advise their customers not to use setback, and most said that fewer than 50 percent of their customers choose to use it. The Residential Energy Consumption Survey found that about 50 percent of California homes use nighttime setback in winter (EIA 2022).

The strip heater capacity limit may result in brief periods of cooler-than desired supply air during defrost cycles, but these would be brief, and the capacity of the heat pump would provide the necessary heating over time. 50 percent of respondents in the Evergreen Economics survey reported that they use strip heating often or sometimes, while 50 percent use them rarely, never, or almost never. For Dual Fuel systems, only 19 percent use them often or sometimes, and 81 percent use them rarely, never, or almost never.

Of the 23 comments provided in the Evergreen Economics survey regarding what considerations practitioners use to determine whether or not to use strip heating, 7 referred to the varying needs of different climate zones, 4 cited customer preferences, 3 referred to defrost or emergencies, 2 referred to lack of capacity, and 3 stated they prefer to upsize the heat pump or utilize a cold-climate heat pump. Other comments included that they would install strip heating when there is sufficient room in the panel, and that they would prefer to avoid installing it to reduce costs. The average changeover temperature for dual fuel heat pumps was 30°F (ranging from 15°F to 40°F). Half of all respondents reported that they size the strip heater to the difference between the Manual J heating load at design conditions and the heat pump capacity at the design conditions, while 17 percent reported that they size the strip heater capacity to equal or exceed the entire heat pump nominal heating capacity. One respondent working in the central valley offered up that they always use the smallest size available.

The persistence of savings from this measure should be good, unless an occupant reprograms their thermostat, or a subsequent contractor resets the thermostat or outside controller. Persistence could be improved with an increase in awareness of the peak demand problem created by strip heating.

### 4.2.3 Defrost

Because defrost operation is not obvious to users, how the defrost is controlled is not a feature that is typically advertised or considered a differentiator. This is a market barrier that codes could overcome.

All heat pumps require a defrost mode. While some systems have advanced defrost control, most rely on a delay timer that can be set using a jumper or dip switch. The defrost measure would apply to these heat pumps. This measure does not apply to the small fraction of systems that utilize a more advanced defrost control mechanism. Typically, these more advanced controls are only available on the most high-end systems.

Most contractors aren't aware of the delay timer setting, or its importance for energy and demand. Setting delay timer properly is a simple task, but training may be necessary to raise awareness of this mode, and to encourage contractors to use optimal configuration.

The requirement can provide improved comfort as it would minimize unnecessary defrost operation. Reducing the frequency of defrost operation can also reduce wear and tear on a system and improve system life. The persistence of this measure should be good, unless a contractor resets the timer. Since it would be easier to change this setting in response to a comfort complaint than to take the time to diagnose underlying causes, this is a risk. This is another aspect that would benefit from installer training and awareness.

### 4.2.4 Crankcase Heating

CCH is a “vampire” electrical load that most people are not familiar with. It is seldom a feature that is advertised or considered a differentiator. Code requirements could help to overcome this market barrier.

For the CCH measure, a review of CCH from Red Car Analytics (Stober and Bulger 2022) showed that of the heat pump systems that offered CCH as a standard feature, six percent did not appear to implement any control. This was only one model out of the 18 reviewed that have CCH— this does confirm that the number is not zero, but does not provide confidence in the exact fraction, so a conservative estimate of three percent was used for CC. In the review, 11 percent implemented CC but not TC, and 83 percent implemented TC. These statistics were generally true for both variable capacity systems and systems with single or multiple speeds. Data presented to DOE (apparently based upon a survey by Guidehouse) report that the average temperature cut off for CCH temperature control is about 71°F, indicating that half of units already meet the proposed TC requirements, while half do not (Unknown 2023). Therefore, it was

assumed that TC savings will apply to about 58 percent of heat pumps sold (6 plus 11 plus half of 83).

All that most contractors would have to do to comply with this measure is to install an OCST or an HVAC system that meets the prescriptive requirements and provide a copy of the manufacturer's literature describing it. In the short run, it may be difficult for designers to identify available system that have adequate CCH controls, because this information is sometimes difficult to find. Outreach to manufacturers would help to make this information more available.

This measure is feasible in all climate zones and for both heat pumps and air conditioners. It should have no effect on comfort, and so long as the CCH is not disabled at times when it would be needed, it should not affect system life or maintenance requirements. No evidence that leads to concerns about diminishment of savings over time.

#### **4.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification**

All manufacturers provide the information needed to weigh in the appropriate amount of refrigerant. Requirements for charging of Variable capacity systems varies by manufacturer. Some systems (mini-splits) do not provide a charging port, making it impossible to do charge tests, and to adjust charge later other than total evacuation and weigh in which is time consuming in a maintenance call and not likely to be done.

Tools are available to record instrument readings and securely transmit a report. For example, Visual Service and measureQuick. Several manufacturers are developing more advanced ways to determine whether their systems have the appropriate amount of charge, although it is not clear how many of these are used for fine-tuning the charge for optimum performance versus indicating severely incorrect charge that leads to system malfunction.

Most installers have some familiarity with the existing methods for charging and verifying the charge of air conditioning systems and heat pumps. These verifications have been applied successfully for over a decade in certain climate zones. Because savings are higher for heat pumps, they would be required throughout the rest of the state, and we can anticipate the same level of awareness and ability. The current methods for weigh-in verification would require more documentation than previously. The weigh-in verification documentation must be by HERS Providers in accordance with requirements of the Standards.

A properly charged system would provide comfort more reliably, while a system that is poorly charged or contains non-condensable due to an improper evacuation would have a reduced system life and require maintenance or replacement prematurely. Efforts taken during evacuation and better fittings would reduce the incidence of refrigerant line

leakage, improving the persistence of savings from proper charge. The persistence of savings would be increased if large numbers of installers would be encouraged to use better quality fittings that can be expected to leak much less over the years than conventional flared fittings.

#### **4.2.6 Change in Verification Procedures for Zonally Controlled Systems**

Through product literature and interviews with manufacturers, the Statewide CASE Team estimates that very few systems installed in California are currently variable capacity, and even fewer of these are zoned systems. These are the systems to which the Variable Capacity requirements would apply.

This code change was chosen to correct an oversight in the exception to the verification procedure which allows zonally controlled systems to be tested with all zone dampers open only if a variable speed compressor is installed. It is effectively not a new requirement but a modification to the existing verification procedure that has the consequence of meeting the intent of the original exception by introducing a new requirement that systems integrate fan/compressor speed with zonal control.

There are no technical barriers to the proposed change. A market survey found that four major manufacturers, Trane, Carrier, Lennox, and Rheem advertise systems that include this capability. The costs for these systems is likely higher than for systems that do not integrate these functions.

This code change proposal was presented at a stakeholder workshop and one builder was interviewed. The workshop did not produce any negative comments. The builder interview revealed that zonal control is more common than expected, and high-performance variable capacity systems are being used to overcome compliance challenges. The cost barrier, if it exists, may be overcome by decreased lifecycle heating and cooling costs, improved comfort, and reduced noise from registers that deliver more than their rated airflow. Additional research is needed to identify potential cost barriers.

The technical feasibility and need for this measure is clear when one considers what would happen when one zone thermostat in a multizone system calls for the heating or cooling system to operate at maximum speed. The resulting high volume of air the variable speed electronically commutated motor attempts to deliver to that single zone would result in low airflow, increased fan energy, and therefore poor efficacy. Greatly increased friction losses as the fan tries to force air through a single duct and register can result in excessive noise at the registers. The current exception that enables this condition aggravates the problem.

This measure is technically feasible in all cases where variable capacity systems deliver heating and cooling to zonally controlled distribution systems. There are no conflicts with other code requirements, and it meets the intent of the requirement that single speed zonally controlled systems be tested in every control mode.

A brief web search determined that systems that integrate system speed with zonal control are available from manufacturers including Trane, Carrier, Lennox, and Rheem. All have a California distribution network. Further investigation is needed to determine whether these products are locally warehoused and can meet potential market demand in a timely manner.

The current demand for these systems by the design community is probably low due to lack of knowledge of their existence, cost concerns, and codes that do not require them. Increased demand and competition are likely to have a favorable impact on costs.

This measure would not require a change in typical design strategy. Using components that are designed to work together from the same manufacturer may simplify equipment specifications.

Individual systems that combine zone control and variable capacity are not in common use at present, although they are available, and by making information available to designers, builders, and contractors their prevalence is expected to increase. Four current manufacturers have invested in development of these systems and should be eager to help builders meet the new code requirements by adopting them.

As with any code change that requires relatively new technology there is likely to be a learning curve for specifiers, distributors, contractors, and verifiers. The rapid adoption and product reliability of variable speed furnaces that are now required to meet DOE standards, provides an example that there is sufficient market experience dealing with reliability and producer stability to support this technology.

Energy savings for integrated zonally controlled variable capacity systems are primarily related to reduced fan energy and improved performance by not restricting air handler airflow. There is no reason to suspect that these savings would diminish over time, and they would persist to the end of life of the equipment. Maintenance requirements such as routine filter changes and replacement of failed damper motors are no different than for non-integrated systems.

The proposed code change would not result in any adverse impacts. Integration of zone control and system speed would reduce noise and provide better comfort than systems lacking this feature. By reducing air velocity over the coil when fewer than all zones are calling for cooling would increase the latent cooling capacity.

Field verifiers would need to be sufficiently knowledgeable about how to set zone thermostats to restrict operation to a single zone. The current requirement that single

speed zoned systems be tested in every zonal control mode already requires that knowledge.

### **Current Market and Market Trends**

- Compliance challenges, comfort demands, and incentive programs are increasing the adoption of variable capacity and multispeed VCMS.
- Zonal control appears to be a more popular means of providing uniform temperatures than multiple systems.
- Of the cold climate heat pumps in the NEEP database, 25,584 out of 38,641 units are variable capacity.

### **Market Barriers**

- The primary market barrier to improving airflow and efficacy of zonally controlled systems is the higher cost of systems that integrate zonal control and compressor/fan speed.
- Personal communications with contractors suggest that building departments may not be enforcing efficacy testing, particularly measurement of fan watts.

### **Technical Considerations**

- Would represent only a minor modification to the way that airflow and efficacy tests are done for these systems.
- CBECC-Res contains and applies algorithms that calculate duct loss as a function of airflow rate; VCMS systems can be identified using the existing Multi-speed Compressor check box.

### **Technical Barriers and Potential Solutions**

- To implement CBECC-Res modifications, a relationship between load, capacity, and airflow must be established that is representative of commonly used HVAC systems.
- Data from available expanded performance tables is being reviewed for this purpose; additional data would improve accuracy.

#### **4.2.7 Airflow Verification for Systems with Multiple Air Handlers**

Current code requires that air handlers in zonally controlled systems be verified to deliver at least 350 cfm per nominal ton of equipment capacity. For systems having multiple air handlers served from a single compressor, an exception is proposed that clarifies that the airflow requirement should use the sum of airflows from all air rather than 350 cfm per ton for each air handler. There are no technical or market-related issues or barriers to this correction in verification procedures.

## 4.2.8 Compliance Software Modification for Variable Capacity Systems with Attic Ducts

The 2022 version of CBECC-Res compliance software includes an optional method (“VCHP-Detailed”) for evaluating variable capacity system performance. It meets the intent of a proposal introduced in a 2022 CASE Report to account for distribution efficiency impacts from reduced airflow in attic ducts but is limited to NEEP-listed cold climate heat pumps. The currently proposed software change would impact the performance credit for all variable capacity heat pumps and for furnace/air conditioner systems when ducts are installed in an uninsulated, vented attic. The result may be increased adoption of prescriptive requirements or other building efficiency improvements to offset the performance penalty, which would vary by climate zone and house design. There are no technical or market-related issues or technical barriers associated with this software change.

## 4.3 Market Impacts and Economic Assessments

### 4.3.1 Impact on Builders

Builders of residential structures are directly impacted by many of the measures proposed by the Statewide CASE Team for the 2025 code cycle. It is within the normal practices of these businesses to adjust their building practices to changes in building codes. When necessary, builders engage in continuing education and training in order to remain compliant with changes to design practices and building codes.

California’s construction industry comprises approximately 93,000 business establishments and 943,000 employees (see Table 10). For 2022, total estimated payroll would be about \$78 billion. Nearly 72,000 of these business establishments and 473,000 employees are engaged in the residential building sector, while another 17,600 establishments and 369,000 employees focus on the commercial sector. The remainder of establishments and employees work in industrial, utilities, infrastructure, and other heavy construction roles (the industrial sector).

**Table 10: California Construction Industry, Establishments, Employment, and Payroll in 2022 (Estimated)**

Building Type	Construction Sectors	Establishments	Employment	Annual Payroll (Billions \$)
<b>Residential</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>71,889</b>	<b>472,974</b>	<b>31.2</b>
Residential	Building Construction Contractors	27,948	130,580	9.8
Residential	Foundation, Structure, & Building Exterior	7,891	83,575	5.0
Residential	Building Equipment Contractors	18,108	125,559	8.5
Residential	Building Finishing Contractors	17,942	133,260	8.0

Source: (State of California n.d.)

The proposed change to residential HVAC performance measures would likely affect residential builders but would not impact firms that focus on construction and retrofit of commercial or industrial buildings, utility systems, public infrastructure, or other heavy construction. The effects on the residential and commercial building industry would not be felt by all firms and workers, but rather would be concentrated in specific industry subsectors. Table 11 shows the residential building subsectors the Statewide CASE Team expects to be impacted by the changes proposed in this report. These building sectors employ contractors and builders involved in the design and installation of HVAC equipment which is impacted by the proposed changes.

The Statewide CASE Team’s estimates of the magnitude of these impacts are shown in Section 4.4.

**Table 11: Specific Subsectors of the California Residential Building Industry by Subsector in 2022 (Estimated)**

Residential Building Subsector	Establishments	Employment	Annual Payroll (Billions \$)
New single family general contractors	12,671	58,367	4.4
New multifamily general contractors	421	6,344	0.7
New housing for-sale builders	189	3,969	0.5
Residential Remodelers	14,667	61,900	4.2
Residential plumbing and HVAC contractors	9,852	75,404	5.1

Source: (State of California n.d.)

### 4.3.2 Impact on Building Designers and Energy Consultants

Adjusting design practices to comply with changing building codes is within the normal practices of building designers. Building codes (including Title 24, Part 6) are typically updated on a three-year revision cycle, and building designers and energy consultants engage in continuing education and training in order to remain compliant with changes to design practices and building codes.

Businesses that focus on residential, commercial, institutional, and industrial building design are contained within the Architectural Services sector (North American Industry Classification System 541310). Table 12 shows the number of establishments, employment, and total annual payroll for Building Architectural Services. The proposed code changes would potentially impact all firms within the Architectural Services sector. The Statewide CASE Team anticipates the impacts for residential HVAC performance measures to affect firms that focus on single family and multifamily construction.

There is not a North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)<sup>11</sup> code specific to energy consultants. Instead, businesses that focus on consulting related to building energy efficiency are contained in the Building Inspection Services sector (NAICS 541350), which is comprised of firms primarily engaged in the physical inspection of residential and nonresidential buildings.<sup>12</sup> It is not possible to determine which business establishments within the Building Inspection Services sector are focused on energy efficiency consulting. The information shown in Table 12 provides an upper bound indication of the size of this sector in California.

**Table 12: California Building Designer and Energy Consultant Sectors in 2022 (Estimated)**

Sector	Establishments	Employment	Annual Payroll (Millions \$)
<b>Architectural Services<sup>a</sup></b>	4,134	31,478	3,623.3
<b>Building Inspection Services<sup>b</sup></b>	1,035	3,567	280.7

Source: (State of California n.d.)

- a. Architectural Services (NAICS 541310) comprises private-sector establishments primarily engaged in planning and designing residential, institutional, leisure, commercial, and industrial buildings and structures.
- b. Building Inspection Services (NAICS 541350) comprises private-sector establishments primarily engaged in providing building (residential & nonresidential) inspection services encompassing all aspects of the building structure and component systems, including energy efficiency inspection services.

### 4.3.3 Impact on Occupational Safety and Health

The proposed code change does not alter any existing federal, state, or local regulations pertaining to safety and health, including rules enforced by the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH). All existing health and safety rules would remain in place. Complying with the proposed code change is not anticipated to have adverse impacts on the safety or health of occupants or those involved with the construction, commissioning, and maintenance of the building.

---

<sup>11</sup> NAICS is the standard used by federal statistical agencies in classifying business establishments for the purpose of collecting, analyzing, and publishing statistical data related to the U.S. business economy. NAICS was developed jointly by the U.S. Economic Classification Policy Committee (ECPC), Statistics Canada, and Mexico's Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, to allow for a high level of comparability in business statistics among the North American countries. NAICS replaced the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system in 1997.

<sup>12</sup> Establishments in this sector include businesses primarily engaged in evaluating a building's structure and component systems and includes energy efficiency inspection services and home inspection services. This sector does not include establishments primarily engaged in providing inspections for pests, hazardous wastes or other environmental contaminants, nor does it include state and local government entities that focus on building or energy code compliance/enforcement of building codes and regulations.

### 4.3.4 Impact on Building Owners and Occupants (Including Homeowners and Potential First-Time Homeowners)

According to data from the U.S. Census, American Community Survey (ACS), there were more than 14.5 million housing units in California in 2021 and nearly 13.3 million were occupied (see Table 13). Most housing units (nearly 9.42 million) were single family homes (either detached or attached), approximately 2 million homes were in buildings containing two to nine units, and 2.5 million homes were in multifamily buildings containing 10 or more units. The California Department of Revenue estimated that building permits for 67,300 single family and 54,900 multifamily homes would be issued in 2022, up from 66,000 single family and 53,500 multifamily permits issued in 2021.

**Table 13: California Housing Characteristics in 2021<sup>a</sup>**

Housing Measure	Estimate
Total housing units	14,512,281
Occupied housing units	13,291,541
Vacant housing units	1,220,740
Homeowner vacancy rate	0.7%
Rental vacancy rate	4.3%
Number of 1-unit, detached structures	8,388,099
Number of 1-unit, attached structures	1,030,372
Number of 2-unit structures	348,295
Number of 3- or 4-unit structures	783,663
Number of 5- to 9-unit structures	856,225
Number of 10- to 19-unit structures	740,126
Number of 20+ unit structures	1,828,547
Mobile home, RV, etc.	522,442

Sources: (United States Census Bureau n.d.), (Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) n.d.)

a. Total housing units as reported for 2021; all other housing measures estimated based on historical relationships.

Table 14 shows the distribution of California homes by vintage. About 15 percent of California homes were built in 2000 or later and another 11 percent built between 1990 and 1999. The majority of California’s existing housing stock (8.5 million homes – 59 percent of the total) were built between 1950 and 1989, a period of rapid population and economic growth in California. Finally, about 2.1 million homes in California were built before 1950. According to Kenney et al, 2019, more than half of California’s existing multifamily buildings (those with five or more units) were constructed before 1978 when there were no building energy efficiency standards (Kenney 2019).

**Table 14: Distribution of California Housing by Vintage in 2021 (Estimated)**

Home Vintage	Units	Percent	Cumulative Percent
Built 2014 or later	348,296	2.4	2.4
Built 2010 to 2013	261,221	1.8	4.2
Built 2000 to 2009	1,581,839	10.9	15.1
Built 1990 to 1999	1,596,351	11.0	26.1
Built 1980 to 1989	2,191,354	15.1	41.2
Built 1970 to 1979	2,539,649	17.5	58.7
Built 1960 to 1969	1,915,621	13.2	71.9
Built 1950 to 1959	1,930,133	13.3	85.2
Built 1940 to 1949	841,712	5.8	91.0
Built 1939 or earlier	1,306,105	9.0	100.0
<b>Total housing units</b>	<b>14,512,281</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>–</b>

Sources: (United States Census Bureau n.d.)

Table 15 shows the distribution of owner- and renter-occupied housing by household income. Overall, about 55 percent of California housing is owner-occupied and the rate of owner-occupancy generally increases with household income. The owner-occupancy rate for households with an income below \$50,000 is only 37 percent, whereas the owner occupancy rate is 71 percent for households earning \$100,000 or more.

**Table 15: Owner- and Renter-Occupied Housing Units in California by Income in 2021 (Estimated)**

Household Income	Total	Owner Occupied	Renter Occupied
Less than \$5,000	353,493	113,315	240,178
\$5,000 to \$9,999	254,304	74,939	179,366
\$10,000 to \$14,999	495,287	134,633	360,654
\$15,000 to \$19,999	412,498	144,064	268,435
\$20,000 to \$24,999	467,694	169,431	298,264
\$25,000 to \$34,999	906,996	355,968	551,028
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,319,892	560,453	759,438
\$50,000 to \$74,999	2,036,560	990,769	1,045,791
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,662,032	920,607	741,425
\$100,000 to \$149,999	2,307,889	1,490,247	817,642
\$150,000 or more	3,074,895	2,337,651	737,244
<b>Total Housing Units</b>	<b>13,291,541</b>	<b>7,292,076</b>	<b>5,999,465</b>

Source: (United States Census Bureau n.d.)

Understanding the distribution of California residents by home type, home vintage, and household income is critical for developing meaningful estimates of the economic

impacts associated with proposed code changes affecting residents. Many proposed code changes specifically target single family or multifamily residences and so the counts of housing units by building type shown in Table through Table 15 provides the information necessary to quantify the magnitude of potential impacts. Likewise, impacts may differ for owners and renters, by home vintage, and by household income, information provided in Table 14 and Table 15.

#### **4.3.4.1 Estimating Impacts**

For California residents, the proposed code changes would result in lower energy bills. The Statewide CASE Team estimates that on average the proposed change to Title 24, Part 6 would increase construction cost by about \$503 per single family home, but the measure would also result in a savings of \$3,773 in energy and maintenance cost savings over 30 years. This is roughly equivalent to a \$3.02 per month increase in payments for a 30-year mortgage and a \$22.87 per month reduction in energy costs. Overall, the Statewide CASE Team expects the 2025 Title 24, Part 6 Standards to save homeowners about \$201.13 per year relative to homeowners whose single-family homes are minimally compliant with the 2022 Title 24, Part 6 requirements. As discussed earlier, when homeowners or building occupants save on energy bills, they tend to spend it elsewhere thereby creating jobs and economic growth for the California economy. Energy cost savings can be particularly beneficial to low-income homeowners who typically spend a higher portion of their income on energy bills, often have trouble paying energy bills, and sometimes go without other necessities to save money for energy bills (Association, National Energy Assistance Directors 2011).

#### **4.3.5 Impact on Building Component Retailers (Including Manufacturers and Distributors)**

The Statewide CASE Team anticipates the proposed change would have no material impact on California component retailers.

#### **4.3.6 Impact on Building Inspectors**

Table 16 shows employment and payroll information for state and local government agencies in which many inspectors of residential and commercial buildings are employed. Building inspectors participate in continuing education and training to stay current on all aspects of building regulations, including energy efficiency. The Statewide CASE Team, therefore, anticipates the proposed change would have no impact on employment of building inspectors or the scope of their role conducting energy efficiency inspections.

**Table 16: Employment in California State and Government Agencies with Building Inspectors in 2022 (Estimated)**

Sector	Govt.	Establishments	Employment	Annual Payroll (Million \$)
Administration of Housing Programs <sup>a</sup>	State	18	265	29.0
	Local	38	3,060	248.6
Urban and Rural Development Admin <sup>b</sup>	State	38	764	71.3
	Local	52	2,481	211.5

Source: (State of California, Employment Development Department n.d.)

- a. Administration of Housing Programs (NAICS 925110) comprises government establishments primarily engaged in the administration and planning of housing programs, including building codes and standards, housing authorities, and housing programs, planning, and development.
- b. Urban and Rural Development Administration (NAICS 925120) comprises government establishments primarily engaged in the administration and planning of the development of urban and rural areas. Included in this industry are government zoning boards and commissions.

### 4.3.7 Impact on Statewide Employment

As described in Sections 4.3.1 through 4.3.6, the Statewide CASE Team does not anticipate significant employment or financial impacts to any particular sector of the California economy. This is not to say that the proposed change would not have modest impacts on employment in California. In Section 4.4, the Statewide CASE Team estimated the proposed residential HVAC performance measures would affect statewide employment and economic output directly and indirectly through its impact on builders, designers and energy consultants, and building inspectors. In addition, the Statewide CASE Team estimated how energy savings associated with the proposed change in residential HVAC performance measures would lead to modest ongoing financial savings for California residents, which would then be available for other economic activities.

## 4.4 Economic Impacts

For the 2025 code cycle, the Statewide CASE Team used the IMPLAN model software<sup>13</sup>, along with economic information from published sources, and professional judgement to develop estimates of the economic impacts associated with each of the proposed code changes. Conceptually, IMPLAN estimates jobs created as a function of incoming cash flow in different sectors of the economy, due to implementing a code or a standard. The jobs created are typically categorized into direct, indirect, and induced employment. For example, cash flow into a manufacturing plant captures direct

---

<sup>13</sup> IMPLAN employs economic data and advanced economic impact modeling to estimate economic impacts for interventions like changes to the California Title 24, Part 6 code. For more information on the IMPLAN modeling process, see [www.IMPLAN.com](http://www.IMPLAN.com).

employment (jobs created in the manufacturing plant), indirect employment (jobs created in the sectors that provide raw materials to the manufacturing plant) and induced employment (jobs created in the larger economy due to purchasing habits of people newly employed in the manufacturing plant). Eventually, IMPLAN computes the total number of jobs created due to a code. The assumptions of IMPLAN include constant returns to scale, fixed input structure, industry homogeneity, no supply constraints, fixed technology, and constant byproduct coefficients. The model is also static in nature and is a simplification of how jobs are created in the macro-economy.

The economic impacts developed for this report are only estimates and are based on limited and to some extent speculative information. The IMPLAN model provides a relatively simple representation of the California economy and, though the Statewide CASE Team is confident that the direction and approximate magnitude of the estimated economic impacts are reasonable, it is important to understand that the IMPLAN model is a simplification of extremely complex actions and interactions of individual, businesses, and other organizations as they respond to changes in energy efficiency codes. In all aspect of this economic analysis, the CASE Authors rely on conservative assumptions regarding the likely economic benefits associated with the proposed code change. By following this approach, the economic impacts presented below represent lower bound estimates of the actual benefits associated with this proposed code change.

Adoption of this code change proposal would result in relatively modest economic impacts through the additional direct spending by those in the residential building and remodeling industry, architects, energy consultants, and building inspectors, as well as indirectly as residents spend all or some of the money saved through lower utility bills on other economic activities.<sup>14</sup> There may also be some nonresidential customers that are impacted by this proposed code change; however, the Statewide CASE Team does not anticipate such impacts to be materially important to the building owner and would have measurable economic impacts.

---

<sup>14</sup> For example, for the lowest income group, we assume 100 percent of money saved through lower energy bills would be spent, while for the highest income group, we assume only 64 percent of additional income would be spent.

**Table 17: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on the California Residential Construction Sector**

Measure	Type of Economic Impact	Employment (Jobs)	Labor Income	Total Value Added	Output
Design	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Residential Builders)	2,962.40	\$222,904,447	\$340,145,336	\$729,315,467
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Residential Builders)	1,815.11	\$133,792,626	\$228,603,505	\$388,371,598
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	1,506.67	\$102,550,868	\$183,621,683	\$292,257,851
Supplementary Heating	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Residential Builders)	46.36	\$3,585,141	\$4,859,940	\$9,172,239
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Residential Builders)	20.42	\$1,520,710	\$2,564,413	\$4,354,447
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	21.24	\$1,445,854	\$2,588,760	\$4,120,338
Defrost	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Residential Builders)	18.93	\$1,472,862	\$1,335,716	\$2,552,367
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Residential Builders)	5.75	\$427,805	\$722,494	\$1,226,886
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	8.46	\$575,931	\$1,031,178	\$1,641,249
Crankcase Heating (mandatory)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Residential Builders)	0.14	\$11,156	\$11,447	\$22,644
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Residential Builders)	0.05	\$3,908	\$6,625	\$11,252
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	0.07	\$4,512	\$8,078	\$12,857
Crankcase Heating (prescriptive)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Residential Builders)	4.38	\$329,292	\$742,421	\$1,468,489
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Residential Builders)	3.42	\$253,389	\$429,597	\$729,618
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	2.23	\$151,496	\$271,261	\$431,746
Refrigerant Charge	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Residential Builders)	8.82	\$683,519	\$665,782	\$1,298,870
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Residential Builders)	2.98	\$221,585	\$375,111	\$637,045
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	4.00	\$272,429	\$487,774	\$776,353
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>6,431</b>	<b>\$470,207,530</b>	<b>\$768,471,121</b>	<b>\$1,438,401,316.00</b>

Source: CASE Team analysis of data from the IMPLAN modeling software.<sup>15</sup>

<sup>15</sup> IMPLAN® model, 2020 Data, IMPLAN Group LLC, IMPLAN System (data and software), 16905 Northcross Dr., Suite 120, Huntersville, NC 28078  
www.IMPLAN.com

**Table 18: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on the California Building Designers and Energy Consultants Sectors**

Measure	Type of Economic Impact	Employment (Jobs)	Labor Income	Total Value Added	Output
Design	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Designers & Energy Consultants)	1.0	\$110,314	\$109,209	\$172,616
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Bldg. Designers & Energy Consultants)	0.4	\$32,846	\$45,649	\$73,486
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	0.6	\$41,165	\$73,718	\$117,333
Supplementary Heating	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Designers & Energy Consultants)	6.4	\$706,334	\$699,263	\$1,105,250
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Bldg. Designers & Energy Consultants)	2.6	\$210,311	\$292,291	\$470,528
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	3.9	\$263,578	\$472,012	\$751,276
Defrost	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Designers & Energy Consultants)	9.1	\$996,269	\$986,294	\$1,558,931
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Bldg. Designers & Energy Consultants)	3.6	\$296,639	\$412,269	\$663,669
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	5.5	\$371,771	\$665,762	\$1,059,657
Crankcase Heating (mandatory)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Designers & Energy Consultants)	0.1	\$10,772	\$10,665	\$16,856
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Bldg. Designers & Energy Consultants)	0.0	\$3,207	\$4,458	\$7,176
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	0.1	\$4,020	\$7,199	\$11,458
Crankcase Heating (prescriptive)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Designers & Energy Consultants)	1.9	\$209,989	\$207,886	\$328,584
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Bldg. Designers & Energy Consultants)	0.8	\$62,524	\$86,896	\$139,885
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	1.2	\$78,360	\$140,326	\$223,350
Refrigerant Charge	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Designers & Energy Consultants)	1.3	\$143,926	\$142,485	\$225,211
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Bldg. Designers & Energy Consultants)	0.5	\$42,854	\$59,559	\$95,877
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of firms experiencing “direct” or “indirect” effects)	0.8	\$53,708	\$96,179	\$153,083
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>\$3,638,587</b>	<b>\$4,512,120</b>	<b>\$7,174,226</b>

Source: CASE Team analysis of data from the IMPLAN modeling software.

**Table 19: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on California Building Inspectors**

Measure	Type of Economic Impact	Employment (Jobs)	Labor Income	Total Value Added	Output
Design	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Inspectors)	0.0	\$5,565	\$6,600	\$8,020
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Building Inspectors)	0.0	\$515	\$803	\$1,398
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of Building Inspection Bureaus and Departments)	0.0	\$1,750	\$3,136	\$4,991
Supplementary Heating	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Inspectors)	1.3	\$142,538	\$169,033	\$205,409
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Building Inspectors)	0.2	\$13,201	\$20,560	\$35,809
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of Building Inspection Bureaus and Departments)	0.7	\$44,833	\$80,310	\$127,827
Defrost	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Inspectors)	1.8	\$201,047	\$238,417	\$289,725
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Building Inspectors)	0.2	\$18,619	\$29,000	\$50,508
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of Building Inspection Bureaus and Departments)	0.9	\$63,236	\$113,275	\$180,297
Crankcase Heating (mandatory)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Inspectors)	0.0	\$2,174	\$2,578	\$3,133
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Building Inspectors)	0.0	\$201	\$314	\$546
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of Building Inspection Bureaus and Departments)	0.0	\$684	\$1,225	\$1,950
Crankcase Heating (prescriptive)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Inspectors)	0.4	\$42,376	\$50,252	\$61,067
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Building Inspectors)	0.0	\$3,925	\$6,112	\$10,646
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of Building Inspection Bureaus and Departments)	0.2	\$13,328	\$23,876	\$38,002
Refrigerant Charge	Direct Effects (Additional spending by Building Inspectors)	0.3	\$29,044	\$34,443	\$41,855
	Indirect Effect (Additional spending by firms supporting Building Inspectors)	0.0	\$2,690	\$4,189	\$7,297
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of Building Inspection Bureaus and Departments)	0.1	\$9,135	\$16,364	\$26,047
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>\$594,861</b>	<b>\$800,487</b>	<b>\$1,094,527</b>

Source: CASE Team analysis of data from the IMPLAN modeling software.

**Table 20: Estimated Impact that Adoption of the Proposed Measure would have on Discretionary Spending by California Residents**

Measure	Type of Economic Impact	Employment (Jobs)	Labor Income	Total Value Added	Output
Design	Direct Effects (Additional spending by households)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Indirect Effect (Purchases by businesses to meet additional household spending)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of businesses experiencing “indirect” effects)	1,167.6	\$79,529,318	\$143,618,671	\$228,406,389
Supplementary Heating	Direct Effects (Additional spending by households)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Indirect Effect (Purchases by businesses to meet additional household spending)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of businesses experiencing “indirect” effects)	260.6	\$17,749,178	\$32,052,498	\$50,975,233
Defrost	Direct Effects (Additional spending by households)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Indirect Effect (Purchases by businesses to meet additional household spending)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of businesses experiencing “indirect” effects)	133.6	\$9,101,275	\$16,435,612	\$26,138,655
Crankcase Heating (mandatory)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by households)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Indirect Effect (Purchases by businesses to meet additional household spending)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of businesses experiencing “indirect” effects)	3.7	\$254,714	\$459,978	\$731,534
Crankcase Heating (prescriptive)	Direct Effects (Additional spending by households)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Indirect Effect (Purchases by businesses to meet additional household spending)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of businesses experiencing “indirect” effects)	840.4	\$57,244,619	\$103,375,665	\$164,405,241
Refrigerant Charge	Direct Effects (Additional spending by households)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Indirect Effect (Purchases by businesses to meet additional household spending)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Induced Effect (Spending by employees of businesses experiencing “indirect” effects)	251.2	\$17,112,684	\$30,903,081	\$49,147,239
<b>All</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>2,657</b>	<b>\$180,991,788</b>	<b>\$326,845,505</b>	<b>\$519,804,291</b>

Source: CASE Team analysis of data from the IMPLAN modeling software.

#### **4.4.1 Creation or Elimination of Jobs**

The Statewide CASE Team does not anticipate that the measures proposed for the 2025 code cycle regulation would lead to the creation of new *types* of jobs or the elimination of *existing* types of jobs. In other words, the Statewide CASE Team’s proposed change would not result in economic disruption to any sector of the California economy. Rather, the estimates of economic impacts discussed in Section 4.4 would lead to modest changes in employment of existing jobs.

#### **4.4.2 Creation or Elimination of Businesses in California**

As stated in Section 4.4.1, the Statewide CASE Team’s proposed change would not result in economic disruption to any sector of the California economy. The proposed change represents a modest change to residential HVAC design and installation, which would not excessively burden or competitively disadvantage California businesses – nor would it necessarily lead to a competitive advantage for California businesses. Therefore, the Statewide CASE Team does not foresee any new businesses being created, nor does the Statewide CASE Team think any existing businesses would be eliminated due to the proposed code changes.

#### **4.4.3 Competitive Advantages or Disadvantages for Businesses in California**

The proposed code changes would apply to all businesses incorporated in California, regardless of whether the business is located inside or outside of the state.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, the Statewide CASE Team does not anticipate that these measures proposed for the 2025 code cycle regulation would have an adverse effect on the competitiveness of California businesses. Likewise, the Statewide CASE Team does not anticipate businesses located outside of California would be advantaged or disadvantaged.

#### **4.4.4 Increase or Decrease of Investments in the State of California**

The Statewide CASE Team analyzed national data on corporate profits and capital investment by businesses that expand a firm’s capital stock (referred to as net private domestic investment, or NPDI).<sup>17</sup> As Table 21 shows, between 2017 and 2021, NPDI as a percentage of corporate profits ranged from a low of 18 in 2020 due to the worldwide economic slowdowns associated with the COVID 19 pandemic to a high of 35 percent in 2019, with an average of 26 percent. While only an approximation of the proportion of business income used for net capital investment, the Statewide CASE Team believes it

---

<sup>16</sup> Gov. Code, §§ 11346.3(c)(1)(C), 11346.3(a)(2); 1 CCR § 2003(a)(3) Competitive advantages or disadvantages for California businesses currently doing business in the state.

<sup>17</sup> Net private domestic investment is the total amount of investment in capital by the business sector that is used to expand the capital stock, rather than maintain or replace due to depreciation. Corporate profit is the money left after a corporation pays its expenses.

provides a reasonable estimate of the proportion of proprietor income that would be reinvested by business owners into expanding their capital stock.

**Table 21: Net Domestic Private Investment and Corporate Profits, U.S.**

Year	Net Domestic Private Investment by Businesses, Billions of Dollars	Corporate Profits After Taxes, Billions of Dollars	Ratio of Net Private Investment to Corporate Profits (Percent)
2017	518.473	1882.460	28
2018	636.846	1977.478	32
2019	690.865	1952.432	35
2020	343.620	1908.433	18
2021	506.331	2619.977	19
<b>5-Year Average</b>	-	-	<b>26</b>

Source: (Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) n.d.)

The Statewide CASE Team estimates that the sum of proposed code changes in this report would increase in investment in California:

<i>Change in Proprietor Income * 0.26 = \$85,464,898.00</i>
---

The Statewide CASE Team does not anticipate that the economic impacts associated with the proposed measure would lead to significant change (increase or decrease) in investment, directly or indirectly, in any affected sectors of California’s economy. Nevertheless, the Statewide CASE Team can derive a reasonable estimate of the change in investment by California businesses based on the estimated change in economic activity associated with the proposed measure and its expected effect on proprietor income, which we use a conservative estimate of corporate profits, a portion of which we assume would be allocated to net business investment.<sup>18</sup>

#### **4.4.5 Incentives for Innovation in Products, Materials, or Processes**

There have been no identified emerging trends within the affected industry affected by these proposed regulations.

#### **4.4.6 Effects on the State General Fund, State Special Funds, and Local Governments**

The Statewide CASE Team does not expect the proposed code changes would have a measurable impact on California’s General Fund, any state special funds, or local government funds.

---

<sup>18</sup> 26 percent of proprietor income was assumed to be allocated to net business investment; see Table 21.

#### 4.4.6.1 Cost of Enforcement

**Cost to the State:** State government already has budget for code development, education, and compliance enforcement. While state government would be allocating resources to update the Title 24, Part 6 Standards, including updating education and compliance materials and responding to questions about the revised requirements, these activities are already covered by existing state budgets. The costs to state government are small when compared to the overall costs savings and policy benefits associated with the code change proposals.

**Cost to Local Governments:** All proposed code changes to Title 24, Part 6 would result in changes to compliance determinations. Local governments would need to train building department staff on the revised Title 24, Part 6 Standards. While this re-training is an expense to local governments, it is not a new cost associated with the 2025 code change cycle. The building code is updated on a triennial basis, and local governments plan and budget for retraining every time the code is updated. There are numerous resources available to local governments to support compliance training that can help mitigate the cost of retraining, including tools, training and resources provided by the IOU Codes and Standards program (such as Energy Code Ace). As noted in Section 3.5 and Appendix E, the Statewide CASE Team considered how the proposed code change might impact various market actors involved in the compliance and enforcement process and aimed to minimize negative impacts on local governments.

#### 4.4.7 Impacts on Specific Persons

While the objective of any of the Statewide CASE Team’s proposal is to promote energy efficiency, the Statewide CASE Team recognizes that there is the potential that a proposed code change may result in unintended consequences. There are no anticipated impacts to any specific group or groups of persons (i.e., persons of a specific protected class, persons eligible to participate in affordable housing programs, renters, commuters, etc.) that would differ from impacts to persons generally. Refer to Section 2 for more details addressing energy equity and environmental justice.

### 4.5 Fiscal Impacts

#### 4.5.1 Mandates on Local Agencies or School Districts

There are no relevant mandates to local agencies or school districts.

#### 4.5.2 Costs to Local Agencies or School Districts

There are no costs to local agencies or school districts.

#### 4.5.3 Costs or Savings to Any State Agency

There are no costs or savings to any state agencies.

#### **4.5.4 Other Non-Discretionary Cost or Savings Imposed on Local Agencies**

There are no added non-discretionary costs or savings to local agencies.

#### **4.5.5 Costs or Savings in Federal Funding to the State**

There are no costs or savings to federal funding to the state.

## 5. Energy Savings

---

The Statewide CASE Team gathered stakeholder input to inform the energy savings analysis. For example, interviews with contractors helped to determine typical practices for sizing. Discussions with manufacturers helped to identify typical CCH configurations. A review of data in the HERS Registry also identified typical sizing practices. Refer to Appendix F for a summary of stakeholder engagement.

Energy savings benefits may have potential to disproportionately impact DIPs. Refer to Section 2 for more details addressing energy equity and environmental justice.

This section covers all measures that would modify the stringency of the existing California Energy Code. The variable capacity system measure would not modify the stringency of the code, so there would be no savings on a per-unit basis and therefore it is not reported on in this section.

### 5.1 Energy Savings Methodology

#### 5.1.1 Key Assumptions for Energy Savings Analysis

The energy savings analysis relies on results of California Building Energy Code Compliance (CBECC) software simulations, specifically the 2025 research version of CBECC-Res and CBECC, to estimate energy use for single family and multifamily prototype buildings (California Energy Commission n.d.). The Statewide CASE Team simulated the energy impacts in every climate zone and applied the climate zone specific Long-term System Cost (LSC) hourly factors when calculating energy cost impacts. The Statewide CASE Team evaluated various scenarios comparing the energy impacts and cost effectiveness across prototypes and climate zones. This process, in parallel with stakeholder outreach and market and technical research, informed the ultimate proposals that are made in this report.

#### 5.1.2 Energy Savings Methodology per Prototypical Building

The Statewide CASE Team measured per unit energy savings expected from the proposed code changes in several ways in order to quantify key impacts. First, savings are calculated by fuel type. Electricity savings are measured in terms of both energy usage and peak demand reduction. Natural gas savings are quantified in terms of energy usage. Second, the Statewide CASE Team calculated Source Energy Savings. Source Energy represents the total amount of raw fuel required to operate a building. In addition to all energy used from on-site production, source energy incorporates all transmission, delivery, and production losses. The hourly source energy values

provided by CEC are strongly correlated with GHG emissions.<sup>19</sup> Finally, the Statewide CASE Team calculated Long-term Systemwide Cost (LSC) Savings, formerly known as Time Dependent Valuation (TDV) Energy Cost Savings. LSC Savings are calculated using hourly LSC factors for both electricity and natural gas provided by the CEC. These LSC hourly factors are projected over the 30-year life of the building and incorporate the hourly cost of marginal generation, transmission and distribution, fuel, capacity, losses, and cap-and-trade-based CO2 emissions.

The CEC directed the Statewide CASE Team to model the energy impacts using specific prototypical building models that represent typical building geometries for different types of buildings (California Energy Commission 2022). The prototype buildings that the Statewide CASE Team used in the analysis are presented in Table 22.

For single family, energy savings are calculated using three new construction prototypes, a 500 square foot small home, a single story 2,100 square foot home, and a two-story 2,700 square foot home. Statewide results are weighted 2 percent for the 500 square foot prototype, 42 percent for the 2,100 square foot prototype and 56 percent for the 2,700 square foot prototype. Energy savings and overall impacts are similar across the 2,100 and 2,700 square foot prototypes. In this report where individual prototype results are presented, results of the 2,100 and 2,700 square foot prototypes are presented as a weighted average based on the statewide distribution due. Results are separately presented for the 500 square foot single family new construction prototype since the impacts in some cases differ significantly for the smaller prototype. See Appendix A for further details on how the weighting was derived. Energy savings for alterations are calculated based on a single 1,665 square foot existing home prototype.

Additional details on the 2,100 and 2,700 square foot single family prototypes can be found in the Single family Residential Alternative Calculation Method (ACM) Approval Manual (California Energy Commission 2022). The 500 square foot single family prototype is a new prototype being evaluated in this code cycle to reflect recent trends in California construction of a greater number of accessory dwelling units and small homes (Bay Area Council Economic Institute n.d.) (UC Berkeley Center for Community Innovation 2021). The single family existing building prototype reflects the prototype developed during the 2022 code cycle as part of the Residential Energy Savings and Process Improvements for Additions and Alterations CASE Report (Statewide CASE Team 2020) and was developed based on the alteration prototypes described in the ACM Approval Manual (California Energy Commission 2022). See Appendix H for further details on the existing home prototype.

For multifamily, energy savings are calculated using four new construction prototypes, a two-story low-rise garden building, a three-story loaded corridor building, a five-story mid-rise mixed-use building, and a ten-story high-rise mixed-use building. The low-rise

---

<sup>19</sup> See hourly factors for source energy, LSC, and GHG emissions at <https://www.energy.ca.gov/files/2025-energy-code-hourly-factors>

garden prototype consists of only dwelling units. The loaded corridor prototype consists of dwelling units and common use hallways. The mid-rise mixed use and high-rise mixed-use prototypes consist of a first-floor commercial space, dwelling units, and common elements. The proposed measures were implemented only on the dwelling space conditioning equipment, although the total energy use includes the commercial and common spaces. Existing building prototypes were not separately evaluated for multifamily. Where measures apply to alterations, savings for the new construction buildings are applied to alteration scenarios. This is generally a conservative approach since the higher loads in existing buildings are expected to typically result in greater savings for the proposed measures.

**Table 22: Prototype Buildings Used for Energy, Demand, Cost, and Environmental Impacts Analysis**

Prototype Name	Number of Stories	Floor Area (Square Feet)	Description
One-Story Single Family (2,100 ft <sup>2</sup> )	1	2,100	Single story 3-bedroom house with attached garage, 9-ft ceilings, vented attic and steep-sloped roof.
Two-Story Single Family (2,700 ft <sup>2</sup> )	2	2,700	Two-story 4-bedroom house with attached garage, 9-ft ceilings, 1-ft between floors, vented attic and steep-sloped roof.
Small Single Family (500 ft <sup>2</sup> )	1	500	Detached single story 1-bedroom small home, 9-ft ceilings.
Single Family Existing Building (1,665 ft <sup>2</sup> )	1	1,665	Single story 3-bedroom existing home, no attached garage, 8-ft ceilings, vented attic and steep-sloped roof.
Multifamily Low-Rise Garden	2	7,320	Two-story building that is entirely dwelling units – no common area. The average dwelling unit size is 960 square feet. All dwellings have external entrances.
Multifamily Loaded Corridor	3	40,000	Three-story, 36-unit apartment building with common areas. The average dwelling unit size is 960 square feet.
Multifamily Mid-Rise Mixed Use	5 (1 commercial)	113,000	Five-story, 88-unit building with common areas and ground-floor commercial. The average dwelling unit size is 870 square feet.
Multifamily High-Rise Mixed Use	10 (1 commercial)	125,400	Ten-story, 117-unit building with common areas and ground-floor commercial. The average dwelling unit size is 870 square feet.

The Statewide CASE Team estimated LSC, source energy, electricity, natural gas, peak demand, and GHG impacts by simulating the proposed code change using prototypical buildings and rulesets from the 2025 Research Version of the California Building Energy Code Compliance (CBECC) software.

CBECC-Res/CBECC generates two models based on user inputs: the Standard Design and the Proposed Design.<sup>20</sup> The Standard Design represents the geometry of the prototypical building and a design that uses a set of features that result in a LSC budget and source energy budget that is minimally compliant with 2022 Title 24, Part 6 code requirements. Features used in the Standard Design are described in the 2022 Single family Residential ACM Reference Manual and the 2022 Nonresidential and Multifamily ACM Reference Manual. The Proposed Design represents the same geometry as the Standard Design, but it assumes the energy features that the software user describes with user inputs. To develop savings estimates for the proposed code changes, the Statewide CASE Team created a Standard Design and Proposed Design for each prototypical building with the Standard Design representing compliance with 2022 code and the Proposed Design representing compliance with the proposed requirements. Comparing the energy impacts of the Standard Design to the Proposed Design reveals the impacts of the proposed code change relative to a building that is either minimally compliant with the 2022 Title 24, Part 6 requirements or that follows industry typical practices.

For most of the residential HVAC performance measures, there is an existing Title 24, Part 6 requirement that covers the building system in question and applies to both new construction/ additions and alterations, so the Standard Design is minimally compliant with the 2022 Title 24 requirements.

For other measures, there are no existing requirements in Title 24, Part 6 that cover the building system in question. The Statewide CASE Team modified the Standard Design so that it calculated energy impacts of the most common current design practice, or industry standard practice for both new Construction/additions and alterations.

Table 23 presents precisely which parameters were modified and what values were used in the Standard Design and Proposed Design. CBECC-Res/CBECC was used to model energy impacts in most instances; however, for some measures post-processing of CBECC-Res/CBECC output was necessary as there was no direct way to evaluate the measure directly in CBECC-Res/CBECC.

For the design measure, heating dominated and cooling dominated climate zones were identified using the auto sizing function in CBECC-Res/CBECC. The 500 square foot, 2,100 square foot, and 2,700 square foot prototypes were all modeled with a Furnace/AC combo with both heating and cooling sizing factors set to 1, where sizing factor refers to the factor multiplied by the heating and cooling load calculated by the software. It was determined that the systems with a higher cooling capacity than heating capacity were cooling dominated climate zones (Climate Zones 2 through 15) and that the climate zones with higher heating capacities were heating dominated climate zones

---

<sup>20</sup> CBECC-Res creates a third model, the Reference Design, that represents a building similar to the Proposed Design, but with construction and equipment parameters that are minimally compliant with the 2006 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC). The Statewide CASE Team did not use the Reference Design for energy impacts evaluations.

(Climate Zones 1 and 16). For the heating dominated climate zones, the impact of undersizing for heat pump heating was directly evaluated in CBECC-Res/CBECC. With insufficient compressor capacity the model applies backup electric resistance heating to make up the difference. When systems are right sized compressor use increases but electric resistance energy use decreases. For new construction there are no proposed limits on cooling capacity and therefore the proposed requirements don't impact system sizing in cooling dominated climates. For alterations, the impacts of compressor oversizing or undersizing on cooling energy use are not well considered in CBECC-Res/CBECC. As a result, a NIST study that documented the impacts of oversizing (Domanski, Henderson and Payne 2014) was relied on to reflect expected energy use of a typical home. That study identified that oversizing only results in an energy penalty in cooling-dominated climates and where ducts are not correspondingly upsized. They found that the penalty was about seven percent when units were 20 percent oversized.

The supplementary heating measure also could not directly be evaluated within CBECC-Res/CBECC as there is no way to directly limit backup supplementary heating. This was accomplished through post-processing the hourly data. Whenever supplementing heating was operating and temperatures were above 35°F, backup heating was assumed to not be allowed to operate. This was accomplished by converting any supplementary heating energy use during these time periods to zero. The energy delivered during that timestep from supplementary heating was then converted to heat pump compressor energy use using an average coefficient of performance (COP) based on the calculated COP of the heat pump for that timestep. The peak demand impacts were not evaluated but would be estimated for the Final CASE Report. The impacts on comfort were also not evaluated. This measure has a small impact if a fixed thermostat setpoint is applied and the heat pump is appropriately sized for the heating load. The thermostat setpoint used for heat pumps in CBECC-Res/CBECC is a fixed setpoint of 68°F. Savings were also estimated in the case of a setback thermostat as the value of this measure is much greater during morning warm up periods. A variable setpoint was evaluated with a setback to 65°F from 11pm to 7am and 68°F at all other times. Savings for this measure are presented as an average between the fixed setpoint and setback thermostat results. This is consistent with data on the prevalence of setbacks in California homes. According to data from the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (EIA 2022), roughly 50 percent of California homes utilize a nighttime setback, and for those who do, the average difference between daytime and nighttime temperatures is about 5°F. In a survey conducted for this analysis, about half of contractors reported that they advise their customers not to use setback, and most said that fewer than 50 percent of their customers choose to use it. It is expected that in the future, the perception that heat pumps are incompatible with nighttime setback may be diminished, and a 50/50 split is still probably an appropriate assumption here.

The defrost measure savings were based on the existing approach to calculate defrost energy that is currently applied in CBECC-Res/CBECC and its simulation engine, the California Simulation Engine (CSE). was modeled by adjusting the percent reduction to the heat pump capacity that CBECC-Res assumes while the system is in defrost mode. The software accounts for defrost by assuming a variable percent reduction to the heat pump's capacity in the range of outdoor temperatures from 47°F to 17°F. The percent reduction is dependent upon a linear equation that is based on a 10 percent capacity reduction at 35°F and a zero percent capacity reduction at 17°F. This reduction can be adjusted in the CSE by inputting a different capacity at 35°F. In order to achieve a 25 percent reduction to the model's defrost energy (described in section 2.2.2.3), the input for the capacity at 35°F was changed to assume a 7.5 percent capacity reduction instead of a 10 percent reduction at 35°F.

The assumptions for CCH capacity align with the current DOE allowances for crankcase heaters on residential heat pumps, as reflected in the test standard (AHRI 2023). The capacity and the control logic for the CCH are simulated by editing inputs in CSE. To evaluate the CC measure, the heater was simulated to operate continuously in the base case and to be turned off whenever the compressor was operating for the proposed case. The TC measure analysis was layered on top of this control scheme and the heater was turned off whenever outdoor air temperature was above 71°F in the proposed case. Simulations for single family covered both heat pumps and air conditioners and results are presented as a weighted average for the two scenarios. Multifamily simulations were based on heat pumps and the savings results were adjusted to account for a climate zone specific reduction in savings based on comparing the heat pump and air conditioner results for single family. The multifamily results were additionally adjusted to properly account for the 71°F temperature limit. Previous iterations of this proposal were based on a 55°F temperature limit. The multifamily prototypes were analyzed using the 55°F limit and the savings results were adjusted to account for a climate zone specific reduction in savings based on comparing the 55°F and 71°F results for single family.

Refrigerant charge verification is handled by CBECC-Res/CBECC for space cooling by altering the EER used in the simulation and applying a six percent degradation. With charge verification the EER is multiplied by 0.96 and without charge verification the EER is multiplied by 0.90. The impacts on performance during heating operation are not as large as in cooling operation. Heat pumps are typically equipped with a suction line accumulator which accumulates liquid refrigerant to prevent it from entering the compressor. When the heat pump operates in heating mode it is common for liquid refrigerant to be stored in the accumulator. The accumulator and liquid refrigerant stored in it can partially compensate for incorrect refrigerant charge in heating mode. It is estimated that the heating impact of low refrigerant charge is on average 67 percent of the cooling impact. To simulate the impact for heating the HSPF was multiplied by 0.90 without charge verification and 0.94 with charge verification.

**Table 23: Modifications Made to Standard Design in Each Prototype to Simulate Proposed Code Change**

Measure	Climate Zone	HVAC System Type	Standard Design Parameter Value	Proposed Design Parameter Value
Design	Cooling Dominated CZs 2-15 (alterations only)	Heat pump. Savings would be the same for AC.	Oversized, modeled as right sized for cooling (1.0 sizing factor) but with a 7.3% energy penalty imposed, based on NIST study (Domanski, Henderson and Payne 2014).	Right sized for cooling (1.0 sizing factor).
	Heating Dominated CZs 1 & 16 (new construction & alterations)	Heat pump only.	Undersized, modeled in CBECC with Heating sizing factor 0.9, resulting in additional strip heating use.	Right sized for heating (1.0 sizing factor).
Supplementary Heat	All CZs	Heat pump only.	Right sized for heating or cooling, whichever is larger (1.0 sizing factor). Evaluated both with fixed and setback thermostat setpoints.	Strip heating is disabled when outdoor air > max (25°F, local heating design temperature) except during defrost. Evaluated both with fixed and setback thermostat setpoints. Savings presented as an average of these 2 thermostat cases.
Defrost	All CZs	Heat pump only.	CBECC defrost assumptions (capacity at 35°F degraded by 10%)	Standard Design with capacity at 35°F degraded by 7.5%
Crankcase Heating (CC)	All CZs	Heat pump and AC. Savings are presented as a weighted average.	33W for 3-ton and below or 11W/ton above 3-ton. On continuously, no control.	33W for 3-ton and below or 11W/ton above 3-ton. Off when compressor is operating.
Crankcase Heating (TC)	All CZs	Heat pump and AC. Savings are presented as a weighted average.	33W for 3-ton and below or 11W/ton above 3-ton. Off when compressor is operating.	33W for 3-ton and below or 11W/ton above 3-ton. Off above 71°F OAT and when compressor is operating.
Charge Verification, Heat Pumps	CZ 1,3-7,16	Heat pump only.	No charge verification. Heat pump HSPF multiplied by 0.90.	Charge verification. Heat pump HSPF multiplied by 0.94.

CBECC-Res/CBECC calculates whole-building energy consumption for every hour of the year and outputs annual totals measured in kilowatt-hours per year (kWh/yr) and therms per year (therms/yr). It then applies the 2025 LSC hourly factors to calculate Long-term Systemwide Cost in 2026 present value dollars (2026 PV\$), Source Energy

hourly factors to calculate source energy use in kilo British thermal units per year (kBtu/yr), and hourly GHG emissions factors to calculate annual GHG emissions in metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions equivalent per year (metric tons or “tonnes” of CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr). CBECC-Res/CBECC also calculates annual peak electricity demand measured in kilowatts (kW).

The energy impacts of the proposed code change do vary by climate zone. The Statewide CASE Team simulated the energy impacts in every climate zone and applied the climate-zone specific LSC hourly factors when calculating energy and energy cost impacts.

Per unit energy impacts for buildings are presented in savings per home or dwelling unit for the prototype building. As described in Appendix A, the Statewide CASE Team developed a weighted average savings of the prototypes to calculate statewide savings.

### **5.1.3 Statewide Energy Savings Methodology**

The per-unit energy impacts were extrapolated to statewide impacts using the Statewide Construction Forecasts that the CEC provided (California Energy Commission 2022). The Statewide Construction Forecasts estimate new construction/additions that would occur in 2026, the first year that the 2025 Title 24, Part 6 requirements are in effect. They also estimate the amount of total existing building stock in 2026, which the Statewide CASE Team used to approximate savings from building alterations. The construction forecast provides construction (new construction/additions and existing building stock) by building type and climate zone, as shown in Appendix A, which presents additional information about the methodology and assumptions used to calculate statewide energy impacts.

## **5.2 Per-Unit Energy Impacts Results**

Energy savings and peak demand reductions per unit are presented in the following sections. Savings are presented for all the prototypes, both new construction and alterations. The per-unit energy savings figures do not account for naturally occurring market adoption or compliance rates.

### **5.2.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)**

Energy savings and peak demand reductions per unit are presented in Table 24 through Table 27. There are no natural gas savings for this measure. Climate Zones 1 and 16 are the two climate zones that are considered “heating dominated”: that is, the homes modeled in their representative cities have a larger heating load than cooling load. These were modeled to comply with the requirement that heating could not be undersized.

Climate Zones 2 through 15 are considered “cooling dominated” and were modeled to comply with the requirement that cooling cannot be extremely oversized unless ducts

are correspondingly upsized. Because the measure for cooling-dominated applications has an exception for homes where airflow is at least 350 cfm/ton, which is a mandatory requirement for new construction, savings were not calculated for the new construction prototypes. In addition, demand reduction savings were not calculated for the cooling dominated alterations cases.

Per-unit savings for the first year are expected to range from 6 to 33 kwh/yr for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 6 to 15 for the small home, and 5 to 652 for alterations. This measure was not cost effective in Climate Zone 1 or 16 for the multifamily prototypes, and savings in Climate Zones 2 through 15 ranged from 0 for the 2-story prototype to 198 for the 5-story prototype. Demand reductions are expected to be negligible for multifamily prototypes, and to range from 2 to 8 Watts for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 2 to 4 Watts for the small home, and 12 to 13 Watts for alterations. Source savings are expected to range from 3 to 105 kBtu for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 20 to 45 for the small home, and 5 to 447 for alterations. They would range from 748 for the 2-story multifamily prototype to 1856 in the 5-story prototype. The first-year long-term system cost savings per unit for single family range from \$28 to \$269 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, \$45 to \$120 for the small home, and \$5 to \$447 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, they range from \$3 for the 2-story prototype to \$1,144 for the 5-story prototype.

**Table 24: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Design**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden Alteration	3 Story Loaded Corridor Alteration	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Alteration	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Alteration
1	33	6	51	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	38	1	5	30	9
3	N/A	N/A	8	0	2	14	3
4	N/A	N/A	155	10	17	54	23
5	N/A	N/A	5	0	2	17	4
6	N/A	N/A	53	3	9	37	12
7	N/A	N/A	74	6	14	44	15
8	N/A	N/A	135	22	33	77	40
9	N/A	N/A	127	20	27	70	36
10	N/A	N/A	182	29	39	87	51
11	N/A	N/A	254	39	50	97	63
12	N/A	N/A	112	15	25	64	30
13	N/A	N/A	317	47	59	107	71
14	N/A	N/A	222	33	46	91	57
15	N/A	N/A	652	108	130	198	149
16	6	15	59	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 25: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Design**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden Alteration	3 Story Loaded Corridor Alteration	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Alteration	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Alteration
1	0.008	0.002	0.013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004
16	0.002	0.004	0.012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 26: First-Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Design**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden Alteration	3 Story Loaded Corridor Alteration	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Alteration	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Alteration
1	105	20	167	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	30	2	5	20	6
3	N/A	N/A	7	0	3	9	2
4	N/A	N/A	111	11	17	42	19
5	N/A	N/A	5	0	3	10	3
6	N/A	N/A	34	4	10	32	11
7	N/A	N/A	50	8	16	41	15
8	N/A	N/A	94	21	31	62	31
9	N/A	N/A	94	20	27	57	30
10	N/A	N/A	122	26	35	68	39
11	N/A	N/A	198	39	48	81	54
12	N/A	N/A	102	17	26	52	26
13	N/A	N/A	250	50	59	94	65
14	N/A	N/A	143	30	39	69	43
15	N/A	N/A	447	102	120	167	124
16	3	45	167	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 27: First-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Design**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	\$269	\$45	\$416	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	\$30	\$8	\$28	\$138	\$42
3	N/A	N/A	\$7	\$3	\$15	\$64	\$15
4	N/A	N/A	\$111	\$78	\$128	\$311	\$148
5	N/A	N/A	\$5	\$2	\$14	\$74	\$18
6	N/A	N/A	\$34	\$28	\$63	\$202	\$76
7	N/A	N/A	\$50	\$58	\$111	\$276	\$112
8	N/A	N/A	\$94	\$147	\$216	\$430	\$240
9	N/A	N/A	\$94	\$139	\$187	\$398	\$228
10	N/A	N/A	\$122	\$188	\$250	\$484	\$305
11	N/A	N/A	\$198	\$268	\$339	\$568	\$398
12	N/A	N/A	\$102	\$118	\$185	\$377	\$198
13	N/A	N/A	\$250	\$333	\$405	\$644	\$469
14	N/A	N/A	\$143	\$215	\$288	\$510	\$340
15	N/A	N/A	\$447	\$689	\$818	\$1,144	\$904
16	\$28	\$120	\$433	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 5.2.2 Supplementary Heating

Energy savings per unit are presented in Table 28 through Table 31. There are no natural gas savings for this measure. This measure does not apply to small single family homes or multifamily homes or any homes in Climate Zone 7 and 15. Per-unit savings for the first year are expected to range from 36 to 387 kWh/yr for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype and 32 to 344 for alterations. Demand savings range from 9 W to 50 W for the weighted 2100/200 prototype and from 8 W to 42 W for alterations. Source savings are expected to range from 134 to 896 kBtu for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype and 116 to 742 for alterations. The first-year long-term system cost savings per unit range from \$289 to \$2,758 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype and \$253 to \$2,380 for alterations.

**Table 28: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Supplementary Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	387	N/A	344	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	198	N/A	117	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	141	N/A	134	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	209	N/A	107	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	133	N/A	137	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	36	N/A	32	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	38	N/A	56	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	54	N/A	62	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	54	N/A	55	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	139	N/A	95	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	179	N/A	116	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	97	N/A	78	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	165	N/A	117	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	166	N/A	144	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 29: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Supplementary Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	0.050	N/A	0.042	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	0.031	N/A	0.018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	0.038	N/A	0.029	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	0.035	N/A	0.018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	0.034	N/A	0.027	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	0.009	N/A	0.008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	0.010	N/A	0.016	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	0.016	N/A	0.019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	0.018	N/A	0.020	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	0.029	N/A	0.016	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	0.032	N/A	0.018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	0.027	N/A	0.018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	0.033	N/A	0.020	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	0.033	N/A	0.024	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 30: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Supplementary Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	896	N/A	742	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	521	N/A	287	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	471	N/A	382	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	554	N/A	272	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	418	N/A	363	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	134	N/A	116	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	138	N/A	189	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	200	N/A	209	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	192	N/A	179	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	386	N/A	238	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	512	N/A	303	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	320	N/A	232	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	451	N/A	300	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	361	N/A	301	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 31: First Year Long-term System Cost Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Supplementary Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	\$2,758	N/A	\$2,380	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$1,473	N/A	\$844	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$1,152	N/A	\$1,019	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	\$1,553	N/A	\$781	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	\$1,064	N/A	\$1,014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	\$289	N/A	\$253	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$299	N/A	\$440	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	\$435	N/A	\$491	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	\$436	N/A	\$441	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$1,054	N/A	\$693	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	\$1,358	N/A	\$854	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	\$777	N/A	\$600	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	\$1,207	N/A	\$837	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,167	N/A	\$985	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 5.2.3 Defrost

Energy savings and peak demand reductions per unit are presented in Table 32 through Table 35. There are no natural gas savings for this measure. This measure is not applicable to single family homes in Climate Zones 6 and 7, small single family homes in Climate Zones 3, 5 through 10 and 15 and multifamily buildings in Climate Zones 1, 6 through 10, 15, and 16. Per-unit savings for the first year are expected to range from 6 to 147 kwh/yr for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 6 to 21 for the small home, and 4 to 288 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, per-unit savings are expected to range from 8 kwh/yr to 24 kwh/yr. Demand reductions are expected to be negligible for multifamily prototypes and small home, and range from 3 to 40 Watts for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, and 0 to 80 Watts for alterations. Source savings are expected to range from 24 to 482 kBtu for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 20 to 70 for the small home, and 17 to 799 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, source savings are expected to range from 23 kBtu to 77 kBtu. The first-year long-term system cost savings per unit range from \$49 to \$1,215 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, \$50 to \$170 for the small home, and \$33 and \$2,298 for alterations. For the multifamily prototype, the first-year long-term system cost savings per unit range from \$52 to \$185.

**Table 32: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Defrost**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	147	21	253	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	100	8	156	14	16	11	12
3	36	N/A	70	9	8	7	8
4	84	8	143	24	24	17	19
5	41	N/A	87	11	10	8	10
6	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	8	N/A	24	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	16	N/A	41	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	19	N/A	47	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	80	6	165	11	14	11	13
12	83	7	167	12	14	10	12
13	61	6	124	8	10	8	10
14	92	9	204	12	15	12	14
15	6	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	142	14	288	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 33: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Defrost**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	0.040	0.006	0.068	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	0.028	0.003	0.047	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.004
3	0.015	N/A	0.027	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
4	0.026	0.003	0.047	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.006
5	0.018	N/A	0.036	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
6	N/A	N/A	0.002	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	0.000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	0.003	N/A	0.010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	0.007	N/A	0.017	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	0.010	N/A	0.027	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	0.023	0.002	0.046	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.005
12	0.028	0.003	0.055	0.005	0.006	0.004	0.005
13	0.022	0.003	0.044	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.004
14	0.037	0.004	0.080	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.006
15	0.004	N/A	0.011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	0.039	0.005	0.079	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 34: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Defrost**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	482	70	783	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	339	25	516	45	53	30	39
3	143	N/A	266	34	33	23	29
4	279	30	466	75	77	47	58
5	143	N/A	300	38	37	25	32
6	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	49	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	73	N/A	166	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	73	N/A	183	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	279	25	566	41	50	33	45
12	279	25	566	43	50	30	41
13	220	20	433	30	37	23	31
14	328	35	699	44	53	34	47
15	24	N/A	83	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	401	40	799	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 35: First Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Defrost**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	\$1,215	\$170	\$2,048	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$820	\$65	\$1,265	\$111	\$129	\$72	\$91
3	\$325	N/A	\$616	\$75	\$74	\$52	\$63
4	\$667	\$60	\$1,149	\$185	\$188	\$113	\$139
5	\$359	N/A	\$716	\$88	\$87	\$58	\$74
6	N/A	N/A	\$33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	\$33	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$49	N/A	\$216	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	\$147	N/A	\$366	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	\$171	N/A	\$416	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$646	\$55	\$1,315	\$92	\$113	\$73	\$101
12	\$681	\$60	\$1,349	\$101	\$117	\$70	\$95
13	\$513	\$50	\$1,016	\$71	\$87	\$54	\$74
14	\$768	\$75	\$1,665	\$102	\$122	\$78	\$109
15	\$63	N/A	\$183	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,138	\$115	\$2,298	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 5.2.4 Crankcase Heating

Energy savings and peak demand reductions per unit are presented in Table 36 through Table 39 for the CCH measure. For the multifamily prototypes, savings are shown for the new construction prototypes, and it is expected that savings for existing home prototypes would be even higher, so they are not shown here. There are no natural gas savings for this measure. Per-unit savings for the first year for the single family prototypes are expected to range from 26 to 249 kwh/yr for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 17 to 172 for the small home, and 266 to 888 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, per-unit savings range from 7 to 167. Demand savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from 3 to 14 Watts for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 1 to 9 Watts for the small home, and 35 to 97 Watts for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, demand savings are negligible. Source savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from 33 to 280 kBtu for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, 19 to 189 for the small home, and 501 to 1,459 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, per-unit source savings range from 14 to 228. For the single family prototypes, the first year long-term system cost savings per unit range from \$193 to \$1,577 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, \$132 to \$1,085 for the small home, and \$1,825 to \$5,929 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, the first year long-term system cost savings per unit range from \$48 to \$1,060.

**Table 36: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Crankcase Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	46	21	266	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	63	46	558	17	37	40	37
3	26	17	432	7	16	14	16
4	82	69	542	33	59	66	61
5	33	24	454	10	22	22	22
6	45	42	458	18	31	41	33
7	49	47	453	21	36	47	36
8	82	82	529	44	59	82	72
9	86	84	539	49	62	82	75
10	99	94	613	35	76	91	77
11	121	99	673	39	85	95	83
12	82	69	572	25	61	66	60
13	123	107	633	39	94	105	86
14	119	105	578	39	90	100	89
15	249	172	888	87	165	166	167
16	75	53	469	16	31	36	33

**Table 37: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Crankcase Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	0.010	0.005	0.035	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	0.005	0.002	0.063	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
3	0.004	0.001	0.050	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
4	0.007	0.003	0.061	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.005
5	0.003	0.001	0.052	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002
6	0.004	0.003	0.052	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.003
7	0.004	0.004	0.051	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.003
8	0.005	0.004	0.059	0.003	0.004	0.006	0.005
9	0.006	0.004	0.061	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.005
10	0.006	0.005	0.069	0.002	0.005	0.006	0.005
11	0.010	0.006	0.076	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.005
12	0.007	0.004	0.065	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.004
13	0.012	0.008	0.071	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.005
14	0.010	0.006	0.065	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.006
15	0.014	0.009	0.097	0.006	0.010	0.010	0.011
16	0.010	0.004	0.054	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003

**Table 38: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Crankcase Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	129	59	501	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	78	38	941	22	46	35	40
3	41	19	741	14	28	18	25
4	112	67	918	46	78	67	72
5	33	19	772	16	33	24	30
6	46	31	772	29	46	48	45
7	47	45	757	33	55	56	49
8	80	75	872	63	81	87	89
9	105	75	903	70	84	87	92
10	95	85	1,026	48	101	95	93
11	151	103	1,126	49	105	89	92
12	90	63	964	34	77	63	68
13	143	118	1,053	50	115	100	95
14	150	112	965	50	111	93	99
15	280	189	1,459	120	228	184	211
16	144	75	807	22	41	35	38

**Table 39: First Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Crankcase Heating**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	\$374	\$162	\$1,825	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$388	\$249	\$3,738	\$104	\$226	\$190	\$202
3	\$178	\$102	\$2,895	\$48	\$107	\$75	\$97
4	\$565	\$456	\$3,625	\$222	\$389	\$350	\$365
5	\$193	\$132	\$3,033	\$65	\$143	\$113	\$131
6	\$299	\$268	\$3,084	\$123	\$204	\$218	\$198
7	\$346	\$338	\$3,040	\$142	\$250	\$257	\$224
8	\$512	\$511	\$3,554	\$292	\$387	\$424	\$427
9	\$566	\$535	\$3,612	\$321	\$401	\$424	\$442
10	\$640	\$595	\$4,117	\$228	\$490	\$471	\$455
11	\$806	\$647	\$4,514	\$252	\$551	\$489	\$488
12	\$557	\$462	\$3,844	\$169	\$404	\$349	\$361
13	\$827	\$706	\$4,236	\$257	\$607	\$541	\$504
14	\$783	\$674	\$3,877	\$252	\$580	\$510	\$522
15	\$1,577	\$1,085	\$5,929	\$559	\$1,060	\$856	\$981
16	\$525	\$330	\$3,174	\$100	\$194	\$182	\$190

## 5.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification

Energy savings and peak demand reductions per unit are presented in Table 40 through Table 43. The tables compare the proposed design of heat pump HVAC systems with a HSPF value of 94 percent of the prescriptive 2022 HSPF value with refrigerant charge verification and the standard design of heat pump HVAC systems with a HSPF value of 90 percent and no refrigerant charge verification. There are no natural gas savings for this measure. For single family new construction (> 500 sqft) and alterations, refrigerant charge verification for heat pumps was found to be cost effective in all of the climate zones where it was previously not required, so it is not proposed to be required in all climate zones for heat pumps. Savings are not reported for the 500 square foot prototype or for the multifamily prototypes, where the measure was not cost effective. Per-unit electricity savings for the first year for the single family prototypes are expected to range from 18 to 142 kwh/yr for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, and 97 to 306 for alterations. Demand savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from 3 to 32 Watts for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, and 7 to 63 Watts for alterations. Source savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from 49 to 377 kBtu for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, and 83 to 749 for alterations. The first-year LSC savings per unit range for single family prototypes range from \$136to \$1,127 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, and \$450 to \$2,298 for alterations.

**Table 40: First Year Electricity Savings (kWh) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	125	N/A	209	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	43	N/A	97	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	82	N/A	281	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	36	N/A	83	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	18	N/A	73	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	20	N/A	93	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	142	N/A	306	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 41: First Year Peak Demand Reduction (kW) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	0.028	N/A	0.047	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	0.018	N/A	0.034	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	0.024	N/A	0.043	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	0.014	N/A	0.028	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	0.005	N/A	0.007	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	0.003	N/A	0.004	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	0.032	N/A	0.063	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 42: First Year Source Energy Savings (kBtu) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	335	N/A	566	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	157	N/A	300	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	244	N/A	466	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	133	N/A	250	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	49	N/A	117	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	59	N/A	83	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	377	N/A	749	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 43: First Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$) Per Home – Refrigerant Charge**

Climate Zone	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction	500 Square Foot Small Home New Construction	1,665 Square Foot Alteration	2 Story Low-Rise Garden	3 Story Loaded Corridor	5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use	10 story High-Rise Mixed Use
1	\$981	N/A	\$1,598	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$412	N/A	\$816	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	\$656	N/A	\$1,881	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	\$311	N/A	\$649	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	\$136	N/A	\$450	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	\$161	N/A	\$566	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,127	N/A	\$2,298	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## 6. Cost and Cost-effectiveness

---

This section covers all measures that would modify the stringency of the existing California Energy Code. The variable capacity system measure would not modify the stringency of the code, so there would be no savings on a per-unit basis and therefore it is not reported on in this section.

### 6.1 Energy Cost Savings Methodology

Energy cost savings were calculated by applying the LSC hourly factors to the energy savings estimates that were derived using the methodology described in Section 5.1. LSC hourly factors are a normalized metric to calculate energy cost savings that accounts for the variable cost of electricity and natural gas for each hour of the year, along with how costs are expected to change over the 30-year period of analysis.

The CEC requested LSC savings over the 30-year period of analysis in both 2026 present value dollars (2026 PV\$) and nominal dollars. The cost effectiveness analysis uses LSC values in 2026 PV\$. Costs and cost effectiveness using and 2026 PV\$ are presented in Section 5 of this report. CEC uses results in nominal dollars to complete the Economic and Fiscal Impacts Statement (From 399) for the entire package of proposed change to Title 24, Part 6. Appendix G presents LSC savings results in nominal dollars.

Incremental first costs and costs over time were established through interviews with manufacturers and contractors, searching for costs from online sources, and a survey of contractors. These are listed in Section 6.3.

### 6.2 Energy Cost Savings Results

Per-unit energy cost savings for newly constructed buildings, additions, and alterations in terms of LSC savings realized over the 30-year period of analysis are presented in 2026 present value dollars (2026 PV\$) for each measure in the following subsections. The LSC methodology allows peak electricity savings to be valued more than electricity savings during non-peak periods. When a code change impacts cost, there is potential to disproportionately impact DIPs. Refer to Section 2 for more details addressing energy equity and environmental justice.

Energy savings for alterations are greater than that for new construction in all cases due to the higher heating and cooling loads in existing buildings.

#### 6.2.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)

LSC savings per-unit are presented in Table 44 through Table 50. Per-unit cost savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from \$28 to \$269 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, \$45 to \$120 for the small home, and \$5 to \$447 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, per-unit savings range from \$0 to \$1,144.

**Table 44: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Design – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

<b>Climate Zone</b>	<b>30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>
1	\$269	\$0	\$269
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$28	\$0	\$28

**Table 45: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Design – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$45	\$0	\$45
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$120	\$0	\$120

**Table 46: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$416	\$0	\$416
2	\$30	\$0	\$30
3	\$7	\$0	\$7
4	\$111	\$0	\$111
5	\$5	\$0	\$5
6	\$34	\$0	\$34
7	\$50	\$0	\$50
8	\$94	\$0	\$94
9	\$94	\$0	\$94
10	\$122	\$0	\$122
11	\$198	\$0	\$198
12	\$102	\$0	\$102
13	\$250	\$0	\$250
14	\$143	\$0	\$143
15	\$447	\$0	\$447
16	\$433	\$0	\$433

**Table 47: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$8	\$0	\$8
3	\$3	\$0	\$3
4	\$78	\$0	\$78
5	\$2	\$0	\$2
6	\$28	\$0	\$28
7	\$58	\$0	\$58
8	\$147	\$0	\$147
9	\$139	\$0	\$139
10	\$188	\$0	\$188
11	\$268	\$0	\$268
12	\$118	\$0	\$118
13	\$333	\$0	\$333
14	\$215	\$0	\$215
15	\$689	\$0	\$689
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 48: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$28	\$0	\$28
3	\$15	\$0	\$15
4	\$128	\$0	\$128
5	\$14	\$0	\$14
6	\$63	\$0	\$63
7	\$111	\$0	\$111
8	\$216	\$0	\$216
9	\$187	\$0	\$187
10	\$250	\$0	\$250
11	\$339	\$0	\$339
12	\$185	\$0	\$185
13	\$405	\$0	\$405
14	\$288	\$0	\$288
15	\$818	\$0	\$818
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 49: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$138	\$0	\$138
3	\$64	\$0	\$64
4	\$311	\$0	\$311
5	\$74	\$0	\$74
6	\$202	\$0	\$202
7	\$276	\$0	\$276
8	\$430	\$0	\$430
9	\$398	\$0	\$398
10	\$484	\$0	\$484
11	\$568	\$0	\$568
12	\$377	\$0	\$377
13	\$644	\$0	\$644
14	\$510	\$0	\$510
15	\$1,144	\$0	\$1,144
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 50: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Design – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$42	\$0	\$42
3	\$15	\$0	\$15
4	\$148	\$0	\$148
5	\$18	\$0	\$18
6	\$76	\$0	\$76
7	\$112	\$0	\$112
8	\$240	\$0	\$240
9	\$228	\$0	\$228
10	\$305	\$0	\$305
11	\$398	\$0	\$398
12	\$198	\$0	\$198
13	\$469	\$0	\$469
14	\$340	\$0	\$340
15	\$904	\$0	\$904
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

## 6.2.2 Supplementary Heating

LSC savings per-unit are presented in Table 51 and Table 52. Per-unit cost savings for the single family prototype are expected to range from \$215 to \$2,758 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype and \$191 to \$2,380 for alterations. This measure is not applicable to small homes or to multifamily.

**Table 51: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Supplementary Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$2,758	\$0	\$2,758
2	\$1,473	\$0	\$1,473
3	\$1,152	\$0	\$1,152
4	\$1,553	\$0	\$1,553
5	\$1,064	\$0	\$1,064
6	\$289	\$0	\$289
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$299	\$0	\$299
9	\$435	\$0	\$435
10	\$436	\$0	\$436
11	\$1,054	\$0	\$1,054
12	\$1,358	\$0	\$1,358
13	\$777	\$0	\$777
14	\$1,207	\$0	\$1,207
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,167	\$0	\$1,167

**Table 52: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Supplementary Heating – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$2,380	\$0	\$2,380
2	\$844	\$0	\$844
3	\$1,019	\$0	\$1,019
4	\$781	\$0	\$781
5	\$1,014	\$0	\$1,014
6	\$253	\$0	\$253
7	\$191	\$0	\$191
8	\$440	\$0	\$440
9	\$491	\$0	\$491
10	\$441	\$0	\$441
11	\$693	\$0	\$693
12	\$854	\$0	\$854
13	\$600	\$0	\$600
14	\$837	\$0	\$837
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$985	\$0	\$985

### 6.2.3 Defrost

LSC savings per-unit are presented in Table 53 through Table 59. Per-unit cost savings for single family prototypes are expected to range from \$49 to \$1,215 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, \$25 to \$170 for the small home, and \$183 to \$2,298 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, per-unit savings range from \$52 to \$188.

**Table 53: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Defrost – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

<b>Climate Zone</b>	<b>30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>
1	\$1,215	\$0	\$1,215
2	\$820	\$0	\$820
3	\$325	\$0	\$325
4	\$667	\$0	\$667
5	\$359	\$0	\$359
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$49	\$0	\$49
9	\$147	\$0	\$147
10	\$171	\$0	\$171
11	\$646	\$0	\$646
12	\$681	\$0	\$681
13	\$513	\$0	\$513
14	\$768	\$0	\$768
15	\$63	\$0	\$63
16	\$1,138	\$0	\$1,138

**Table 54: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Defrost – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$170	\$0	\$170
2	\$65	\$0	\$65
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	\$60	\$0	\$60
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$55	\$0	\$55
12	\$60	\$0	\$60
13	\$50	\$0	\$50
14	\$75	\$0	\$75
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$115	\$0	\$115

**Table 55: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Defrost – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$2,048	\$0	\$2,048
2	\$1,265	\$0	\$1,265
3	\$616	\$0	\$616
4	\$1,149	\$0	\$1,149
5	\$716	\$0	\$716
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$216	\$0	\$216
9	\$366	\$0	\$366
10	\$416	\$0	\$416
11	\$1,315	\$0	\$1,315
12	\$1,349	\$0	\$1,349
13	\$1,016	\$0	\$1,016
14	\$1,665	\$0	\$1,665
15	\$183	\$0	\$183
16	\$2,298	\$0	\$2,298

**Table 56: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$111	\$0	\$111
3	\$75	\$0	\$75
4	\$185	\$0	\$185
5	\$88	\$0	\$88
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$92	\$0	\$92
12	\$101	\$0	\$101
13	\$71	\$0	\$71
14	\$102	\$0	\$102
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 57: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$129	\$0	\$129
3	\$74	\$0	\$74
4	\$188	\$0	\$188
5	\$87	\$0	\$87
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$113	\$0	\$113
12	\$117	\$0	\$117
13	\$87	\$0	\$87
14	\$122	\$0	\$122
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 58: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$72	\$0	\$72
3	\$52	\$0	\$52
4	\$113	\$0	\$113
5	\$58	\$0	\$58
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$73	\$0	\$73
12	\$70	\$0	\$70
13	\$54	\$0	\$54
14	\$78	\$0	\$78
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 59: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$91	\$0	\$91
3	\$63	\$0	\$63
4	\$139	\$0	\$139
5	\$74	\$0	\$74
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$101	\$0	\$101
12	\$95	\$0	\$95
13	\$74	\$0	\$74
14	\$109	\$0	\$109
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

## 6.2.4 Crankcase Heating

LSC savings per-unit are presented in Table 60 through Table 66. This measure is not applicable to multifamily homes in Climate Zone 1. Per-unit cost savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from \$178 to \$1,577 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, \$102 to \$1,085 for the small home, and \$1,825 to \$5,929 for alterations. For the multifamily prototypes, per-unit savings range from \$48 to \$1,060.

**Table 60: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$374	\$0	\$374
2	\$388	\$0	\$388
3	\$178	\$0	\$178
4	\$565	\$0	\$565
5	\$193	\$0	\$193
6	\$299	\$0	\$299
7	\$346	\$0	\$346
8	\$512	\$0	\$512
9	\$566	\$0	\$566
10	\$640	\$0	\$640
11	\$806	\$0	\$806
12	\$557	\$0	\$557
13	\$827	\$0	\$827
14	\$783	\$0	\$783
15	\$1,577	\$0	\$1,577
16	\$525	\$0	\$525

**Table 61: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$162	\$0	\$162
2	\$249	\$0	\$249
3	\$102	\$0	\$102
4	\$456	\$0	\$456
5	\$132	\$0	\$132
6	\$268	\$0	\$268
7	\$338	\$0	\$338
8	\$511	\$0	\$511
9	\$535	\$0	\$535
10	\$595	\$0	\$595
11	\$647	\$0	\$647
12	\$462	\$0	\$462
13	\$706	\$0	\$706
14	\$674	\$0	\$674
15	\$1,085	\$0	\$1,085
16	\$330	\$0	\$330
16	\$162	\$0	\$162

**Table 62: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$1,825	\$0	\$1,825
2	\$3,738	\$0	\$3,738
3	\$2,895	\$0	\$2,895
4	\$3,625	\$0	\$3,625
5	\$3,033	\$0	\$3,033
6	\$3,084	\$0	\$3,084
7	\$3,040	\$0	\$3,040
8	\$3,554	\$0	\$3,554
9	\$3,612	\$0	\$3,612
10	\$4,117	\$0	\$4,117
11	\$4,514	\$0	\$4,514
12	\$3,844	\$0	\$3,844
13	\$4,236	\$0	\$4,236
14	\$3,877	\$0	\$3,877
15	\$5,929	\$0	\$5,929
16	\$3,174	\$0	\$3,174
16	\$1,825	\$0	\$1,825

**Table 63: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$104	\$0	\$104
3	\$48	\$0	\$48
4	\$222	\$0	\$222
5	\$65	\$0	\$65
6	\$123	\$0	\$123
7	\$142	\$0	\$142
8	\$292	\$0	\$292
9	\$321	\$0	\$321
10	\$228	\$0	\$228
11	\$252	\$0	\$252
12	\$169	\$0	\$169
13	\$257	\$0	\$257
14	\$252	\$0	\$252
15	\$559	\$0	\$559
16	\$100	\$0	\$100

**Table 64: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$226	\$0	\$226
3	\$107	\$0	\$107
4	\$389	\$0	\$389
5	\$143	\$0	\$143
6	\$204	\$0	\$204
7	\$250	\$0	\$250
8	\$387	\$0	\$387
9	\$401	\$0	\$401
10	\$490	\$0	\$490
11	\$551	\$0	\$551
12	\$404	\$0	\$404
13	\$607	\$0	\$607
14	\$580	\$0	\$580
15	\$1,060	\$0	\$1,060
16	\$194	\$0	\$194

**Table 65: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed-Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$190	\$0	\$190
3	\$75	\$0	\$75
4	\$350	\$0	\$350
5	\$113	\$0	\$113
6	\$218	\$0	\$218
7	\$257	\$0	\$257
8	\$424	\$0	\$424
9	\$424	\$0	\$424
10	\$471	\$0	\$471
11	\$489	\$0	\$489
12	\$349	\$0	\$349
13	\$541	\$0	\$541
14	\$510	\$0	\$510
15	\$856	\$0	\$856
16	\$182	\$0	\$182

**Table 66: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$202	\$0	\$202
3	\$97	\$0	\$97
4	\$365	\$0	\$365
5	\$131	\$0	\$131
6	\$198	\$0	\$198
7	\$224	\$0	\$224
8	\$427	\$0	\$427
9	\$442	\$0	\$442
10	\$455	\$0	\$455
11	\$488	\$0	\$488
12	\$361	\$0	\$361
13	\$504	\$0	\$504
14	\$522	\$0	\$522
15	\$981	\$0	\$981
16	\$190	\$0	\$190

## 6.2.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification

LSC savings per-unit are presented in Table 67 through Table 68. Per-unit cost savings for the single family prototypes are expected to range from \$136 to \$1,127 for the weighted 2100/2700 prototype and \$450 to \$2,298 for alterations. This measure is not applicable to single family small homes or multifamily dwelling units.

**Table 67: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Refrigerant Charge – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)
1	\$981	\$0	\$981
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$412	\$0	\$412
4	\$656	\$0	\$656
5	\$311	\$0	\$311
6	\$136	\$0	\$136
7	\$161	\$0	\$161
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,127	\$0	\$1,127

**Table 68: 2026 PV LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Home – New Construction and Additions – Refrigerant Charge - Prescriptive – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

<b>Climate Zone</b>	<b>30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>
1	\$1,598	\$0	\$1,598
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$816	\$0	\$816
4	\$1,881	\$0	\$1,881
5	\$649	\$0	\$649
6	\$450	\$0	\$450
7	\$566	\$0	\$566
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$2,298	\$0	\$2,298

### 6.3 Incremental First Cost

Incremental costs reflect the difference between the Standard Design and the Proposed Design as described in Table 23 for each measure. Table 69 describes the cost estimates for each measure evaluated.

The hourly rate of \$88 per hour is based on 2022 RS Means residential labor rates for sheet metal workers and includes a weighted average City Cost Index of 1.3 for labor in California.

**Table 69: Summary of Measure Incremental First Cost**

Measure	Climate Zone	HVAC System Type	Cost
<b>Design</b>	Cooling Dominated CZs 2-15	Heat pump for alterations. Savings would be the same for AC.	\$1,676/ton reduction in equipment cost. ¼ hour for designer to include authorized load calculation/duct design on CF1R. \$88/hour = \$22 increase.
<b>Design</b>	Heating Dominated CZs 1 & 16	Heat pump only.	\$1,676/ton increase. ¼ hour for designer to include authorized load calculation/duct design on CF1R. \$88/hour = \$22 increase. \$590 decrease from eliminating supplemental electric resistance heating.
<b>Supplementary Heat</b>	All CZs	Heat pump only.	Cost for smart thermostat or OA sensor. Assume \$225 smart - \$75 programmable = \$150 increase.
<b>Defrost</b>	All CZs	Heat pump only.	Cost for technician time to set delay timer, estimated at ¼ hour. \$88/hour = \$22 increase.
<b>Crankcase Heating (Mandatory)</b>	All CZs	Heat pump & AC.	\$15 increase for controls.
<b>Crankcase Heating (Prescriptive)</b>	All CZs	Heat pump & AC.	\$50 increase for controls.
<b>Charge Verification, Heat Pumps</b>	CZ 1,3-7,16	Heat pump only.	\$100 for HERS verification, increase. 15 min for contractor to document assumptions and readings, complete form, upload. \$88/hour = \$22 increase. 15 min for contractor to do capacity test. \$88/hour = \$22 increase.

Heat pump equipment costs for the design measure were collected from four on-line HVAC equipment vendors, an HVAC distributor, and a major California production home HVAC contractor. The different sources provide different perspectives and were compared to provide a level of consistency between equipment component costs and the full installed costs that would be realized by a production builder. The distributor and on-line cost estimates are indicative of equipment-only cost impacts, while the HVAC contractor costs represent “typical” full installed costs to the builder. There is of course a degree of variability in these costs based on building design, local economic environment, and other factors.

On-line heat pump costs for indoor and outdoor components were collected in January 2023 from four different suppliers (Supply House, HVAC Direct, AC Wholesalers, and Budget Heating) for minimum efficiency split system heat pumps ranging in size from 2 to 5-ton nominal capacity. Since a focus of this CASE study is on heat pump sizing, the key cost parameter is the incremental cost for an incremental ton of capacity. The data collected from the on-line vendors was used to compute incremental costs for an added ton of capacity from a 2, 3, and 4-ton reference point. From the four on-line sources, the average cost of a ton of capacity was \$569 (ranging from \$602 for the 2-3 ton increment, from \$617 for the 3-4 ton increment, and from \$487 for the 4-5 ton increment). The cost data points from the HVAC distributor confirmed these findings with an average cost per ton of \$541 for a one ton increment (ranging from \$646 for the 2-3 ton increment and from \$436 for the 3-4 ton increment). Variability in pricing is to be expected between different manufacturers.

Production builder installed HVAC costs are the most definitive costs as they represent the full cost of equipment and associated installation impacts, such as labor and miscellaneous costs. The HVAC contractor provided recent “typical” production home HVAC costs for 3 and 4 ton split system heat pumps. These costs are presented in Table 70. The cost for a ton of capacity was \$1,676. In addition, costs are provided for typical strip heat installed costs<sup>21</sup> (both electrician labor, strip heat element, and electrical components) which was found to be \$590 for a typically sized system.

**Table 70: Production Builder Installed HVAC System Costs**

Case	Installed Cost
Nominal 3 ton minimum efficiency heat pump install	\$10,788
Nominal 4 ton minimum efficiency heat pump install	\$12,464
Installed cost for 8-10 kW electric resistance strip	\$590

---

<sup>21</sup> Note: strip heat labor costs are estimated at \$450. Material costs total \$140, but are included in heat pump installed costs.

Labor costs for the design, defrost, and refrigerant charge verification measures are estimates of the time required for these tasks, taken from interviews with contractors. The cost for capacity impacts for the design measure is calculated as a cost savings for a two-tenths of a ton decrease in capacity for the cooling dominated climates and a cost increase for a one-tenth of a ton increase in capacity for the heating dominated climates. For single family the capacity increase or decrease was based on the system capacity estimated from CBECC-Res for each prototype and climate zone. For multifamily the decrease was based on a 1.5-ton system, the smallest nominal system capacity typically available for standard split heat pumps and air conditioners.

The incremental cost for control of CCH and supplementary heating are based on the cost of third-party controls (e.g., a third-party temperature controller from Ranco is listed at about \$80) and estimates of the components required to provide similar functionality e.g., adding a solenoid relay control to turn off the CCH when the compressor is energized would only cost a few dollars), reduced by about 40 percent if they are provided by an OEM.

## 6.4 Incremental Maintenance and Replacement Costs

Incremental maintenance cost is the incremental cost of replacing the equipment or parts of the equipment, as well as periodic maintenance required to keep the equipment operating relative to current practices over the 30-year period of analysis. The present value of equipment maintenance costs (or savings) was calculated using a three percent discount rate ( $d$ ), which is consistent with the discount rate used when developing the 2025 LSC hourly factors. The present value of maintenance costs that occurs in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  year is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Present Value of Maintenance Cost} = \text{Maintenance Cost} \times \left[ \frac{1}{1 + d} \right]^n$$

Heat pumps and air conditioners have an estimated useful life of 15 years based on the Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER) (California Public Utilities Commission 2021b). The proposed measures are assumed to replace the HVAC equipment at year 15. The incremental measure costs described in Table 69 are also assessed at year 15, (with the exception of costs for the two CCH measures, because it is expected that innovation would result in this being business as usual at time of later replacement). The present values of these incremental replacement costs are calculated according to the equation above and added to the incremental first cost.

For most measures, there is no difference in regular maintenance between the proposed measures and the baseline and as a result no incremental maintenance costs are assessed. For the Refrigerant Charge Verification measure, however, there is

expected to be a net benefit in maintenance costs. If a system is charged incorrectly, there is a good chance that it would have to be remedied at a future service call. It is assumed that adjusting and verifying the charge would avoid one \$200 service call over the system's useful life. Present value analysis assumed that an avoided service call would have taken place in years 7.5 and 22.5 (midway through the estimated useful life of the system and midway through the estimated useful life of its replacement—within the measure lifecycle).

## 6.5 Cost Effectiveness

These measures propose a set of mandatory and primary prescriptive requirements. As such, a cost analysis is required to demonstrate that the measure is cost effective over the 30-year period of analysis.

The CEC establishes the procedures for calculating cost effectiveness. The Statewide CASE Team collaborated with CEC staff to confirm that the methodology in this report is consistent with their guidelines, including which costs were included in the analysis. The incremental first cost and incremental maintenance costs over the 30-year period of analysis were included. The LSC savings from electricity and natural gas were also included in the evaluation. Design costs were not included nor were the incremental costs of code compliance verification.

According to the CEC's definitions, a measure is cost effective if the benefit-to-cost (B/C) ratio is greater than 1.0. The B/C ratio is calculated by dividing the cost benefits realized over 30 years by the total incremental costs, which includes maintenance costs for 30 years. The B/C ratio was calculated using 2026 PV costs and cost savings.

Similar to what was presented in Section 6.2, in this section results are presented for the various prototypes. Energy savings for alterations are greater than that for new construction in all cases due to the higher heating and cooling loads in existing buildings. The incremental costs for this measure are estimated to be roughly equivalent for new construction and alterations; therefore, cost effectiveness is generally improved for alterations as a result of higher heating and cooling loads.

Benefits and costs are defined as follows:

- **Benefits: LSC Savings + Other PV Savings:** Benefits include LSC savings over the period of analysis (California Energy Commission, 2022, pp. 51-53). Other savings are discounted at a real (nominal – inflation) three percent rate. Other PV savings include incremental first-cost savings if proposed first cost is less than current first cost, incremental PV maintenance cost savings if PV of proposed maintenance costs is less than PV of current maintenance costs, and incremental residual value if proposed residual value is greater than current residual value at end of CASE analysis period.

- Costs: Total Incremental Present Valued Costs:** Costs include incremental equipment, replacement, and maintenance costs over the period of analysis if PV of proposed costs is greater than PV of current costs. Costs are discounted at a real (inflation-adjusted) three percent rate. If incremental maintenance cost is negative, it is treated as a positive benefit. If there are no total incremental PV costs, the B/C ratio is infinite.

### 6.5.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)

Results of the per-unit cost-effectiveness analyses are presented in Table 71 through Table 77. As before, results for the new-construction prototypes are only shown for the heating-dominated climate zones, because the measure for cooling-dominated climate zones is only applicable to existing buildings. For both the weighted 2100/2700 prototype and the small home, the measure was cost effective in both Climate Zones 1 and 16 (benefit to cost ratio was essentially infinite, as the incremental first costs were negative). For single family alterations, the measure was cost effective in all sixteen climate zones, and in Climate Zones 2 through 15 the benefit to cost ratio was infinite. For multifamily alterations, the measure was cost effective in Climate Zones 2 through 15. The benefit to cost ratio in these climate zones was infinite.

**Table 71: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Design – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Benefits (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1		\$269	-\$156	infinite
2		N/A	N/A	N/A
3		N/A	N/A	N/A
4		N/A	N/A	N/A
5		N/A	N/A	N/A
6		N/A	N/A	N/A
7		N/A	N/A	N/A
8		N/A	N/A	N/A
9		N/A	N/A	N/A
10		N/A	N/A	N/A
11		N/A	N/A	N/A
12		N/A	N/A	N/A
13		N/A	N/A	N/A
14		N/A	N/A	N/A
15		N/A	N/A	N/A
16		\$28	-\$64	infinite

**Table 72: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Design – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$45	-\$468	infinite
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$120	-\$454	infinite

**Table 73: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$416	\$109	3.83
2	\$30	-\$1,912	infinite
3	\$7	-\$1,496	infinite
4	\$111	-\$1,835	infinite
5	\$5	-\$1,568	infinite
6	\$34	-\$1,572	infinite
7	\$50	-\$1,555	infinite
8	\$94	-\$1,782	infinite
9	\$94	-\$1,815	infinite
10	\$122	-\$2,056	infinite
11	\$198	-\$2,248	infinite
12	\$102	-\$1,935	infinite
13	\$250	-\$2,106	infinite
14	\$143	-\$1,919	infinite
15	\$447	-\$2,878	infinite
16	\$433	\$398	1.09

**Table 74: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$8	-\$481	infinite
3	\$3	-\$481	infinite
4	\$78	-\$481	infinite
5	\$2	-\$481	infinite
6	\$28	-\$481	infinite
7	\$58	-\$481	infinite
8	\$147	-\$481	infinite
9	\$139	-\$481	infinite
10	\$188	-\$481	infinite
11	\$268	-\$481	infinite
12	\$118	-\$481	infinite
13	\$333	-\$481	infinite
14	\$215	-\$481	infinite
15	\$689	-\$481	infinite
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 75: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$28	-\$481	infinite
3	\$15	-\$481	infinite
4	\$128	-\$481	infinite
5	\$14	-\$481	infinite
6	\$63	-\$481	infinite
7	\$111	-\$481	infinite
8	\$216	-\$481	infinite
9	\$187	-\$481	infinite
10	\$250	-\$481	infinite
11	\$339	-\$481	infinite
12	\$185	-\$481	infinite
13	\$405	-\$481	infinite
14	\$288	-\$481	infinite
15	\$818	-\$481	infinite
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 76: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$138	-\$481	infinite
3	\$64	-\$481	infinite
4	\$311	-\$481	infinite
5	\$74	-\$481	infinite
6	\$202	-\$481	infinite
7	\$276	-\$481	infinite
8	\$430	-\$481	infinite
9	\$398	-\$481	infinite
10	\$484	-\$481	infinite
11	\$568	-\$481	infinite
12	\$377	-\$481	infinite
13	\$644	-\$481	infinite
14	\$510	-\$481	infinite
15	\$1,144	-\$481	infinite
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 77: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Design – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$42	-\$481	infinite
3	\$15	-\$481	infinite
4	\$148	-\$481	infinite
5	\$18	-\$481	infinite
6	\$76	-\$481	infinite
7	\$112	-\$481	infinite
8	\$240	-\$481	infinite
9	\$228	-\$481	infinite
10	\$305	-\$481	infinite
11	\$398	-\$481	infinite
12	\$198	-\$481	infinite
13	\$469	-\$481	infinite
14	\$340	-\$481	infinite
15	\$904	-\$481	infinite
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

## 6.5.2 Supplementary Heating

Results of the per-unit cost-effectiveness analyses are presented in Table 78 and Table 79. For the weighted 2100/2700 new construction prototype and for alterations, the measure was cost effective in all climate zones except 7 and 15, where this measure isn't applicable. This measure is not applicable for small homes because it is not cost effective in almost all climate zones. It is not applicable to multifamily homes.

**Table 78: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Supplementary Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$2,758	\$150	18.38
2	\$1,473	\$150	9.82
3	\$1,152	\$150	7.68
4	\$1,553	\$150	10.36
5	\$1,064	\$150	7.09
6	\$289	\$150	1.93
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$299	\$150	2.00
9	\$435	\$150	2.90
10	\$436	\$150	2.91
11	\$1,054	\$150	7.03
12	\$1,358	\$150	9.06
13	\$777	\$150	5.18
14	\$1,207	\$150	8.05
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,167	\$150	7.78

**Table 79: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Supplementary Heating – Existing Home Prototype**

<b>Climate Zone</b>	<b>Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Benefit-to-Cost Ratio</b>
1	\$2,380	\$150	15.86
2	\$844	\$150	5.63
3	\$1,019	\$150	6.79
4	\$781	\$150	5.21
5	\$1,014	\$150	6.76
6	\$253	\$150	1.68
7	\$191	\$150	1.27
8	\$440	\$150	2.94
9	\$491	\$150	3.27
10	\$441	\$150	2.94
11	\$693	\$150	4.62
12	\$854	\$150	5.69
13	\$600	\$150	4.00
14	\$837	\$150	5.58
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$985	\$150	6.57

### 6.5.3 Defrost

Results of the per-unit cost-effectiveness analyses are presented in Table 80 through Table 86. For the weighted 2100/2700 new construction prototype and for alterations, the measure was cost effective in all climate zones except 6 and 7. For the small home, the measure is cost effective in Climate Zones 1, 2, 4, 11 through 14, and 16, but not cost effective in Climate Zones 3, 5 through 10, and 15, where it is not required. For multifamily buildings, it is cost effective for all Climate Zones except Climate Zones 1, 6-10, 15 and 16.

**Table 80: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Defrost – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$1,215	\$36	33.62
2	\$820	\$36	22.71
3	\$325	\$36	8.99
4	\$667	\$36	18.45
5	\$359	\$36	9.95
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$49	\$36	1.35
9	\$147	\$36	4.06
10	\$171	\$36	4.73
11	\$646	\$36	17.87
12	\$681	\$36	18.84
13	\$513	\$36	14.20
14	\$768	\$36	21.26
15	\$63	\$36	1.74
16	\$1,138	\$36	31.50

**Table 81: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Defrost – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$170	\$36	4.71
2	\$65	\$36	1.80
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	\$60	\$36	1.66
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$55	\$36	1.52
12	\$60	\$36	1.66
13	\$50	\$36	1.38
14	\$75	\$36	2.08
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$115	\$36	3.18

**Table 82: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Defrost – Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$2,048	\$36	56.70
2	\$1,265	\$36	35.03
3	\$616	\$36	17.06
4	\$1,149	\$36	31.81
5	\$716	\$36	19.82
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	\$216	\$36	5.99
9	\$366	\$36	10.14
10	\$416	\$36	11.52
11	\$1,315	\$36	36.42
12	\$1,349	\$36	37.34
13	\$1,016	\$36	28.12
14	\$1,665	\$36	46.10
15	\$183	\$36	5.07
16	\$2,298	\$36	63.61

**Table 83: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$111	\$36	3.06
3	\$75	\$36	2.09
4	\$185	\$36	5.13
5	\$88	\$36	2.43
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$92	\$36	2.56
12	\$101	\$36	2.79
13	\$71	\$36	1.96
14	\$102	\$36	2.84
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 84: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$129	\$36	3.56
3	\$74	\$36	2.05
4	\$188	\$36	5.20
5	\$87	\$36	2.41
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	\$117	\$36	3.24
13	\$87	\$36	2.41
14	\$122	\$36	3.38
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 85: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$72	\$36	1.99
3	\$52	\$36	1.44
4	\$113	\$36	3.13
5	\$58	\$36	1.60
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$73	\$36	2.03
12	\$70	\$36	1.94
13	\$54	\$36	1.49
14	\$78	\$36	2.15
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Table 86: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$91	\$36	2.53
3	\$63	\$36	1.75
4	\$139	\$36	3.86
5	\$74	\$36	2.04
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	\$101	\$36	2.80
12	\$95	\$36	2.62
13	\$74	\$36	2.04
14	\$109	\$36	3.02
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 6.5.4 Crankcase Heating

Results of the per-unit cost-effectiveness analyses are presented in Table 87 through Table 93. Both the measures were cost effective in both new construction prototypes and in alterations, for single family prototypes in all climate zones. It is cost effective for multifamily prototypes in all climate zones except Climate Zone 1.

**Table 87: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Crankcase Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings <sup>a</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs <sup>b</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$374	\$65	5.75
2	\$388	\$65	5.97
3	\$178	\$65	2.74
4	\$565	\$65	8.69
5	\$193	\$65	2.97
6	\$299	\$65	4.60
7	\$346	\$65	5.32
8	\$512	\$65	7.87
9	\$566	\$65	8.71
10	\$640	\$65	9.84
11	\$806	\$65	12.40
12	\$557	\$65	8.56
13	\$827	\$65	12.72
14	\$783	\$65	12.05
15	\$1,577	\$65	24.26
16	\$525	\$65	8.08

**Table 88: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Crankcase Heating – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Small Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings <sup>a</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs <sup>b</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$162	\$65	2.50
2	\$249	\$65	3.83
3	\$102	\$65	1.57
4	\$456	\$65	7.01
5	\$132	\$65	2.03
6	\$268	\$65	4.12
7	\$338	\$65	5.20
8	\$511	\$65	7.85
9	\$535	\$65	8.23
10	\$595	\$65	9.15
11	\$647	\$65	9.95
12	\$462	\$65	7.11
13	\$706	\$65	10.86
14	\$674	\$65	10.37
15	\$1,085	\$65	16.68
16	\$330	\$65	5.08

**Table 89: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings <sup>a</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs <sup>b</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$1,825	\$65	28.07
2	\$3,738	\$65	57.51
3	\$2,895	\$65	44.54
4	\$3,625	\$65	55.77
5	\$3,033	\$65	46.66
6	\$3,084	\$65	47.45
7	\$3,040	\$65	46.76
8	\$3,554	\$65	54.67
9	\$3,612	\$65	55.56
10	\$4,117	\$65	63.33
11	\$4,514	\$65	69.45
12	\$3,844	\$65	59.14
13	\$4,236	\$65	65.17
14	\$3,877	\$65	59.64
15	\$5,929	\$65	91.21
16	\$3,174	\$65	48.83

**Table 90: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 2 Story Low-Rise Garden Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings <sup>a</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs <sup>b</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$104	\$65	1.60
3	\$48	\$65	0.75
4	\$222	\$65	3.42
5	\$65	\$65	1.00
6	\$123	\$65	1.89
7	\$142	\$65	2.19
8	\$292	\$65	4.49
9	\$321	\$65	4.93
10	\$228	\$65	3.51
11	\$252	\$65	3.88
12	\$169	\$65	2.60
13	\$257	\$65	3.95
14	\$252	\$65	3.88
15	\$559	\$65	8.59
16	\$100	\$65	1.54

**Table 91: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 3 Story Loaded Corridor Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings <sup>a</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs <sup>b</sup> (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$226	\$65	3.48
3	\$107	\$65	1.64
4	\$389	\$65	5.98
5	\$143	\$65	2.21
6	\$204	\$65	3.14
7	\$250	\$65	3.85
8	\$387	\$65	5.95
9	\$401	\$65	6.17
10	\$490	\$65	7.54
11	\$551	\$65	8.48
12	\$404	\$65	6.22
13	\$607	\$65	9.34
14	\$580	\$65	8.93
15	\$1,060	\$65	16.31
16	\$194	\$65	2.98

**Table 92: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 5 Story Mid-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$190	\$65	2.92
3	\$75	\$65	1.16
4	\$350	\$65	5.38
5	\$113	\$65	1.73
6	\$218	\$65	3.36
7	\$257	\$65	3.96
8	\$424	\$65	6.53
9	\$424	\$65	6.52
10	\$471	\$65	7.24
11	\$489	\$65	7.52
12	\$349	\$65	5.37
13	\$541	\$65	8.32
14	\$510	\$65	7.84
15	\$856	\$65	13.16
16	\$182	\$65	2.80

**Table 93: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating – 10 story High-Rise Mixed Use Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	\$202	\$65	3.10
3	\$97	\$65	1.49
4	\$365	\$65	5.62
5	\$131	\$65	2.01
6	\$198	\$65	3.04
7	\$224	\$65	3.45
8	\$427	\$65	6.57
9	\$442	\$65	6.80
10	\$455	\$65	6.99
11	\$488	\$65	7.50
12	\$361	\$65	5.55
13	\$504	\$65	7.76
14	\$522	\$65	8.03
15	\$981	\$65	15.08
16	\$190	\$65	2.92

### 6.5.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification

Results of the per-unit cost-effectiveness analyses are presented in Table 94 through Table 95. For the weighted 2100/2700 prototype, the measure was found to be cost effective in all Climate Zones. The measure was not found to be cost effective in any climate zone for the small home prototype or multifamily prototypes. For alterations, the measure was found to be cost effective in all climate zones. This measure is not applicable to single family small homes or multifamily dwelling units.

**Table 94: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – New Construction/Additions – Refrigerant Charge – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)	Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-to-Cost Ratio
1	\$981	\$76	12.87
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$412	\$76	5.40
4	\$656	\$76	8.61
5	\$311	\$76	4.08
6	\$136	\$76	1.79
7	\$161	\$76	2.11
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$1,127	\$76	14.79

**Table 95: 30-Year Cost-Effectiveness Summary Per Home – Alterations – Refrigerant Charge – Existing Home Prototype**

<b>Climate Zone</b>	<b>Benefits LSC Savings + Other PV Savings (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Costs Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)</b>	<b>Benefit-to-Cost Ratio</b>
1	\$1,598	\$76	20.98
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$816	\$76	10.71
4	\$1,881	\$76	24.69
5	\$649	\$76	8.52
6	\$450	\$76	5.90
7	\$566	\$76	7.43
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$2,298	\$76	30.16

## 7. First-Year Statewide Impacts

---

This section covers all measures that would modify the stringency of the existing California Energy Code. The variable capacity system measure would not modify the stringency of the code, so there would be no savings on a per-unit basis and therefore it is not reported on in this section.

### 7.1 Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Savings

The Statewide CASE Team calculated the first-year statewide savings for new construction and additions by multiplying the per-unit savings, which are presented in Section 5.2, by assumptions about the percentage of newly constructed buildings that would be impacted by the proposed code. The statewide new construction forecast for 2026 is presented in Appendix A, as are the Statewide CASE Team's assumptions about the percentage of new construction that would be impacted by the proposal (by climate zone and building type).

The first-year energy impacts represent the first-year annual savings from all buildings that were completed in 2026. The 30-year energy cost savings represent the energy cost savings over the entire 30-year analysis period. The statewide savings estimates do not take naturally occurring market adoption or compliance rates into account.

While a statewide analysis is crucial to understanding broader effects of code change proposals, there is potential to disproportionately impact DIPs that needs to be considered. Refer to Section 2 for more details addressing energy equity and environmental justice.

#### 7.1.1 Design (Sizing, Equipment Selection, and Ducts/Diffusers)

The tables below present the first-year statewide energy and energy cost savings from newly constructed buildings and additions (Table 96) and alterations (Table 97) by climate zone. Table 98 presents first-year statewide savings from new construction, additions, and alterations.

**Table 96: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Design**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	323	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.03	\$0.08
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	1,743	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.01	\$0.06
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,066</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>\$0.14</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 97: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Design**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	227	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	\$0.09
2	14,868	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.37	\$0.61
3	61,028	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.38	\$0.92
4	31,444	3.44	0.00	0.00	2.49	\$4.41
5	5,718	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03	\$0.08
6	36,682	1.51	0.01	0.00	1.03	\$2.22
7	32,065	1.75	0.01	0.00	1.26	\$2.93
8	57,657	6.01	0.02	0.00	4.29	\$9.43
9	94,723	8.33	0.05	0.00	6.36	\$16.74
10	55,868	8.55	0.02	0.00	5.80	\$9.75
11	16,832	3.65	0.01	0.00	2.87	\$4.15
12	71,626	6.65	0.01	0.00	6.02	\$10.03
13	31,671	8.55	0.01	0.00	6.81	\$9.54
14	13,253	2.42	0.00	0.00	1.59	\$2.69
15	8,753	4.89	0.01	0.00	3.40	\$4.75
16	494	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.08	\$0.21
<b>Total</b>	<b>532,910</b>	<b>56.73</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>42.81</b>	<b>\$78.56</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 98: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Design**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$0.14
<b>Alterations</b>	56.7	0.2	0.0	42.8	\$78.56
<b>Total</b>	<b>56.8</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>42.9</b>	<b>\$78.70</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

## 7.1.2 Supplementary Heating

The tables below present the first-year statewide energy and energy cost savings from newly constructed buildings and additions (Table 99) and alterations (Table 100) by climate zone. Table 101 presents first-year statewide savings from new construction, additions, and alterations.

**Table 99: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Supplementary Heating**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	317	0.12	0.02	0.00	0.28	\$0.86
2	1,641	0.32	0.05	0.00	0.84	\$2.38
3	2,677	0.38	0.10	0.00	1.24	\$3.02
4	2,372	0.50	0.08	0.00	1.30	\$3.65
5	543	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.22	\$0.56
6	1,516	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.20	\$0.44
7	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
8	3,672	0.14	0.04	0.00	0.51	\$1.10
9	3,780	0.21	0.06	0.00	0.76	\$1.65
10	7,012	0.38	0.13	0.00	1.35	\$3.07
11	5,151	0.72	0.15	0.00	1.98	\$5.41
12	12,826	2.29	0.41	0.00	6.51	\$17.26
13	6,401	0.62	0.17	0.00	2.05	\$4.97
14	3,298	0.54	0.11	0.00	1.48	\$3.96
15	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
16	1,708	0.28	0.06	0.00	0.61	\$1.98
<b>Total</b>	<b>52,914</b>	<b>6.64</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>19.33</b>	<b>\$50.31</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 100: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Supplementary Heating**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	378	0.13	0.02	0.00	0.28	\$0.90
2	2,236	0.26	0.04	0.00	0.64	\$1.89
3	8,182	1.10	0.23	0.00	3.13	\$8.33
4	4,184	0.45	0.08	0.00	1.14	\$3.27
5	818	0.11	0.02	0.00	0.30	\$0.83
6	5,002	0.16	0.04	0.00	0.58	\$1.26
7	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
8	7,793	0.44	0.12	0.00	1.48	\$3.43
9	10,521	0.65	0.20	0.00	2.20	\$5.16
10	8,980	0.50	0.18	0.00	1.61	\$3.96
11	2,822	0.27	0.05	0.00	0.67	\$1.96
12	11,095	1.29	0.20	0.00	3.36	\$9.47
13	5,340	0.41	0.10	0.00	1.24	\$3.21
14	2,085	0.24	0.04	0.00	0.63	\$1.75
15	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
16	824	0.12	0.02	0.00	0.25	\$0.81
<b>Total</b>	<b>70,262</b>	<b>6.13</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>17.50</b>	<b>\$46.23</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 101: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Supplementary Heating**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	6.6	1.4	0.0	19.3	\$50.31
<b>Alterations</b>	6.1	1.3	0.0	17.5	\$46.23
<b>Total</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>36.8</b>	<b>\$96.54</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

### 7.1.3 Defrost

The tables below present the first-year statewide energy and energy cost savings from newly constructed buildings and additions (Table 102) and alterations (Table 103) by climate zone. Table 104 presents first-year statewide savings from new construction, additions, and alterations.

**Table 102: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Defrost**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	323	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.15	\$0.38
2	2,927	0.18	0.05	0.00	0.60	\$1.45
3	9,606	0.15	0.06	0.00	0.56	\$1.28
4	5,495	0.26	0.08	0.00	0.84	\$2.01
5	800	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.08	\$0.21
6	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	3,672	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.18	\$0.18
9	3,780	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.28	\$0.55
10	7,012	0.13	0.07	0.00	0.51	\$1.20
11	6,312	0.43	0.12	0.00	1.47	\$3.42
12	18,071	1.13	0.39	0.00	3.76	\$9.15
13	7,439	0.40	0.15	0.00	1.44	\$3.35
14	4,667	0.32	0.13	0.00	1.13	\$2.66
15	2,787	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.07	\$0.18
16	1,743	0.24	0.07	0.00	0.68	\$1.93
<b>Total</b>	<b>74,634</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>11.76</b>	<b>\$27.94</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 103: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Defrost**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	378	0.10	0.03	0.00	0.30	\$0.77
2	3,420	0.36	0.11	0.00	1.20	\$2.94
3	14,367	0.62	0.24	0.00	2.35	\$5.42
4	7,413	0.66	0.22	0.00	2.14	\$5.26
5	1,329	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.26	\$0.62
6	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
7	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
8	7,793	0.19	0.08	0.00	0.78	\$1.69
9	10,521	0.43	0.18	0.00	1.75	\$3.85
10	8,980	0.42	0.24	0.00	1.64	\$3.74
11	3,776	0.48	0.13	0.00	1.64	\$3.80
12	16,371	1.92	0.64	0.00	6.48	\$15.44
13	7,096	0.68	0.24	0.00	2.36	\$5.54
14	3,019	0.44	0.17	0.00	1.50	\$3.57
15	1,495	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.12	\$0.27
16	824	0.24	0.07	0.00	0.66	\$1.89
<b>Total</b>	<b>86,781</b>	<b>6.63</b>	<b>2.38</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>23.19</b>	<b>\$54.81</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 104: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Defrost**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First -Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	3.4	1.2	0.0	11.8	\$27.94
<b>Alterations</b>	6.6	2.4	0.0	23.2	\$54.81
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>34.9</b>	<b>\$82.75</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

### 7.1.4 Crankcase Heating

The tables below present the first-year statewide energy and energy cost savings from newly constructed buildings and additions (Table 105 for Crankcase Heating CC and Table 108 for Crankcase Heating TC) and alterations (Table 106 for Crankcase Heating CC and Table 109 for Crankcase Heating TC) by climate zone. Table 107 and Table 110 present first-year statewide savings from new construction, additions, and alterations for Crankcase Heating CC and Crankcase Heating TC measures respectively.

**Table 105: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating CC**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
2	45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.01
3	148	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.01
4	84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.02
5	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
6	55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
7	97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.01
8	176	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.03
9	201	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.03
10	169	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.03
11	97	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.05
12	277	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	\$0.09
13	114	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.06
14	72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.03
15	49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.03
16	29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.02
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,630</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>\$0.43</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 106: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Crankcase Heating CC**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.01
2	115	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	\$0.05
3	478	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	\$0.11
4	246	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	\$0.13
5	45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.01
6	287	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.04
7	251	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.05
8	451	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	\$0.14
9	750	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	\$0.22
10	431	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	\$0.19
11	129	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	\$0.11
12	555	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.10	\$0.33
13	244	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	\$0.21
14	102	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	\$0.08
15	67	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.07
16	39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.03
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,203</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>\$1.78</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 107: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating CC**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	\$0.43
<b>Alterations</b>	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.5	\$1.78
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>\$2.21</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 108: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – Crankcase Heating TC**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	97	0	0	0	0	\$0.00
2	875	0.03	0	0	0.02	\$0.17
3	2,889	0.04	0	0	0.03	\$0.18
4	1,643	0.09	0	0	0.08	\$0.52
5	242	0.01	0	0	0	\$0.03
6	1,066	0.04	0	0	0.04	\$0.23
7	1,890	0.07	0.01	0	0.09	\$0.43
8	3,435	0.21	0.01	0	0.22	\$1.23
9	3,926	0.25	0.01	0	0.28	\$1.46
10	3,298	0.26	0.01	0	0.22	\$1.55
11	1,887	0.16	0.01	0	0.13	\$0.96
12	5,403	0.31	0.01	0	0.19	\$1.87
13	2,224	0.2	0.01	0	0.18	\$1.21
14	1,395	0.11	0	0	0.1	\$0.68
15	951	0.18	0.01	0	0.2	\$1.10
16	572	0.02	0	0	0.01	\$0.10
<b>Total</b>	<b>31,793</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1.79</b>	<b>\$11.72</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 109: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Crankcase Heating TC**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	263	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	\$0.06
2	2,250	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.03	\$0.21
3	9,312	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.04	\$0.32
4	4,800	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.12	\$0.81
5	868	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.05
6	5,591	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.11	\$0.58
7	4,903	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.11	\$0.58
8	8,793	0.30	0.02	0.00	0.34	\$1.79
9	14,621	0.60	0.03	0.00	0.67	\$3.52
10	8,410	0.30	0.02	0.00	0.31	\$1.82
11	2,525	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09	\$0.56
12	10,814	0.29	0.01	0.00	0.25	\$1.69
13	4,748	0.18	0.01	0.00	0.18	\$1.06
14	1,999	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08	\$0.45
15	1,311	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.12	\$0.62
16	758	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.05
<b>Total</b>	<b>81,967</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2.49</b>	<b>\$14.16</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 110: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Crankcase Heating TC**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	2.0	0.1	0.0	1.8	\$11.72
<b>Alterations</b>	2.4	0.1	0.0	2.5	\$14.16
<b>Total</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>\$25.88</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

### 7.1.5 Refrigerant Charge Verification

The tables below present the first-year statewide energy and energy cost savings from newly constructed buildings and additions (Table 111) and alterations (Table 112) by climate zone. Table 113 presents first-year statewide savings from new construction, additions, and alterations.

**Table 111: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction & Additions – Refrigerant Charge**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	317	0.04	0.01	N/A	0.10	\$0.30
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	2,677	0.12	0.05	N/A	0.42	\$1.09
4	2,372	0.19	0.06	N/A	0.58	\$1.57
5	543	0.02	0.01	N/A	0.07	\$0.17
6	1,516	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.07	\$0.21
7	1,648	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.10	\$0.27
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	1,708	0.24	0.06	N/A	0.64	\$1.91
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,782</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>\$5.53</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 112: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – Refrigerant Charge**

Climate Zone	Statewide Alterations Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Homes)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	378	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.21	\$0.60
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	8,182	0.79	0.28	0.00	2.45	\$6.68
4	4,184	1.17	0.18	0.00	1.95	\$7.87
5	818	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.20	\$0.53
6	5,002	0.37	0.04	0.00	0.58	\$2.25
7	4,159	0.39	0.02	0.00	0.35	\$2.35
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	824	0.25	0.05	0.00	0.62	\$1.89
<b>Total</b>	<b>23,547</b>	<b>3.12</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>6.37</b>	<b>\$22.18</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 113: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – Refrigerant Charge**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	0.7	0.2	0.0	2.0	\$5.53
<b>Alterations</b>	3.1	0.6	0.0	6.4	\$22.18
<b>Total</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>\$27.71</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

## 7.2 Statewide Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Reductions

The Statewide CASE Team calculated avoided GHG emissions associated with energy consumption using the hourly GHG emissions factors that CEC developed along with the 2025 LSC hourly factors and an assumed cost of \$123.15 per metric ton of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e). (California Energy Commission 2020)

The monetary value of avoided GHG emissions is based on a proxy for permit costs (not social costs).<sup>22</sup> The cost-effectiveness analysis presented in Section 6 of this report does not include the cost savings from avoided GHG emissions. To demonstrate the cost savings of avoided GHG emissions, the Statewide CASE Team disaggregated the value of avoided GHG emissions from the other economic impacts.

Table 114 presents the estimated first-year avoided GHG emissions of the proposed code change. During the first year, GHG emissions of about 30,000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e would be avoided.

**Table 114: First-Year Statewide GHG Emissions Impacts**

Measure	Electricity Savings <sup>a</sup> (GWh/yr)	Reduced GHG Emissions from Electricity Savings (Metric Tons CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Natural Gas Savings <sup>a</sup> (Million Therms/yr)	Reduced GHG Emissions from Natural Gas Savings (Metric Tons CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Total Reduced GHG Emissions <sup>b</sup> (Metric Ton CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Total Monetary Value of Reduced GHG Emissions <sup>c</sup> (\$)
Design	57	2,268	0.00	0	2,268	\$279,272
Supplementary Heating	13	1,969	0	0	1,969	\$242,424
Defrost	10	1,856	0.00	0	1,856	\$228,533
Crankcase Heating (compressor)	0	32	0.00	0	32	\$4,001
Crankcase Heating (temperature)	4	248	0.00	0	248	\$30,489
Refrigerant Charge	4	450	0.00	0	450	\$55,383
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>6,823</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,823</b>	<b>\$840,102</b>

- First-year savings from all applicable newly constructed buildings, additions, and alterations completed statewide in 2026.
- GHG emissions savings were calculated using hourly GHG emissions factors published alongside the LSC hourly factors and source energy hourly factors by CEC here: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/files/2025-energy-code-hourly-factors>
- The monetary value of avoided GHG emissions is based on a proxy for permit costs (not social costs) derived from the 2022 TDV Update Model published by CEC here: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/files/tdv-2022-update-model>

<sup>22</sup> The permit cost of carbon is equivalent to the market value of a unit of GHG emissions in the California Cap-and-Trade program, while social cost of carbon is an estimate of the total economic value of damage done per unit of GHG emissions. Social costs tend to be greater than permit costs. See more on the Cap-and-Trade Program on the [California Air Resources Board website](#).

### **7.3 Statewide Water Use Impacts**

The proposed code change would not result in water savings.

### **7.4 Statewide Material Impacts**

The proposed code change would not result in statewide material impacts.

### **7.5 Other Non-Energy Impacts**

The proposed code change would not result in any other non-energy impacts.

## 8. Proposed Revisions to Code Language

---

### 8.1 Guide to Markup Language

The proposed changes to the standards, Reference Appendices, and the ACM Reference Manuals are provided below. Changes to the 2022 documents are marked with red underlining (new language) and ~~strikethroughs~~ (deletions).

### 8.2 Standards

#### **10-103 – PERMIT, CERTIFICATE, INFORMATIONAL, AND ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR DESIGNERS, INSTALLERS, BUILDERS, MANUFACTURERS, AND SUPPLIERS**

##### **(a) Documentation.**

**2. Application for a building permit.** Each application for a building permit subject to Part 6 shall contain at least one copy of the documents specified in Section 10-103(a)2A, 10-103(a)2B, and 10-103(a)2C.

- A. For all newly constructed buildings, additions, alterations, or repairs regulated by Part 6 the applicant shall submit the applicable Certificate(s) of Compliance to the enforcement agency for approval. The certificate(s) shall conform to the requirements of Section 10-103(a)1, and shall be approved by the local enforcement agency, in accordance with all applicable requirements of Section 10-103(d) by stamp or authorized signature prior to issuance of a building permit. A copy of the Certificate(s) of Compliance shall be included with the documentation the builder provides to the building owner at occupancy as specified in Section 10-103(b)

For alterations to existing residential buildings for which HERS field verification is required, and when the enforcement agency does not require building design plans to be submitted with the application for a building permit, the applicable Certificate of Compliance documentation specified in 10-103(a)1 is not required to be approved by the enforcement agency prior to issuance of a building permit, but shall be approved by the enforcement agency prior to final inspection of the dwelling unit, and shall be made available to the enforcement agency for all applicable inspections, or made available for viewing on an approved data registry.

When the enforcement agency requires building design plans to be submitted with the application for a building permit, the applicable Certificate of Compliance documents shall be incorporated into the building design plans. When Section 10-103(a)1 requires document registration, the certificate(s) that are incorporated into the building design plans shall be copies of the registered Certificate of Compliance documents from a HERS provider data registry, or a data registry approved by the Commission.

Per 150.0(h)1ii, for residential buildings, and 160.3(b)1ii, for multifamily buildings, a report on load calculations (detailed report from load calculation software, or documentation of inputs, outputs, and algorithms used when custom calculations are done) must also be submitted with the Certificate of Compliance.

- B. When the enforcement agency requires building design plans and specifications to be submitted with the application for a building permit, the plans shall conform to the specifications for the features, materials, components, and manufactured devices identified on the Certificate(s) of Compliance, and shall conform to all other applicable requirements of Part 6. Plans and specifications shall be submitted to the enforcement agency for any other feature, material, component, or manufactured device that Part 6 requires be indicated on the building design plans and specifications. Plans and specifications submitted with each application for a building permit for Nonresidential buildings, High-rise Residential buildings and Hotels and Motels shall provide acceptance requirements for code compliance of each feature, material, component or manufactured device when acceptance requirements are required under Part 6. Plans and specifications for Nonresidential buildings, High-rise Residential buildings and Hotels and Motels shall require, and indicate with a prominent note on the plans, that within 90 days after the Enforcement Agency issues a permanent final occupancy permit, record drawings be provided to the building owner. Per 150.0(h)5 for residential buildings, and 160.3(b)5 for multifamily buildings, when plans are required to be submitted to the enforcement agency, they must include the following:
- i. a schematic duct layout diagram showing supply register locations, return grill locations, duct sizes of all ducts and plenums and target airflows at each register.
  - ii. equipment specifications with design total system airflow and corresponding design total external static pressure for each air handler
  - iii. supply register information, including register size, type, design static pressure drop, throw distance(s) and noise criteria
  - iv. return grill information, including grilled size, type, design static pressure drop and noise criteria
  - v. return filter information, including filter type, dimensions, thickness, static pressure drop at design airflow, and MERV rating.

For all buildings, if the specification for a building design feature, material, component, or manufactured device is changed before final construction or installation, such that the building may no longer comply with Part 6 the building must be brought back into compliance, and so indicated on amended plans, specifications, and Certificate(s) of Compliance that shall be submitted to the enforcement agency for approval. Such characteristics shall include the efficiency (or other characteristic regulated by Part 6) of each building design feature, material, component, or device.

- C. The enforcement agency shall have the authority to require submittal of any supportive documentation that was used to generate the Certificate(s) of Compliance, including but not limited to the electronic input file for the compliance

software tool that was used to generate performance method Certificate(s) of Compliance; or any other supportive documentation that is necessary to demonstrate that the building design conforms to the requirements of Part 6.

**(b) Compliance, Operating, Maintenance, and Ventilation Information to be provided by Builder.**

**3. Maintenance information.**

At final inspection, the enforcement agency shall require the builder to leave in the building, for the building owner at occupancy, maintenance information for all features, materials, components, and manufactured devices that require routine maintenance for efficient operation. Required routine maintenance actions shall be clearly stated and incorporated on a readily accessible label. The label may be limited to identifying, by title and/or publication number, the operation and maintenance manual for that particular model and type of feature, material, component or manufactured device. For low-rise residential buildings, this information shall include a schedule of all interior luminaires and lamps installed to comply with Section 150.0(k).

For all ducted space conditioning systems installed in residential buildings, this information shall be provided in a folder or clipboard magnetically or otherwise mechanically attached (in a removeable way) to the indoor air-handling unit. In these cases, this information shall include:

- All OEM installation and service manuals that were included with all components of the installation.
- A Manual D or other duct and diffuser drawing and/or plans showing the equipment layout, distribution system design, total airflow, all room airflows, return-air filter sizes and filter media depth.
- All HERS compliance and verification paperwork, including all CF-1R, 2R and 3R document pages.
- Any commissioning and/or air balancing information
- Installing contactor contact information.

For dwelling units, buildings or tenant spaces that are not individually owned and operated, or are centrally operated, such information shall be provided to the person(s) responsible for maintaining the feature, material, component or mechanical device installed in the building. This information shall be in paper or electronic format.

## **SECTION 110.2 – MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR SPACE-CONDITIONING EQUIPMENT**

**(b):Controls for heat pumps with supplementary heaters, for non-residential and multi-family buildings.**

Controls for heat pumps with supplementary heaters for single family residential buildings are provided in Section 150.0(h)9. For non-residential and multi-family buildings, heat pumps with electric resistance heaters shall have controls:

1. That prevent supplementary heater operation when the heating load can be met by the heat pump alone; and
2. In which the cut-on temperature for compression heating is higher than the cut-on temperature for supplementary heating, and the cut-off temperature for compression heating is higher than the cut-off temperature for supplementary heating.

**Exception 1 to Section 110.2(b)1:** The controls may allow supplementary heater operation during:

- A. Defrost; and
- B. Transient periods such as start-ups and following room thermostat setpoint advance, if the controls provide preferential rate control, intelligent recovery, staging, ramping or another control mechanism designed to preclude the unnecessary operation of supplementary heating.

**Exception 2 to Section 110.2(b)1:** Room air-conditioner heat pumps.

## SECTION 150.0 – MANDATORY FEATURES AND DEVICES

### (h) Space-conditioning equipment.

#### 1. Building cooling and heating loads.

- i. Building heating and cooling loads shall be determined using a method based on any one of the following:
  - A. The ASHRAE Handbook, Equipment Volume, Applications Volume and Fundamentals Volume; or
  - B. ~~The~~ SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Standards Manual; or
  - C. ~~The~~ ACCA Manual J.

~~The cooling and heating loads are two of the criteria that shall be used for equipment sizing and selection.~~

~~**NOTE:** Heating systems are required to have a minimum heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC. The furnace output capacity and other specifications are published in the Commission's directory of certified equipment or other directories approved by the Commission.~~

- ii. Load calculations must be submitted along with the Certificate of Compliance for approval by the enforcement agency. These must include the following information: design city, indoor and outdoor design temperatures, winter heating loads for each zone/system, Sensible and latent summer cooling loads for each zone/system, load calculation software name and version. If load calculations use custom calculations based on the resources above, the report must also show all detailed algorithms, inputs and outputs. Load calculations used for a

duct design shall be done on a room-by-room basis, but load calculations solely for system sizing are not required to be done on a room-by-room basis.

- 2. Design conditions.** For the purpose of sizing the space- conditioning (HVAC) system, the indoor design temperatures shall be 68°F for heating and 75°F for cooling. Outdoor design conditions shall be selected from Reference Joint Appendix JA2, which is based on data from the ASHRAE 2021 Climatic Data for Region X. The outdoor design temperatures for heating shall be no lower than the 99.0 percent Heating Dry Bulb Heating Winter Median of Extremes values. The outdoor design temperatures for cooling shall be no greater than the 1.0 percent Cooling Dry Bulb and Mean Coincident Wet Bulb values.

[...]

**5. Duct design.** When plans are required to be submitted to the enforcement agency, they must include the following:

- i. a schematic duct layout diagram showing supply register locations, return grill locations, duct sizes of all ducts and plenums and target airflows at each register.
- ii. equipment specifications with design total system airflow and corresponding design total external static pressure for each air handler
- iii. supply register information, including register size, type, design static pressure drop, throw distance(s) and noise criteria
- iv. return grill information, including grilled size, type, design static pressure drop and noise criteria
- v. return filter information, including filter type, dimensions, thickness, static pressure drop at design airflow, and MERV rating.

**6. System selection.**

- A. The cooling and heating loads are two of the criteria that shall be used for equipment sizing and selection.
- B. For each zone or system added or modified, the following must be provided: equipment type, design total airflow and corresponding total external static pressure drop for all air handlers, design heating capacity, design sensible and latent cooling capacities, winter heating loads, summer cooling loads, capacity to load sizing ratios, and efficiencies.
- C. Heating-only systems shall be sized based on ACCA Manual S-2023, Table N2.5.
- D. Heat pumps and cooling-only systems shall be sized based on ACCA Manual S-2023, substituting these limits (where referenced, the load shall be calculated at the relevant design condition):
  - i. **Minimum:**
    - a. **Heating Capacity:** Heating systems are required to have a heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC. This refers to the capacity of the heat pump itself, not including any supplementary heating provided.
    - b. **Cooling Capacity:** There is no limit on the minimum capacity.

- ii. **Maximum:** For new construction, there is no limit on the maximum heating or cooling capacity.

**7. Defrost.** If a heat pump is equipped with a defrost delay timer, either the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer shall provide the installer with succinct and readily-accessible instructions for the proper configuration of the defrost delay timer setting. The delay timer must be set to greater than or equal to 90 minutes. The proper setting shall be verified by a HERS Rater according to the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA 3.4.TBD.

Exception 1 to 150.0(h)7: Buildings in Climate Zones 6 or 7.

Exception 2 to 150.0(h)7: Dwelling units with a conditioned floor area of 500 square feet or less in Climate Zones 3, 5 through 10, or 15.

**8. Supplementary heating control configuration.** Heat pumps with electric resistance heaters or gas furnace supplementary heating shall have controls that:

- i. Use either an outdoor air temperature sensor or an internet weather service to lock out supplementary heating above an outdoor air temperature of no greater than 35°F. Either the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer shall provide the installer with succinct and readily-accessible instructions for the proper configuration and verification of configuration of these controls. Note that this is also the limit for the changeover temperature of a dual fuel heat pump. The presence and proper configuration of controls that lock out supplementary heating shall be field verified, according to procedures provided in RA 3.4.TBD.
- ii. When electric resistance strip heating is used, capacity is limited to the maximum of the difference between the heat heating capacity at the heating design temperature and the heating design load, and 2.7 kw per nominal ton (for defrost).

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(h)8:** The controls may allow supplementary heater operation during defrost; and when the user selects emergency operation.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(h)8:** Room air-conditioner heat pumps.

**Exception 3 to Section 150.0(h)8:** Dwelling units in Climate Zones 7 and 15 and dwelling units with a conditioned floor area of 500 square feet or less .

**9. Capacity variation with third-party thermostats.** When third-party thermostats are used with variable or multi-speed systems, the space conditioning system and thermostat together shall be capable of—and configured to—deliver all functionality necessary to provide proper modulation. To accomplish this, either the thermostat or space conditioning equipment manufacturer shall provide the installer with succinct and readily-accessible instructions for the proper configuration of these controls.

[...]

(m) Air-distribution and ventilation system ducts, plenums and fans.

**13. Space conditioning system airflow rate and fan efficacy.** Space conditioning systems that utilize forced air ducts to supply cooling to an occupiable space shall:

[...]

C. **Zonally controlled central forced air systems.** Zonally controlled central forced air cooling systems shall be capable of simultaneously delivering, in every zonal control mode, an airflow from the dwelling, through the air handler fan and delivered to the dwelling, of greater than or equal to 350 cfm per ton of nominal cooling capacity, and operating at an air-handling unit fan efficacy of less than or equal to the maximum W/CFM specified in Subsections i or ii below. The airflow rate and fan efficacy requirements in this section shall be confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the applicable procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.3, with only the smallest zone calling—or the dump zone (zone without a zoning damper) if present.

i. 0.45 W/CFM for gas furnace air-handling units.

ii. 0.58 W/CFM for air-handling units that are not gas furnaces.

[...]

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(m)13C:** Multispeed or variable speed compressor systems, ~~or single speed compressor systems that utilize the performance compliance approach,~~ that incorporate controls that vary fan speed with respect to the number of zones calling as certified by the installer may demonstrate compliance with the airflow (cfm/ton) and fan efficacy (Watt/cfm) requirements of Section 150.0(m)13C by operating the system at maximum compressor capacity and system fan speed with all zones calling for conditioning.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(m)13C:** Zonally controlled forced air heat pump systems utilizing a single compressor to serve multiple air handlers shall demonstrate compliance with the airflow (cfm/ton) and fan efficacy (Watt/cfm) requirements of Section 150.0(m)13C using the sum of airflows and Watt/cfm of all air handlers.

**Exception 23 to Section 150.0(m)13C:** Gas furnace air-handling units manufactured prior to July 3, 2019 shall comply with a fan efficacy value less than or equal to 0.58 w/cfm as confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the procedures given in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.3.

## **SECTION 150.1 – PERFORMANCE AND PRESCRIPTIVE COMPLIANCE APPROACHES FOR SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS**

(c) Prescriptive standards/component packages

7. Space heating and space cooling.

All space heating and space cooling equipment shall comply with minimum Appliance Efficiency Regulations as specified in Sections 110.0 through 110.2 and meet all applicable requirements of Sections 150.0 and 150.1(c)7A.

A. **Refrigerant charge.** When refrigerant charge verification ~~or fault indicator display~~ is shown as required by Table 150.1-A, the system shall comply with either Section 150.1(c)7Ai or 150.1(c)7Aii:

i. air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted packaged systems, small duct high velocity systems, and mini-split systems, shall comply with subsections a, b and c, unless the system is of a type that cannot be verified using the specified procedures:

...

c. The installer shall charge the system according to manufacturer's specifications. Refrigerant charge shall be verified according to one of the following options, as applicable:

I. The installer and rater shall perform the standard charge procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2, or an approved alternative procedure as specified by Section RA1; or

~~II. The system shall be equipped with a fault indicator display (FID) device that meets the specifications of Reference Joint Appendix JA6. The installer shall verify the refrigerant charge and FID device in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.4.2. The HERS Rater shall verify FID device in accordance with the procedures in Section RA3.4.2; or~~

III. The installer shall perform the weigh-in charging procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the Section RA3.2.2 standard charge verification procedure and Section RA3.3 airflow rate verification procedure or approved alternatives in Section RA1. The HERS Rater shall verify the charge using Sections RA3.2.2 and RA3.3 or approved alternatives in Section RA1.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.1(c)7Aic:** When the outdoor temperature is less than 55°F and the installer utilizes the weigh-in charging procedure in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 to verify the refrigerant charge, the installer may elect to utilize the HERS Rater verification procedure in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.2. If the HERS Rater verification procedure in Section RA3.2.3.2 is used for compliance, the system's thermostat shall conform to the specifications in Section 110.12. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.1(c)7Aib.

- ii. Air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted packaged systems, small duct high velocity systems and mini-split systems, which are of a type that cannot comply be verified using the specified procedures, with the requirements of Section 150.1(c)7Ai shall comply with Subsections a and b, as applicable.
  - a. The installer shall confirm the refrigerant charge using the weigh-in charging procedure specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1, as verified by a HERS Rater according to the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.2; and
  - b. Systems that utilize forced air ducts shall comply with the minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 150.1(c)7Aib provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedures in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative procedure in Section RA1.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.1(c)7A:** Packaged systems for which the manufacturer has verified correct system refrigerant charge prior to shipment from the factory are not required to have refrigerant charge confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing. The installer of these packaged systems shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the packaged system was pre-charged at the factory and has not been altered in a way that would affect the charge. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirements in Section 150.1(c)7Aib, provided that the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedure specified in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative in Section RA1.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.1(c)7A:** Systems may use a method recommended by the manufacturer.

- B. Air conditioner and heat pump controls. All air conditioners and heat pumps shall be controlled in accordance with either 150.1(c)7Bi or 150.1(c)7Bii
  - i. Controlled by an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat compliant with Section 110.12(a) and the building complying with solar ready requirements of Section 110.10(b)1A without making use of Exception 5.
  - ii. Crankcase heating power limited. Installer has manufacturer-provided documentation of one of the following:
    - a. The system does not heat the crankcase by any means.
    - b. Crankcase heating power input is controlled with a thermostat that measures ambient temperature with a sensing element temperature that is not affected by the heater, where the Crankcase Heater turn-on temperature (as certified in the DOE Compliance Certification Database) is no higher than 71°F. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.
    - c. Crankcase heating power is controlled based on a differential temperature between crankcase and evaporator or condenser. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.

d. Crankcase heating uses self-regulating control or other controls for which the sensing element temperature is affected by the heater. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.

Table 150.1-A COMPONENT PACKAGE – Single Family Standard Building Design

			Climate Zone																
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
HVAC System	Space Cooling	SEER/SEER2	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	MIN	
		Refrigerant Charge Verification or Fault Indicator Display	NR	REQ	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	REQ	NR							
		Whole-House Fan <sup>8</sup>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	REQ	NR	NR						
	Refrigerant Charge Verification	Air Conditioners	NR	REQ	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	REQ	NR							
		Heat Pumps	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ

**SECTION 150.2 – ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS FOR ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS TO EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS**

**(a) Additions.**

**1. Prescriptive approach.**

**E. Load Calculations and System Capacity**

- i. **Load Calculations:** When doing load calculations as required in 150.0(h)1, for additions:
  - a) Simplifying assumptions described in RA [TBD1] are allowed for new systems serving an addition with a conditioned floor area of 144 square feet or less.
  - b) Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.
  - c) The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 150.2- TBD1 (the default ACH value of “Average” from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition). A disclosure to the homeowner shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the home was not measured and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.

**Exception to Section 150.2(a)1Eic:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference

Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

**ii. System Capacity:**

- a) Minimum capacity limits are as described in 150.0(h)6A.
- b) The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  1. In situations where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
  2. In situations where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 150.2-**TBD2**.

Table 150.2-**TBD1**: Maximum Infiltration Air Changes Per Hour for Load Calculations

		<u>Floor Area of Heated or Cooled Space ( sq ft):</u>					<u>Cfm for One Fireplace</u>
		<u>≤900</u>	<u>901-1500</u>	<u>1501-2000</u>	<u>2001-3000</u>	<u>≥3001</u>	
<u>Single Story</u>	<u>Heating</u>	<u>0.61</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.28</u>	<u>20</u>
	<u>Cooling</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>0.20</u>	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Two Story</u>	<u>Heating</u>	<u>0.79</u>	<u>0.80</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>0.37</u>	<u>20</u>
	<u>Cooling</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>0.30</u>	<u>0.26</u>	<u>0.21</u>	<u>0.19</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Townhouses or Condominiums</u>	<u>Heating</u>	<u>0.69</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>0.43</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>20</u>
	<u>Cooling</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>0.27</u>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>0.19</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>0</u>

The default ACH values for “Average” infiltration, from Tables 5A& 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition

Table 150.2-**TBD2**: Maximum Heating and Cooling Capacity (HL = Design Heating Load; CL = Design Total Cooling Load)

<u>System Type</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heating Only Systems</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u>		
		<u>&lt; 0</u>	<u>0 – 12 kueb</u>	<u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>

<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<b><u>System Type</u></b>	<b><u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Cooling Only Systems</u></b>	<b><u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u></b>		
		<b><u>&lt; 0</u></b>	<b><u>0 – 12 kBtuh</u></b>	<b><u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u></b>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>

## 2. Performance approach.

### D.

#### **Load Calculations and System Capacity**

- i. **Load Calculations:** When doing load calculations as required in 150.0(h)1, for additions:
  - a) Simplifying assumptions described in RA[TBD1] are allowed for new systems serving an addition with a conditioned floor area of 144 square feet or less.
  - b) Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.
  - c) The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 150.2-TBD1 (the default ACH value of “Average” from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition).. A disclosure to the homeowner shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the home was not measures and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.

**Exception to Section 150.2(b)2Dic:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

ii. **System Capacity:**

- a) Minimum capacity limits are as described in 150.0(h)6A.
- b) The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  - 1. In situations where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
  - 2. In situations where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 150.2-TBD2.

**(b) Alterations.**

**1. Prescriptive approach.**

**F. Altered space-conditioning system - mechanical cooling.**

- ii. Air-cooled air conditioners In Climate Zones 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15, ~~air-cooled air conditioners~~ and air-source heat pumps in all climate zones, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted package systems, small duct high velocity air systems, and minisplit systems, shall comply with subsections a and b, unless the system is of a type that cannot be verified using the specified procedures. Systems that cannot comply with the requirements of 150.2(b)1Fii shall comply with 150.2(b)1Fiii.

...

- b. The installer shall charge the system according to manufacturer's specifications. Refrigerant charge shall be verified according to one of the following options, as applicable.
  - I. The installer and rater shall perform the standard charge verification procedure as specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2, or an approved alternative procedure as specified in Section RA1; or
  - ~~II. The system shall be equipped with a fault indicator display (FID) device that meets the specifications of Reference Joint Appendix JA6. The installer shall verify the refrigerant charge and FID device in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.4.2. The HERS Rater shall verify FID device in accordance with the procedures in Section RA3.4.2; or~~
  - III. The installer shall perform the weigh-in charging procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the RA3.2.2 standard charge verification procedure and RA3.3 airflow rate verification procedure or approved alternatives in RA1. The HERS Rater shall verify the charge using RA3.2.2 and RA3.3 or approved alternatives in RA1.

...

- iii. Air-cooled air conditioners In climate Zones 2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15, ~~air-cooled air conditioners or~~ and air-source heat pumps in all climate zones, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted package systems, small duct high velocity, and minisplit systems, which are of a type that cannot comply with the requirements of 150.2(b)1Fiib shall comply with subsections a and b, as applicable.

## **O. Load Calculations and System Capacity**

- i. **Load Calculations:** When doing load calculations as required in 150.0(h)1, for alterations:
- a) Simplifying assumptions described in RA[TBD1] are allowed for system replacements where the new equipment is the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the replaced equipment.
  - b) Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.
  - c) The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 150.2-TBD1 (the default ACH value of “Average” from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition).. A disclosure to the homeowner shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the home was not measures and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.

**Exception to Section 150.2(b)1Oic:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

Note: Load calculations are required, even in the situation where the new equipment is the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the replaced system.

- ii. **System Capacity:**
- a) Minimum capacity limits are as described in 150.0(h)6A.
  - b) The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
    1. Where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
    2. Where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 150.2-TBD2.

## **2. Performance approach.**

## **D. Load Calculations and System Capacity**

- i. **Load Calculations:** When doing load calculations as required in 150.0(h)1, for alterations:
- Appendix A Simplifying assumptions described in RA[TBD1] are allowed for system replacements where the new equipment is the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the replaced equipment.
  - Appendix B Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.
  - Appendix C The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 150.2-TBD1 (the default ACH value of “Average” from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition).. A disclosure to the homeowner shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the home was not measures and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.
- Exception to Section 150.2(b)2Dic:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.
- Note: Load calculations are required, even in the situation where the new equipment is the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the replaced system.
- ii. **System Capacity:**
- a) Minimum capacity limits are as described in 150.0(h)6A.
  - b) The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
- Where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
  - Where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 150.2-TBD2.

## **SECTION 160.3 – MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR SPACE CONDITIONING SYSTEMS IN MULTIFAMILY BUILDINGS**

### **(b) Dwelling unit space-conditioning and air distribution systems.**

#### **1. Building cooling and heating loads.**

- i. Building heating and cooling loads shall be determined using a method based on any one of the following, using cooling and heating loads as two of the criteria for equipment sizing and selection:
- A. The ASHRAE Handbook, Equipment Volume, Applications Volume and

Fundamentals Volume; or

B. ~~The~~ SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Standards Manual; or

C. ~~The~~ ACCA Manual J.

~~**NOTE:** Heating systems are required to have a minimum heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC.~~

ii. ~~Load calculations must be submitted along with the Certificate of Compliance for approval by the enforcement agency. These must include the following information: design city, indoor and outdoor design temperatures, winter heating loads for each zone/system, Sensible and latent summer cooling loads for each zone/system, load calculation software name and version. If load calculations use custom calculations based on the resources above, the report must also show all detailed algorithms, inputs and outputs. Load calculations used for a duct design shall be done on a room-by-room basis, but load calculations solely for system sizing are not required to be done on a room-by-room basis.~~

**2. Design conditions.** Design conditions shall be determined in accordance with the following:

A. For the purpose of sizing the space- conditioning (HVAC) system, the indoor design temperatures shall be 68°F for heating and 75°F for cooling.

B. Outdoor design conditions shall be selected from Reference Joint Appendix JA2, which is based on data from the ASHRAE 2021 Climatic Data for Region X.

C. The outdoor design temperatures for heating shall be no lower than the 99.0 percent Heating Dry Bulb~~Heating Winter Median of Extremes~~ values.

D. The outdoor design temperatures for cooling shall be no greater than the 1.0 percent Cooling Dry Bulb and Mean Coincident Wet Bulb values.

[...]

**5. Air-distribution and ventilation system ducts, plenums and fans.**

[...]

**L. Space conditioning system airflow rate and fan efficacy.** Space conditioning systems that utilize forced air ducts to supply cooling to an individual dwelling unit shall:

[...]

iii. **Zonally controlled central forced air systems.** Zonally controlled central forced air cooling systems shall be capable of simultaneously delivering, in every zonal control mode, an airflow from the dwelling, through the air handler fan and delivered to the dwelling, of greater than or equal to 350 cfm per ton of nominal cooling capacity, and operating at an air-handling unit fan efficacy of less than or equal to the maximum W/CFM specified in

Subsections i or ii below. The airflow rate and fan efficacy requirements in this section shall be confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the applicable procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.3.

- i. 0.45 W/CFM for gas furnace air-handling units.
- ii. 0.58 W/CFM for air-handling units that are not gas furnaces.
  1. Small duct high velocity forced air systems. Demonstrate, in every control mode, airflow greater than or equal to 250 CFM per ton of nominal cooling capacity through the return grilles, and an air-handling unit fan efficacy less than or equal to 0.62 W/CFM as confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the procedures given in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.3.

**Exception 1 to Section 160.0(b)5Liii:** Multispeed or variable speed compressor systems, ~~or single speed compressor systems that utilize the performance compliance approach,~~ shall incorporate controls that vary fan speed with respect to the number of zones calling and shall demonstrate compliance with the airflow (cfm/ton) and fan efficacy (Watt/cfm) requirements of Section 160.0(b)5Liii by operating the system at maximum compressor capacity and system fan speed with all zones calling for conditioning, rather than in every zonal control mode.

**Exception 2 to Section 160.0(b)5Liii:** Zonally controlled forced air heat pump systems utilizing a single compressor to serve multiple air handlers shall demonstrate compliance with the airflow (cfm/ton) and fan efficacy (Watt/cfm) requirements of Section 160.0(b)5Liii using the sum of airflows and Watt/cfm of all air handlers.

**Exception 23 to Section 160.0(b)5Liii:** Gas furnace air-handling units manufactured prior to July 3, 2019 shall comply with a fan efficacy value less than or equal to 0.58 w/cfm as confirmed by field verification and diagnostic testing in accordance with the procedures given in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.3.

**M. Duct Design.** When plans are required to be submitted to the enforcement agency, they must include the following:

- i. a schematic duct layout diagram showing supply register locations, return grill locations, duct sizes of all ducts and plenums and target airflows at each register.
- ii. equipment specifications with design total system airflow and corresponding design total external static pressure for each air handler
- iii. supply register information, including register size, type, design static pressure drop, throw distance(s) and noise criteria
- iv. return grill information, including grilled size, type, design static pressure drop and noise criteria

- v. return filter information, including filter type, dimensions, thickness, static pressure drop at design airflow, and MERV rating.

**7. System selection.**

- A. The cooling and heating loads are two of the criteria that shall be used for equipment sizing and selection.
  - B. For each zone or system added or modified, the following must be provided: equipment type, design total airflow and corresponding total external static pressure drop for all air handlers, design heating capacity, design sensible and latent cooling capacities, winter heating loads, summer cooling loads, capacity to load sizing ratios, and efficiencies.
  - C. Heating-only systems shall be sized based on ACCA Manual S-2023, Table N2.5.
  - D. Heat pumps and cooling-only systems shall be sized based on ACCA Manual S-2023,
- 8. Defrost.** If a heat pump is equipped with a defrost delay timer, either the thermostat or heat pump manufacturer shall provide the installer with succinct and readily-accessible instructions for the proper configuration of the defrost delay timer setting. The delay timer must be set to greater than or equal to 90 minutes. The proper setting shall be verified by a HERS Rater according to the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA 3.4.TBD.
- Exception to 160.3(b)8. Dwelling units in Climate Zones 1, 6 through 10, 15, and 16 shall not be required to comply with the 90 minute delay timer requirements.
- 9. Capacity variation with third-party thermostats.** When third-party thermostats are used with variable or multi-speed systems, the space conditioning system and thermostat together shall be capable of—and configured to—deliver all functionality necessary to provide proper modulation. To accomplish this, either the thermostat or space conditioning equipment manufacturer shall provide the installer with succinct and readily-accessible instructions for the proper configuration of these controls.

## **SECTION 170.2 – PRESCRIPTIVE APPROACH**

**(c) Space-conditioning systems**

**3. Dwelling unit space-conditioning systems.**

[...]

- 1. **Space-conditioning and ventilation systems.** All space heating and space cooling equipment serving dwelling units shall comply with minimum Appliance Efficiency Regulations as specified in Sections 110.0 through 110.2 and meet all applicable requirements of Sections 160.3(b) and 170.2(c)2.
- i. Refrigerant charge – systems serving individual dwelling units. When refrigerant charge verification ~~or fault indicator display~~ is shown as required by Table 170.2-K, the system shall comply with either Section 170.2(c), 170.2(c)3Bia or 170.2(c)3Bib:

1. Air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted packaged systems, small duct high velocity systems, and mini-split systems, shall comply with subsections a, b and c, unless the system is of a type that cannot be verified using the specified procedures:

...

A.1.1.1.1 The installer shall charge the system according to manufacturer's specifications. Refrigerant charge shall be verified according to one of the following options, as applicable:

A.1.1.1.1.1 The installer and rater shall perform the standard charge procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.2, or an approved alternative procedure as specified by Section RA1; or

~~A.1.1.1.1.2 The system shall be equipped with a fault indicator display (FID) device that meets the specifications of Reference Joint Appendix JA6. The installer shall verify the refrigerant charge and FID device in accordance with the procedures in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.4.2. The HERS Rater shall verify FID device in accordance with the procedures in Section RA3.4.2; or~~

B. ~~C.~~ The installer shall perform the weigh-in charging procedure as specified by Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1 provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the Section RA3.2.2 standard charge verification procedure and Section RA3.3 airflow rate verification procedure or approved alternatives in Section RA1. The HERS Rater shall verify the charge using Sections RA3.2.2 and RA3.3 or approved alternatives in Section RA1.

[...]

b. ~~For~~ Air-cooled air conditioners and air-source heat pumps, including but not limited to ducted split systems, ducted packaged systems, small duct high velocity systems and mini-split systems, which are of a type that cannot ~~comply~~ be verified using the specified procedures, with the requirements of Section 170.2(c)3Bi shall comply with Subsections I and II, as applicable.

1. The installer shall confirm the refrigerant charge using the weigh-in charging procedure specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.1, as verified by a HERS Rater according to the procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix Section RA3.2.3.2; and
2. Systems that utilize forced air ducts shall comply with the minimum system airflow rate requirement in Section 170(c)3BiaII, provided the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedures in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative procedure in Section RA1.

**Exception 1 to Section 170.2(c)3Bi:** Packaged systems for which the manufacturer has verified correct system refrigerant charge prior to shipment from the factory are not required to have refrigerant charge confirmed through field verification and diagnostic testing. The installer of these packaged systems shall

certify on the Certificate of Installation that the packaged system was pre-charged at the factory and has not been altered in a way that would affect the charge. Ducted systems shall comply with minimum system airflow rate requirements in Section 170.2(c)3Bib, provided that the system is of a type that can be verified using the procedure specified in Section RA3.3 or an approved alternative in Section RA1.

**Exception 3 to Section 170.2(c)3Bi:** Systems certified by installer to be pre-charged with a line set length within 5' and a coil size within 10% of the manufacturer's defaults are not required to have refrigerant charge verification.

**Exception 4 to Section 170.2(c)3Bi:** Systems may use a method recommended by the manufacturer.

[...]

- v. Air conditioner and heat pump controls. All new air conditioners and heat pumps installed in Climate Zones 2 through 16 shall be controlled in accordance with either 170.2(c)3Bv i or 170.2(c)3Bv ii
  - i. Controlled by an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat compliant with Section 110.12(a) and the building complying with solar ready requirements of Section 110.10(b)1A without making use of Exception 5.
  - ii. Crankcase heating power limited. Installer has manufacturer-provided documentation of one of the following:
    - a. The system does not heat the crankcase by any means.
    - b. Crankcase heating power input is controlled with a thermostat that measures ambient temperature with a sensing element temperature that is not affected by the heater, where the Crankcase Heater turn-on temperature (as certified in the DOE Compliance Certification Database) is no higher than 71°F. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.
    - c. Crankcase heating power is controlled based on a differential temperature between crankcase and evaporator or condenser. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.
    - d. Crankcase heating uses self-regulating control or other controls for which the sensing element temperature is affected by the heater. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.

## SECTION 180.1 – Additions

(a) Prescriptive approach.

[...]

### 4. Load Calculations and System Capacity

Appendix A Load Calculations: When doing load calculations as required in 160.3(b), for additions:

1. Simplifying assumptions described in RA[TBD1] are allowed for new systems serving an addition with a conditioned floor area of 144 square feet or less. Simplifying assumptions may not be used if the space conditioning systems is a heat pump with supplementary electric resistance heating.
2. Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.

3. The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 180.1-TBD1 (the default ACH value of “Average” from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition). A disclosure to the occupant shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the dwelling unit was not measured and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.

**Exception to Section 180.1(a)4ic:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

**ii. System Capacity:**

- c) Minimum capacity limits are as described in 160.3(b).
- d) The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  - 3. In situations where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
  - 4. In situations where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 180.1-TBD2.

**Table 180.1-TBD1: Maximum Infiltration Air Changes Per Hour for Load Calculations**

		<u>Floor Area of Heated or Cooled Space ( sq ft):</u>					<u>Cfm for One Fireplace</u>
		<u>≤900</u>	<u>901-1500</u>	<u>1501-2000</u>	<u>2001-3000</u>	<u>≥3001</u>	
<u>Multifamily Dwelling Units</u>	<u>Heating</u>	<u>0.69</u>	<u>0.50</u>	<u>0.43</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>0.32</u>	<u>20</u>
	<u>Cooling</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>0.27</u>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>0.19</u>	<u>0.17</u>	<u>0</u>

The default ACH values for “Average” infiltration, from Tables 5A& 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition

**Table 180.1-TBD2: Maximum Heating and Cooling Capacity (HL = Design Heating Load; CL = Design Total Cooling Load)**

<u>System Type</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heating Only Systems</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u>		
		<u>&lt; 0</u>	<u>0 – 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>

<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<b><u>System Type</u></b>	<b><u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Cooling Only Systems</u></b>	<b><u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u></b>		
		<b><u>&lt; 0</u></b>	<b><u>0 – 12 kBtuh</u></b>	<b><u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u></b>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>

**(b) Performance approach.** Performance calculations shall meet the requirements of **Sections** 170.0 through 170.2(a), pursuant to the applicable in Items 1, 2, 3, and 4 below.

[...]

#### **4. Load Calculations and System Capacity**

**A. Load Calculations:** When doing load calculations as required in 160.3(b), for additions:

- i. Simplifying assumptions described in RA[TBD1] are allowed for new systems serving an addition with a conditioned floor area of 144 square feet or less. Simplifying assumptions may not be used if the space conditioning systems is a heat pump with supplementary electric resistance heating.
- ii. Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.
- iii. The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 180.1-TBD1 (the default ACH value of “Average” from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition). A disclosure to the homeowner shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the home was not measured and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.

**Exception to Section 150.2(a)1Eic:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

**B. System Capacity:**

- a. Minimum capacity limits are as described in 160.3(b).
- b. The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  - a. In situations where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.

- b. In situations where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 180.1-TBD2.

## Section 180.2 - Alterations

### (b) Prescriptive approach.

#### 2. Space-conditioning systems.

A Space conditioning system serving dwelling units.

##### vi. Load Calculations and System Capacity

- a. **Load Calculations:** When doing load calculations as required in 160.3(b), for alterations:
  - a. Simplifying assumptions described in RA[TBD1] are allowed for system replacements where the new equipment is the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the replaced equipment. Simplifying assumptions may not be used if the space conditioning systems is a heat pump with supplementary electric resistance heating.
  - b. Block loads (the total load for all rooms combined that are served by the central equipment) may be used for the purpose of system sizing.
  - c. The envelope leakage specified in the load calculation shall be no greater than the values shown in Table 180.1-TBD1 (the default ACH value of "Average" from Tables 5A and 5B of ACCA Manual J, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition).. A disclosure to the homeowner shall be provided that states that infiltration has a large impact on the load calculations, the infiltration for the home was not measures and subsequently, the equipment sizing may not be optimum, and additional infiltration reduction measures would improve comfort.

**Exception to Section 180.2(b)2Avi:** If leakage is established through field verification and diagnostic testing following procedures specified in Reference Residential Appendix RA3.8, the tested envelope leakage value may be used in the load calculations and no disclosure is required.

Note: Load calculations are required, even in the situation where the new equipment is the same type and is expected to be the same or lower capacity as the replaced system.

##### **b. System Capacity:**

- a. Minimum capacity limits are as described in 160.3(b).
- b. The maximum capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  - a. Where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, there is no maximum capacity limit.
  - b. Where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton, the system capacities shall be no larger than indicated in Table 180.1-TBD2.

## 8.3 Reference Appendices

### ~~JOINT APPENDIX 6.1 FAULT INDICATOR DISPLAY (FID)~~

(deleted in its entirety)

### JOINT APPENDIX 2.2 CALIFORNIA DESIGN LOCATION DATA

Table 2-3 – Design Day Data for California Cities

[updated with data from <http://ashrae-meteo.info/v2.0/places.php?continent=North%20America> for 2021].

### RESIDENTIAL APPENDIX 3.2.3 WEIGH-IN CHARGING PROCEDURE

This section specifies the weigh-in charging procedure in which the weight of the required refrigerant charge is determined by using the manufacturer's specifications for a standard refrigerant charge weight and taking into account adjustment factors such as deviations in refrigerant line length and diameter. The calculated weight of refrigerant is then installed using a refrigerant scale. RA3.2.3 provides two procedures: Section RA3.2.3.1 shall be used by the HVAC installer when the weigh-in procedure is required by the Standards for compliance. Section RA3.2.3.2 shall be used by the HERS Rater when the Standards specify use of the procedure for compliance, or specify it as an optional procedure for compliance. The weigh-in charging procedure is an acceptable method for demonstrating compliance at any outdoor temperature, however if the weigh-in charging procedure is used, HERS verification of compliance cannot use group sampling.

HVAC installers shall use the weigh-in charging procedure in accordance with the space conditioning system manufacturer's specifications.

Both the HVAC installer and the HERS Rater shall test the system airflow as specified by Standards Sections 150.1(c)7Aib and 150.2(b)1Fiia as applicable.

#### RA3.2.3.1 HVAC Installer - Weigh-In Charging Procedure

Split system air conditioners **and heat pumps** are shipped from the factory charged with a standard amount of refrigerant as indicated on the nameplate. The manufacturer-supplied refrigerant charge is expected to be the correct amount for the system based on a standard liquid line length and diameter. It is the responsibility of the HVAC installer to ensure that the charge is correct for each air conditioner and to adjust the charge based on liquid line dimensions that deviate from the manufacturer's standard line specification.

##### RA3.2.3.1.1 Procedure Options

There shall be two options for compliance using the weigh-in charging procedure:

###### RA3.2.3.1.1.1 Weigh-in Charge Adjustment

This option is applicable to a new system or existing system when a new outdoor unit is installed (with factory charge in outdoor unit). The HVAC installer shall weigh in lineset and indoor coil charge adjustment after evacuation of lineset and indoor coil. The documentation shall include the calculated charge adjustment for the lineset.

#### **RA3.2.3.1.1.2 Weigh-in Total Charge**

This option is applicable to all systems. The installer shall weigh in the total system charge after refrigerant recovery and evacuation of the entire system. The total system charge includes the nameplate charge for the outdoor unit and any adjustment for the lineset dimensions and indoor coil in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The documentation shall include the nameplate charge and the calculated lineset adjustment.

#### **RA3.2.3.1.2 Minimum Qualifications for this Procedure**

Persons who use this procedure to demonstrate compliance with Title 24, Part 6 shall be qualified to perform the following:

- (a) Calculate the correct system charge based on the Manufacturer's standard charge and adjustments to the standard charge based on lineset dimensions and indoor coil.
- (b) Obtain accurate refrigerant charge weight.

#### **RA3.2.3.1.3 Instrumentation Specifications**

[...]

#### **RA3.2.3.1.4 Calibration**

[...]

#### **RA3.2.3.1.5 Weigh-in Procedure**

The weigh-in procedure shall be performed in accordance with all manufacturer specifications to document and confirm:

- (a) Liquid line filter drier has been installed if required per outdoor condensing unit manufacturer's instructions, and installed with the proper orientation with respect to refrigerant flow, if applicable.
- (b) If refrigerant line connections require welding, the system is brazed with dry nitrogen in the lines and indoor coil.
- (c) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with vacuum, the system is evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rises no more than 300 microns over five minutes.
- (d) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with nitrogen gas, the system is pressurized to the manufacturer's specified pressure and if the pressure cannot be maintained, leaks shall be located and fixed.
- (e) The calculated weight adjustment for lineset length is based on the length and diameter of the lineset.
- (f) The calculated weight adjustment for coil size is based on manufacturer instructions.

- (g) The actual total weight adjustment is equal to the sum of the calculated weight adjustments for lineset and coil size.
- (h) The calculated and actual total weights of refrigerant in the system are recorded on or near the nameplate label, in indelible ink or other permanent means.
- ~~(i) The lineset correction is calculated based on the length and diameter of the lineset.~~
- ~~(j) The indoor coil correction to refrigerant weight is used if it is supplied by the manufacturer.~~
- ~~(k) The amount of charge calculated for the lineset correction (and indoor coil correction if available) is added or removed, or the total charge based on the lineset, indoor coil, and standard label charge is installed.~~

The HVAC Installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the manufacturer's specifications for these procedures have been met. This shall be verified either through on-site observation using procedures in RA 3.2.3.2 or remote verification using procedures in RA 3.2.3.3.

### **RA3.2.3.2 HERS Rater – Observation of Weigh-In Charging Procedure**

When the Standards indicate this procedure is required, or is an option for compliance, the HERS Rater shall coordinate with the HVAC Installer to observe the weigh-in charging procedure.

HERS Rater shall observe and confirm:

- (a) Either 1) or 2) below:
  - 1. Observe and confirm Vacuum and Pressurization tests:
    - Appendix A In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with vacuum, The system is evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rises no more than 300 microns over five minutes.
    - Appendix B In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with nitrogen gas, the system was pressurized to the manufacturer's specified pressure and if the pressure could not be maintained, leaks were located and fixed.
  - 2. No fittings (other than the fitting to the compressor) are compression or flare fittings.
- (b) The calculated weight adjustment for lineset length was based on the length and diameter of the lineset.
- (c) The calculated weight adjustment for coil size was based on manufacturer instructions.
- (d) The actual charge adjustment was equal to the sum of the calculated weight adjustments for lineset and coil size.
- (e) The calculated and actual total weights of refrigerant in the system were recorded on or near the nameplate label, in indelible ink or other permanent means.
- ~~(b) The lineset correction is calculated based on the length and diameter of the lineset, including the liquid line filter drier if required per outdoor condensing unit manufacturer instructions.~~
- ~~(c) The indoor coil correction to refrigerant weight is used if it is supplied by the manufacturer.~~
- ~~(d) The installer adds or removes the amount of charge calculated for the lineset correction or installs the total charge based on lineset, indoor coil, and standard label charge.~~

### **RA3.2.3.3 HERS Rater – Remote Verification of Weigh-In Charging Procedure**

When the Standards indicate weigh-in verification is required, or is an option for compliance, the HVAC Installer shall collect and the HERS Rater shall coordinate with the installer to receive documentation of the weigh-in procedure. All documentation shall be transmitted to the HERS Rater, who shall review the documents and confirm that the adjustments and tests conform with the requirements of RA3.2.3.1.5.

This documentation shall consist of the following:

- (a) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with vacuum, documentation that the system was evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rose no more than 300 microns over five minutes (for example, documented using vacuum gauge photographs, or electronic instrument records).
- (b) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with nitrogen gas, documentation that the system was pressurized to the manufacturer's specified pressure and pressure was maintained (for example, documented using vacuum gauge photographs, or electronic instrument records).
- (c) Documentation of the following weights and other dimensions:
  1. Documentation of installed unit (for example, documented using photograph of nameplate or spec sheets):
    1. Refrigerant weight in delivered unit (includes weight needed for default lineset and coil)
    2. Default lineset length
    3. Default coil size
  2. Documentation of actual installation (for example, documented using sketch of floorplan with lineset locations and lengths, and manufacturer's specs):
    - i. Actual lineset length (specifying North/South segments, East/West segments, Up/Down segments)
    - ii. Actual coil size
  3. Documentation of calculated target weight adjustments:
    - i. Calculated weight adjustment for lineset length
    - ii. Calculated weight adjustment for coil size
  4. Total adjustments and final weights:
    - i. Calculated total weight adjustment
    - ii. Actual total weight adjustment, (for example, time-stamped photographs of scale before and after charging or electronic instrument records—confirmed to be equal to the calculated total weight adjustment).
    - iii. Calculated total weight of refrigerant in system
    - iv. Actual total weight of refrigerant in system.
- (d) Evidence that the target amount of charge and the total amount of charge that the system contained after adjustments were recorded on or near the nameplate label, in indelible ink or other permanent means (for example, documented with a photograph of the nameplate).

#### **RA3.4 Field Verification of Installed HVAC System Components and Devices**

- ~~○ RA3.4.2 Fault Indicator Display (FID) Verification Procedure~~
  - ~~▪ RA3.4.2.1 Verification of installation of a FID with "self diagnostic reporting" functionality when outdoor air temperature is less than 55F~~

- RA3.4.2.2 Verification of Installation of a FID that does not have "self diagnostic reporting" functionality when outdoor air temperature is less than 55F
- RA3.4.2.3 Verification of Installation of a FID when the outdoor air temperature is equal to or greater than 55F

## RESIDENTIAL APPENDIX [TBD1] SIMPLIFYING LOAD CALCULATION INPUT ASSUMPTIONS

Under circumstances described in 150.2(a)1E and 2D, and (b)1O and 2D, the following simplified load calculation input assumptions may be made.

	<u>Sub-category</u>	<u>Simplification*</u>	<u>Notes</u>		<u>Sub-category</u>	<u>Simplification*</u>	<u>Notes</u>
<u>General</u>	<u>floor area</u>	<u>actual ± 5%</u>	<u>can simplify perimeter footprint</u>		<u>#bedrooms</u>	<u>actual</u>	
	<u>block load</u>	<u>"Yes"</u>	<u>room by room not required</u>		<u>ventilation</u>	<u>Equation 150.0-B "Exhaust Only"</u>	
	<u>design temps.</u>	<u>code required</u>			<u>occupants</u>	<u># of bedrooms + 1</u>	
	<u>infiltration</u>	<u>"Average"</u>					
<u>Windows</u>	<u>area</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>combined into one per direction</u>		<u># panes</u>	<u>actual</u>	
	<u>orientation</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>round to nearest 45 deg</u>		<u>frame type</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>use predominant, if multiple</u>
	<u>tilt</u>	<u>"Vertical" for windows</u>			<u>exterior shade</u>	<u>ignore</u>	
	<u>overhangs</u>	<u>ignore unless &gt; 1:1; on S/SW side</u>	<u>model if extension is greater than height above window</u>		<u>storm</u>	<u>ignore</u>	
	<u>U factor</u>	<u>default table</u>	<u>table 110.6-A</u>		<u>impact</u>	<u>ignore</u>	
	<u>SHGC</u>	<u>default table</u>	<u>table 110.6-B</u>		<u>structural</u>	<u>ignore</u>	
	<u>interior shade</u>	<u>"Closed Drapes"</u>			<u>skylight curb</u>	<u>ignore</u>	
	<u>tint</u>	<u>"Clear"</u>					
<u>Doors</u>	<u>area</u>	<u>actual</u>			<u>U factor</u>	<u>"Solid Wood"</u>	
<u>Floors</u>	<u>area/ slab</u>	<u>actual ± 10%</u>			<u>framing fraction</u>	<u>"15%"</u>	

	<u>type</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>raised, slab, etc.</u>	<u>crawlspace wall R</u>	<u>vintage table</u>	<u>Table R3-50**</u>
	<u>covering</u>	<u>"100% Carpet"</u>		<u>crawlspace vented?</u>	<u>"Yes"</u>	
	<u>R-value</u>	<u>vintage table</u>	<u>Table R3-50**</u>	<u>crawlspace cond'd?</u>	<u>"No"</u>	
<u>Walls</u>	<u>area</u>	<u>actual ± 10%</u>	<u>avg height for vaulted ceilings</u>	<u>sheathing R value</u>	<u>vintage table</u>	<u>Table R3-50**</u>
	<u>type</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>wood frame, brick, etc.</u>	<u>framing factor</u>	<u>"15%"</u>	
	<u>cavity R value</u>	<u>vintage table</u>	<u>Table R3-50**</u>			
<u>Ceiling</u>	<u>area</u>	<u>actual ± 10%</u>		<u>R value</u>	<u>vintage</u>	<u>Table R3-50**</u>
	<u>type</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>below attic, cathedral, etc.</u>	<u>framing factor</u>	<u>"15%"</u>	
	<u>insulation type</u>	<u>"Fiberglass Batt"</u>		<u>truss type</u>	<u>"Wood"</u>	
<u>Roof</u>	<u>type</u>	<u>"Tile"</u>		<u>framing fraction</u>	<u>"15%"</u>	
	<u>attic?</u>	<u>"Yes"</u>		<u>radiant barrier?</u>	<u>"No"</u>	
	<u>color</u>	<u>"Dark"</u>		<u>vented</u>	<u>"Yes"</u>	
	<u>config.</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>attic, cathedral, etc.</u>	<u>tile</u>	<u>4:12</u>	
	<u>deck insulation</u>	<u>"No"</u>		<u>cool roof</u>	<u>"No"</u>	
	<u>absorption</u>	<u>use default</u>				
<u>Ducts</u>	<u>R value</u>	<u>vintage table</u>	<u>Table R3-50**</u>	<u>leakage</u>	<u>10% total</u>	
	<u>location</u>	<u>actual</u>	<u>attic crawlspace etc.</u>			

\* Other inputs may be used in adequately documented.

\*\*See 2016 Residential Compliance Manual Appendix B or 2016 Residential ACM Manual

## **RESIDENTIAL APPENDIX 3.4.TBD VERIFICATION OF SPACE CONDITIONING SYSTEM CONTROLS CONFIGURATION.**

(a) **Manufacturer's Instructions.** The following sections specify that when controls are required and present, either a space conditioning system or thermostat manufacturer shall provide the installer with succinct and readily-accessible instructions for proper configuration:

- Section 150.0(h)7 requires instructions to configure a heat pump defrost timer
- Section 150.0(h)8 requires instructions for configuring lock-out controls for heat pump supplementary heating.
- Section 150.0(h)9 requires instructions for configuring controls for variable or multi-speed systems installed with third-party thermostats

In any of these cases, the instructions shall be provided as either a paper document, separate from the overall Installation or User's Manual, or a link to electronic information on a website or other application.

The information shall specifically identify all switches, jumpers, dials, or electronic settings that are needed to set up the controls to achieve the requirements of Title 24, Part 6, and shall specify their required settings.

(b) **Defrost Delay Timer.** Section 150.0(h)7 requires that, when present, a heat pump's defrost delay timer be set at no less than 90 minutes.

- i. Confirm that the installer has received the manufacturer's instructions for configuring defrost delay timer, and obtain these instructions.
- ii. Identify where defrost delay timer is set: this could be a dip switch or jumper, typically configured on the outdoor unit.
- iii. Identify which setting would result in a defrost delay of no less than 90 minutes.
- iv. Inspect the defrost delay timer configuration and confirm that it is at the setting that would result in a defrost delay of no less than 90 minutes.

(c) **Supplementary Heating Control.** Section 150.0(h)8 requires that, when present, supplementary heaters (including electric resistance strip heaters and furnace backup) shall have controls that use either an outdoor air temperature sensor or an internet weather service to lock out supplementary heating above an outdoor air temperature of no greater than 35°F. If at time of testing the ambient temperature is 35°F or higher, conduct functional test in item (i), otherwise conduct construction inspection in item (ii).

i. **Functional Test**

1. Measure and Record outdoor temperature. Conduct test if outdoor temperature is greater than 35°F
2. Measure and record indoor air temperature
3. Record indoor air temperature displayed by thermostat (if available)
4. Set to thermostat to heating mode
5. Set heating setpoint temperature to 10 degrees above indoor temperature displayed by thermostat, if thermostat does not display indoor temperature, set thermostat setting to 10 degrees above measured indoor temperature.
6. Record whether thermostat indicates supplementary heating is engaged.
7. Measure amps of current to supplementary heating . Either by directly measuring current to supplementary heating elements or by measuring total current to indoor unit and subtract measured power to indoor unit with heating and cooling off but fan operating.

8. If supplementary heating is on during step 7, the test has failed. Reconfigure HVAC system control settings for supplementary heat lock out at 35°F or less and retest until the system passes.
- ii. **Construction inspection**
1. Confirm that the installer has received the manufacturer's instructions for configuring the supplementary heating lock-out control, and obtain these instructions.
  2. Identify where the supplementary heating lock-out is set: this could be on the thermostat, or a dip switch or jumper, configured on the indoor or outdoor unit's control board.
  3. Inspect the thermostat programming or heat pump setting and confirm that it is configured to lockout supplementary heating above a temperature no greater than 35°F.
  4. Measure and record outdoor temperature.
  5. If thermostat or other control displays outdoor temperature as measured locally, confirm that displayed temperature is within 3°F of the measured temperature.
  6. If thermostat uses internet weather service for ambient temperature, have thermostat display internet weather temperature and compare to internet temperature accessed by other means. Confirm displayed temperature is within 3°F of the internet temperature accessed by other means.

## 8.4 ACM Reference Manual

### **Single Family Residential Alternative Calculation Method Reference Manual**

#### **2.4 Building Mechanical Systems**

##### **2.4.1 Heating Subsystems**

The heating subsystem describes the equipment that supplies heat to a space-conditioning system. Heating subsystems are categorized according to the types shown in Table 7: HVAC Heating Equipment Types and Table 8: Heat Pump Equipment Types. A conversion factor is used to convert heating seasonal performance factor (HSPF) to HSPF2 ratings for modeling. For split-system, small-duct high-velocity, and space-constrained equipment, the conversion factor is 0.85 to convert HSPF to HSPF2. For single-package equipment, the conversion factor is 0.84 to convert HSPF to HSPF2.

Furnace capacity is determined by the software as 200 percent of the heating load at the heating design temperature. Heat pump compressor size is determined by the software as the larger of the compressor size calculated for 110 percent of the cooling load, or the compressor with a 47°F rating that is 110 percent of the heating load (at the heating design temperature). If the maximum heat pump heating capacity is insufficient to meet the load during any timestep in the simulation, the unmet portion of the load would be met by backup heating. The exception is that backup heat is disabled when the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F. Backup heat is provided by electric resistance in the Standard Design. In the Proposed Design backup heat is provided by

electric resistance except in the case of dual fuel heat pumps where backup heat is provided by gas.

Heat pump crankcase heater (CCH) capacity is calculated as 33 Watts for systems with a capacity under 3 tons and 11 Watts per ton for systems with a capacity 3 tons or larger.

## **PROPOSED DESIGN**

The user selects the type and supplies required inputs for the heating subsystem, including the appropriately rated heating efficiency. ~~Except for heat pumps, t~~the rated heating capacity is not used as a compliance variable by the compliance software.

~~When the proposed space-conditioning system is a heat pump, the user specifies the rated heating capacity at 47°F and 17°F for the heat pump compressor. The capacity is used to determine the effect of backup electric resistance heat in the simulation. The specified capacities are listed on the CF1R for verification by a HERS Rater.~~

CCH energy depends on option selected:

- OCST and Disclosure: Model OCST and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided
- No OCST and No Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.
- No OCST and Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided.

## **STANDARD DESIGN**

The standard design heating subsystem is a heat pump if the proposed water heating system is gas-fired in climate zones 3, 4, 13, and 14. Otherwise, the heating system is a gas-heating system.

When the standard design is a heat pump, the equipment used in the standard design building is an electric split-system heat pump with default ducts in the attic and a heating seasonal performance factor (HSPF/HSPF2) meeting the current *Appliance Efficiency Regulations* minimum efficiency for heat pumps. ~~The standard design heat-pump compressor size is determined by the software as the larger of the compressor size calculated for air-conditioning load, or the compressor with a 47°F rating that is 75 percent of the heating load (at the heating design temperature).~~

CCH energy is calculated as follows: OCST and No Disclosure: Model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.

When the standard design is a gas heating system, the equipment used in the standard design building is a gas furnace (or propane if natural gas is not available) with default

ducts in the attic and an annual fuel utilization efficiency (AFUE) meeting the *Appliance Efficiency Regulations* minimum efficiency for central systems.

See Table 6: Standard Design Heating System for complete details on heating systems noted above.

**Table 6: Standard Design Heating System**

Proposed Design	Standard Design
Climate Zones 1–2, 5–12, and 15–16	80 percent AFUE central furnace, default duct
Climate Zones 3–4, and 13–14 (if the water heating system is not a gas-fired system)	80 percent AFUE central furnace, default duct
Climate Zones 3–4, and 13–14 (if the water heating system is a gas-fired system)	8.2 HSPF or 7.5 HSPF2 central heat pump, <del>auto-size capacity</del> , default duct

Source: California Energy Commission

### VERIFICATION AND REPORTING

The proposed heating system type and rated efficiency are reported in the compliance documentation on the CF1R. ~~For heat pumps, which are supplemented by electric resistance backup heating, the HERS-verified rated heating capacity of each proposed heat pump is reported on the CF1R. Installed capacities must be equal to or larger than the capacities reported for modeled at 47° and 17° (RA 3.4.4.2). Measures requiring verification (Table 10: Summary of Space Conditioning Measures Requiring Verification) are listed in the HERS verification section of the CF1R.~~

[...]

### Variable-Capacity Heat Pump

[...]

If VCHP maximum heating capacity is insufficient to meet the load, it is assumed that the unmet portion of the load would be met by electric resistance heat. Defrost occurs between 35 F and 17 F outdoor temperature with electric resistance auxiliary heat assumed to compensate for heat lost during the defrost cycle. ~~The crankcase heater is assumed to operate at 40 W whenever the temperature is below 50 F.~~

[...]

### 2.4.6 Cooling Subsystems

The cooling subsystem describes the equipment that supplies cooling to a space-conditioning system.

Air conditioner compressor size is determined by the software as 110 percent of the cooling load. Air conditioner crankcase heater (CCH) capacity is calculated as 30 Watts for systems with a capacity under 3 tons and 10 Watts per ton for systems with a capacity 3 tons or larger.

## **PROPOSED DESIGN**

Cooling subsystems are categorized according to the types shown in Table 9: HVAC Cooling Equipment Types (Other Than Heat Pumps). The user selects the type of cooling equipment and enters basic information to model the energy use of the equipment. Enter the cooling equipment type and additional information based on the equipment type and zoning, such as the SEER/SEER2 and EER/EER2. A conversion factor is used to convert EER to EER2 ratings for modeling. For all air conditioners the conversion factor is 0.96 to convert EER to EER2. A conversion factor is used to convert SEER to SEER2 ratings for modeling. For split-system equipment, the conversion factor is 0.95; for single-package equipment, the conversion factor is 0.96; for small-duct high-velocity equipment the conversion factor is 1.00; and for space-constrained equipment the conversion factor is 0.99 to convert SEER to SEER2. For some types of equipment, the user may also specify if the equipment has a multispeed compressor and if the system is zoned or not via checkboxes. For ducted cooling systems, the cooling airflow from the conditioned zone through the cooling coil is input as CFM per ton. The rated cooling capacity is not a compliance variable.

CCH energy depends on option selected:

- OCST and Disclosure: Model OCST and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided
- No OCST and No Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.
- No OCST and Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided.

See sections below for the details of specific inputs.

## **STANDARD DESIGN**

The cooling system for the standard design building is a nonzonal control system, split-system ducted cooling system meeting the minimum requirements of the *Appliance Efficiency Regulations*. ~~The standard design system shall assume verified refrigerant charge in Climate Zones 2 and 8–15 for all systems.~~ Mandatory fan efficacy is assumed in all climate zones.

CCH energy is calculated as follows: OCST and No Disclosure: Model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.

[...]

## **2.4.7 Space Conditioning Verification Measures**

Table 10: Summary of Space Conditioning Measures Requiring Verification lists the HERS verification measures applicable to space conditioning systems.

### **Verified Refrigerant Charge ~~or Fault Indicator Display~~**

Proper refrigerant charge is necessary for electrically driven compressor air-conditioning and heating systems to operate at full capacity and efficiency. For cooling, software calculations set the cooling compressor efficiency multiplier to 0.90 to account for the effect of improper refrigerant charge or 0.96 for proper charge. For heating, software calculations set the heating compressor efficiency multiplier to 0.92 to account for the effect of improper refrigerant charge or 0.96 for proper charge.

### **PROPOSED DESIGN**

The software allows the user to indicate if systems would have diagnostically tested refrigerant charge ~~or a field-verified fault indicator display (FID)~~. This allowance applies only to ducted split-systems and packaged air-conditioners and heat pumps. ~~Refrigerant charge verification is required by Section 150.1(c) and Table 150.1-A for the proposed cooling system type~~

### **STANDARD DESIGN**

The standard design building is modeled with ~~either~~ diagnostically tested refrigerant charge in Climate Zones 2 and 8–15 or a field-verified FID for air conditioners in all homes and heat pumps in homes with 500 square feet of conditioned floor area or less. if the building is in Climate Zone 2 or 8–15 For heat pumps in all other homes diagnostically tested refrigerant charge is modeled in Climate Zones 1-5 and 8-16.

### **VERIFICATION AND REPORTING**

Refrigerant charge ~~or FID~~ requires s field verification or diagnostic testing and is reported in the HERS-required verification listings on the CF1R. Details on refrigerant charge measurement are discussed in *Reference Residential Appendix RA3.2*. ~~Information on the requirements for FIDs is in Reference Joint Appendix JA6.1.~~

## **Appendix G – Algorithms**

### **1.15 HVAC Equipment Models**

#### *1.15.1 Compression Air-Conditioner Model*

[...]

**Primary model parameters.** The following values characterize the AC and are constant for a given unit:

[...]

Fchg = Refrigerant charge factor, default = 0.9. For systems with ~~a verified charge indicator light (Reference Residential Appendix RA3.4)~~ or verified refrigerant charge (Reference Residential Appendix RA3), the factor shall be 0.96.

### 1.15.2 Air-Source Heat Pump Model (Heating mode)

**Primary model parameters.** The following values characterize the ASHP and are constant for a given unit:

[...]

Fchgheat = Heating refrigerant charge factor, default = 0.92. For systems with verified refrigerant charge (Reference Residential Appendix RA3), the factor shall be 0.96.

**Estimation of unavailable model parameters.**

$COP_{47} = (0.3038073 \times HSPF - 1.984475 \times Cap_{17}/Cap_{47} + 2.360116) \times Fchgheat$

$COP_{17} = (0.2359355 \times HSPF + 1.205568 \times Cap_{17}/Cap_{47} - 0.1660746) \times Fchgheat$

## **Nonresidential and Multifamily Alternative Calculation Method Reference Manual**

### **6.8 Building Mechanical Systems**

#### **6.8.1 Heating Subsystems**

The heating subsystem describes the equipment that supplies heat to a space-conditioning system. Heating subsystems are categorized according to the types shown in Table 23: HVAC Heating Equipment Types and Table 24: Heat Pump Equipment Types.

Furnace capacity is determined by the software as 200 percent of the heating load at the heating design temperature. Heat pump compressor size is determined by the software as the larger of the compressor size calculated for 110 percent of the cooling load, or the compressor with a 47°F rating that is 110 percent of the heating load (at the heating design temperature). If the maximum heat pump heating capacity is insufficient to meet the load during any timestep in the simulation, the unmet portion of the load would be met by backup heating. The exception is that backup heat is disabled when the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F. Backup heat is provided by electric resistance in the Standard Design. In the Proposed Design backup heat is provided by electric resistance except in the case of dual fuel heat pumps where backup heat is provided by gas.

Heat pump crankcase heater (CCH) capacity is calculated as 33 Watts for systems with a capacity under 3 tons and 11 Watts per ton for systems with a capacity 3 tons or larger.

## **PROPOSED DESIGN**

The user selects the type and supplies required inputs from those listed in Tables 19 for the heating subsystem, including the appropriately rated heating efficiency. ~~Except for heat pumps, t~~he rated heating capacity is not used as a compliance variable by the compliance software.

~~When the proposed space-conditioning system is an air-source heat pump, the user specifies the rated heating capacity at 47°F and 17°F for the heat-pump compressor. The capacity is used to determine the effect of backup electric resistance heat in the simulation. The specified capacities are listed on the LMCC or NRCC for verification by HERS rater.~~

CCH energy depends on option selected:

- OCST and Disclosure: Model OCST and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided
- No OCST and No Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.
- No OCST and Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided.

## **STANDARD DESIGN**

For dwelling unit space conditioning systems, the standard design heating subsystem is dependent on climate zone and number of habitable stories. For multifamily buildings with three habitable stories or less in Climate Zones 1-15 the space conditioning system is a heat pump. For multifamily buildings with three habitable stories or less in Climate Zone 16 the space conditioning system is an air conditioner with furnace. For multifamily buildings with four or more habitable stories in Climate Zones 2-15 the space conditioning system is a heat pump. For multifamily buildings with four or more habitable stories in Climate Zones 1 and 16 the space conditioning system is a dual fuel heat pump.

When the standard design is a heat pump, the equipment used in the standard design building is an electric split-system heat pump ~~with default ducts in the attic~~ and a heating seasonal performance factor (HSPF/HSPF2) meeting the current *Appliance Efficiency Regulations* minimum efficiency for heat pumps. ~~The standard design heat-pump compressor size is determined by the compliance software as the larger of the compressor size calculated for air-conditioning load, or the compressor with a 47°F rating that is 75 percent of the heating load (at the heating design temperature).~~

CCH energy is calculated as follows: OCST and No Disclosure: Model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.

When the standard design is a gas heating system, the equipment used in the standard design building is a gas furnace (or propane if natural gas is not available) ~~with default ducts in the attic~~ and an annual fuel utilization efficiency (AFUE) meeting the *Appliance Efficiency Regulations* minimum efficiency for central systems.

See Table 23: HVAC Heating Equipment Types and Table 24: Heat Pump Equipment Types for complete details on heating systems noted above.

## **VERIFICATION AND REPORTING**

The proposed heating system type and rated efficiency are reported in the compliance documentation on the LMCC or NRCC. ~~For heat pumps, which are supplemented by electric resistance backup heating, the HERS-verified rated heating capacity of each proposed heat pump is reported on the LMCC or NRCC. Installed capacities must be equal to or larger than the capacities reported for modeled at 47° and 17° (RA 3.4.4.2).~~

[...]

### **Variable Capacity Heat Pumps**

[...]

If VCHP maximum heating capacity is insufficient to meet the load, it is assumed that the unmet portion of the load would be met by electric resistance heat. Defrost occurs between 35 F and 17 F outdoor temperature with electric resistance auxiliary heat assumed to compensate for heat lost during the defrost cycle. ~~The crankcase heater serving multifamily zones is assumed to operate at 40 W whenever the temperature is below 50 F.~~

[...]

### **6.8.2 Cooling Subsystems**

The cooling subsystem describes the equipment that supplies cooling to a space-conditioning system.

Air conditioner compressor size is determined by the software as 110 percent of the cooling load. Air conditioner crankcase heater (CCH) capacity is calculated as 30 Watts for systems with a capacity under 3 tons and 10 Watts per ton for systems with a capacity 3 tons or larger.

## **PROPOSED DESIGN**

Cooling subsystems are categorized according to the types shown in Table 25: HVAC Cooling Equipment Types (Other Than Heat Pumps). The user selects the type of cooling equipment and enters basic information to model the energy use of the

equipment. Enter the cooling equipment type and additional information based on the equipment type and zoning, such as the SEER/SEER2 and EER/EER2. For some types of equipment, the user may also specify if the equipment has a multispeed compressor and if the system is zoned or not via checkboxes. For ducted cooling systems, the cooling airflow from the conditioned zone through the cooling coil is input as CFM per ton. The rated cooling capacity is not a compliance variable.

~~Until there is an approved compliance option for ductless heat pumps (ducted and ductless mini-split, and multi-split), these systems are simulated as a minimum efficiency split-system equivalent to the standard design with default duct conditions.~~

CCH energy depends on option selected:

- OCST and Disclosure: Model OCST and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided
- No OCST and No Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.
- No OCST and Disclosure: Don't model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off and other information provided.

See chapters below for the details of specific inputs.

## **STANDARD DESIGN**

The cooling system for the standard design building is a nonzonal control system, split-system ducted cooling system, meeting the minimum requirements of the *Appliance Efficiency Regulations*. ~~The standard design system shall assume verified refrigerant charge in Climate Zones 2 and 8–15 for all systems.~~ Mandatory fan efficacy is assumed in all climate zones. For equipment not subjected to EER rating, the standard is 11.7 EER.

CCH energy is calculated as follows: OCST and No Disclosure: Model OCST, and calculate CCH Energy use based on Pw,off assuming ON whenever compressor off.

[...]

### **6.8.3 Space Conditioning Verification Measures**

Table 26: Summary of Space Conditioning Measures Requiring Verification lists the HERS verification measures applicable to space conditioning systems.

#### **Verified Refrigerant Charge ~~or Fault Indicator Display~~**

Proper refrigerant charge is necessary for electrically driven compressor air-conditioning and heating systems to operate at full capacity and efficiency. For cooling, compliance software calculations set the cooling compressor efficiency multiplier to 0.90 to account for the effect of improper refrigerant charge or 0.96 for proper charge. For heating,

software calculations set the heating compressor efficiency multiplier to 0.92 to account for the effect of improper refrigerant charge or 0.96 for proper charge.

## **PROPOSED DESIGN**

The software allows the user to indicate if systems would have diagnostically tested refrigerant charge ~~or a field-verified fault indicator display (FID)~~. This allowance applies only to ducted split-systems and packaged air-conditioners and heat pumps.

## **STANDARD DESIGN**

The standard design building is modeled with ~~either~~ diagnostically tested refrigerant charge in Climate Zones 2 and 8–15 ~~or a field-verified FID if the building is in Climate Zone 2 or 8–15,~~ and refrigerant charge verification is required by §170.2(c)3B and Table 170.2-K for the proposed cooling system type.

## **VERIFICATION AND REPORTING**

Refrigerant charge ~~or FID~~ requires s field verification or diagnostic testing and is are reported in the HERS required verification listings on the LMCC or NRCC. Details on refrigerant charge measurement are discussed in *Reference Residential Appendix RA3.2*. ~~Information on the requirements for FIDs is in Reference Joint Appendix JA6.1.~~

## **Appendix G – Algorithms**

### **1.15 HVAC Equipment Models**

#### *1.15.1 Compression Air-Conditioner Model*

[...]

**Primary model parameters.** The following values characterize the AC and are constant for a given unit:

[...]

Fchg = Refrigerant charge factor, default = 0.9. For systems with ~~a verified charge indicator light (Reference Residential Appendix RA3.4)~~ or verified refrigerant charge (Reference Residential Appendix RA3), the factor shall be 0.96.

#### *1.15.2 Air-Source Heat Pump Model (Heating mode)*

**Primary model parameters.** The following values characterize the ASHP and are constant for a given unit:

[...]

*Fchgheat = Heating refrigerant charge factor, default = 0.92. For systems with verified refrigerant charge (Reference Residential Appendix RA3), the factor shall be 0.96.*

### **Estimation of unavailable model parameters.**

$$COP47 = (0.3038073 \times HSPF - 1.984475 \times Cap17/Cap47 + 2.360116) \times Fchgheat$$

$$COP17 = (0.2359355 \times HSPF + 1.205568 \times Cap17/Cap47 - 0.1660746) \times Fchgheat$$

## **8.5 Residential Compliance Forms**

The following changes and additions to the Compliance Forms are proposed:

- Add to CF1R-PRF, CF1R ALT-02, and CF1R-NCB:
  - Remove the request to provide capacities for heat pumps.
  - Load calc software detailed report or documentation of custom calculations (inputs, outputs, algorithms) must be submitted (per Section 10-103, 150.0(h)1iv)
  - Plans must show at least a schematic diagram and room-by-room duct and diffuser information, including supply airflow, duct size, grille dimensions, and grille throw; and return airflow, duct size, grille dimensions, and net free area (per Section 10-103, 150.0(h)5)
- New CF2R-MCH form to show system selection information, to include, for each zone or system added or modified:
  - equipment type, design total airflow and corresponding total external static pressure drop for all air handlers, design heating capacity, design sensible and latent cooling capacities, winter heating loads, summer cooling loads, capacity to load sizing ratios, and efficiencies
  - a table to confirm that selected capacities are within limits.
- CF2R-MCH-01-E would be modified to include:
  - D. Installed Space Conditioning (SC) System Component Information
    - Add CCH prescriptive requirements per 150.1(c)7B, including space for which CCH Option:
      - OCST
      - Manufacturer documentation that No CCH
      - Manufacturer documentation that CCH has “better” control and additional data
  - H. Installed Heat Pump System – Split System Condensing Unit or Package Unit Equipment Information
    - Add spaces for:

- indicating that there is no supplementary heating
  - supplementary heater control requirements, per 110.2(b)1:
    - controls capable of lock-out
    - manufacturer provided instructions for proper configuration
    - controls configured per description
    - strip heater capacity limit, per 110.2(b)2.
  - defrost control requirements, per 150.0(h)7:
    - manufacturer provided instructions for proper configuration
    - defrost delay timer set
  - capacity variation with third-party thermostats, per 150.0(h)10:
    - manufacturer provided instructions for proper configuration.
    - space conditioning system and thermostat configured to provide a varying capacity.
- O I. Installed Heat Pump System – Efficiency and Performance Compliance Information
  - Remove capacity information, and refer to new CF2R-MCH with System Selection and Sizing information
- O N. HERS Verification Requirements for Space Conditioning Equipment
  - MCH-TBD Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls, per 150.0(h)9.
- New CF2R-MCH-TBD: describing verification of Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls
- New CF3R-MCH-TBD: describing verification of Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls
- Modifications to CF2R-MCH-25c-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Observation Procedure:
  - Add additional fields to document weigh-in parameters.
  - Reflect exception to RA3.2.3.2 that vacuum verification is not required with better fittings.
- Modifications to CF3R-MCH-25c-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Observation:
  - Reflect exception to RA3.2.3.2 that vacuum documentation is not required with better fittings.
- New CF2R-MCH-25g-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Remote Verification Procedure:
  - Same as CF2R-MCH-25c-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Observation Procedure, except add description of documentation to be submitted.

- New CF3R-MCH-25g-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Remote Verification:
  - Same as CF3R-MCH-25c-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Observation, except add description of documentation submitted.
- Eliminate CF3R-MCH-25d Refrigerant Charge Verification - FID

## 8.6 Low-rise Multifamily Compliance Forms

The following changes and additions to the Compliance Forms are proposed:

- Add to LMCI-MCH-01a, LMCI-MCH-01b, and LMCI-MCH-01c:
  - Remove the request to provide capacities for heat pumps.
  - Load calc software detailed report or documentation of custom calculations (inputs, outputs, algorithms) must be submitted (per Section 10-103, 160.3(b))
  - Plans must show at least a schematic diagram and room-by-room duct and diffuser information, including supply airflow, duct size, grille dimensions, and grille throw; and return airflow, duct size, grille dimensions, and net free area (per Section 10-103,160.3(b)5M)
- New LMCI-MCH form to show system selection information, to include, for each zone or system added or modified:
  - equipment type, design total airflow and corresponding total external static pressure drop for all air handlers, design heating capacity, design sensible and latent cooling capacities, winter heating loads, summer cooling loads, capacity to load sizing ratios, and efficiencies
  - a table to confirm that selected capacities are within limits.
- LMCI-MCH-01-E would be modified to include:
  - D. Installed Space Conditioning (SC) System Component Information
    - Add CCH prescriptive requirements per 160.3(b)9, including space for which CCH Option:
      - OCST
      - Manufacturer documentation that No CCH
      - Manufacturer documentation that CCH has “better” control and additional data
  - H. Installed Heat Pump System – Split System Condensing Unit or Package Unit Equipment Information
    - Add spaces for:
      - supplementary heater control requirements, per 110.2(b)1:
        - controls capable of lock-out

- manufacturer provided instructions for proper configuration
    - controls configured per description
    - strip heater capacity limit, per 110.2(b)2.
  - defrost control requirements, per 160.3(b)10:
    - manufacturer provided instructions for proper configuration
    - defrost delay timer set
  - capacity variation with third-party thermostats, per 160.3(b)11:
    - manufacturer provided instructions for proper configuration.
    - space conditioning system and thermostat configured to provide a varying capacity.
- O I. Installed Heat Pump System – Efficiency and Performance Compliance Information
- Remove capacity information, and refer to new CF2R-MCH with System Selection and Sizing information
- O N. HERS Verification Requirements for Space Conditioning Equipment
- MCH-TBD Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls, per 160.3(b)11.
- New LMCI-MCH-TBD: describing verification of Configuration of Space Conditioning System Controls
  - Modifications to LMCI-MCH-25c-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Observation Procedure:
    - Add additional fields to document weigh-in parameters.
    - Reflect exception to RA3.2.3.2 that vacuum verification is not required with better fittings.
  - New LMCI-MCH-25g-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Remote Verification Procedure:
    - Same as LMCI-MCH-25c-Refrigerant Charge Verification – Weigh-In Observation Procedure, except add description of documentation to be submitted.

## 8.7 Single Family Residential Compliance Manual

### 4.2 HEATING EQUIPMENT

#### 4.2.1 Mandatory Measures for Heating Equipment

##### 4.2.1.3 Equipment Sizing

The Energy Standards ~~do not set limits on the sizing of heating equipment, but they do~~ require that heating loads be calculated for new heating systems, and that load calculations must be submitted

along with the Certificate of Compliance for approval by the enforcement agency, including: design city, indoor and outdoor design temperatures, winter heating loads for each zone/system, Sensible and latent summer cooling loads for each zone/system, load calculation software name and version. If load calculations use custom calculations based on the resources above, the report must also show all detailed algorithms, inputs and outputs.

Oversized equipment typically operates less efficiently and can create comfort problems due to excessive cycling and improper airflow.

Acceptable load calculation procedures include methods described in the following publications:

1. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Equipment*
2. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Applications*
3. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals*
4. *The SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Manual*
5. *ACCA Manual J*

The Energy Standards require that the outdoor design conditions for load calculations be selected from Reference Joint Appendix JA2 and that the indoor design temperature for heating load calculations be 68°F.

The outdoor design temperature must be no lower than the 99.0 percent Heating Dry Bulb “heating winter median of extremes,” as listed in the Reference Joint 150.0(k).

If the actual city location for a project is not included in Reference Joint 150.0(k), or if the data given for a particular city do not match the conditions at the actual site as well as that given for another nearby city, consult the local building department for guidance.

~~The load calculations must be submitted with the compliance documentation when requested by the building department.~~

The load calculations may be prepared by 1) a mechanical engineer, 2) the mechanical contractor who is installing the equipment or 3) someone who is qualified to do so in the State of California according to Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code.

The Business and Professions Code does not prohibit an unlicensed person from preparing plans, drawings, or specifications for single family dwelling units of wood-frame construction not more than two stories and basement in height, or for certain buildings containing no more than four dwelling units of wood-frame construction not more than two stories and basement in height. However, licensure is required for apartment or condominium complexes.

The Energy Standards also state that heating system capacity must be within the following limits:

- A. **Minimum:** Heating systems are required to have a heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC. Section 150.0(h)1v clarifies that for heat pumps, this refers to the capacity of the heat pump itself, not including any supplementary heating provided.
- B. **Maximum:** The maximum heating capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  1. For new construction, or for existing buildings where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton: No maximum.
  2. For existing buildings where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton: Heating capacity shall be no larger than indicated in Table TBD1.

Table TBD1: Maximum Heating Capacity (HL = Design Heating Load; CL = Design Total Cooling Load)

<u>System Type</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heating Only Systems</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u>		
		<u>&lt; 0</u>	<u>0 – 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>No maximum</u>

## 4.3 COOLING EQUIPMENT

### 4.3.1 Mandatory Measures for Cooling Equipment

#### 4.3.1.4 Equipment Sizing

Similar to heating equipment, the Energy Standards ~~do not set limits on the size of cooling equipment, but they do~~ require that cooling loads be calculated for new cooling systems, and that load calculations must be submitted along with the Certificate of Compliance for approval by the enforcement agency, including: design city, indoor and outdoor design temperatures, winter heating loads for each zone/system, Sensible and latent summer cooling loads for each zone/system, load calculation software name and version. If load calculations use custom calculations based on the resources above, the report must also show all detailed algorithms, inputs and outputs.

Avoid oversizing the cooling components since oversizing may adversely affect the efficiency of the system. Ducts must be sized correctly, otherwise the system airflow rate may be restricted, adversely affecting the efficiency of the system and preventing the system from meeting the mandatory minimum airflow rate requirements.

The outdoor design conditions for load calculations must be selected from Reference Joint Appendix JA2, Table 2-3, using values no greater than the “1.0 percent cooling dry bulb” and “mean coincident wet bulb” values listed. The indoor design temperature for cooling load calculations must be 75°F. Acceptable load calculation procedures include methods described in:

1. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Equipment*
2. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Applications*
3. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals*
4. The SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Manual.

5. ACCA Manual J

~~Cooling load calculations must be submitted with compliance documentation when requested by the building department.~~ The load calculations may be prepared by 1) a mechanical engineer, 2) the mechanical contractor who is installing the equipment or 3) someone who is qualified to do so in the State of California according to Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code.

The Energy Standards also state that cooling system capacity must be within the following limits:

- a. **Minimum:** No minimum.
- b. **Maximum:** The maximum total cooling capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  1. For new construction, or for existing buildings where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton: No maximum.
  3. For existing buildings where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton Heating capacity shall be no larger than indicated in Table TBD2.

Table TBD2: Maximum Cooling Capacity (HL = Design Heating Load; CL = Design Total Cooling Load)

<u>System Type</u>	<u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Cooling Only Systems</u>	<u>Maximum Cooling Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u>		
		<u>≤ 0</u>	<u>0 – 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>CL + 6 kBtuh</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>	<u>80% of CL</u>

The Statewide CASE Team additionally recommends adding or updating content in the single family compliance manual related to the following items and would work with the CEC to develop this content.

- Provide specific requirements for submitting authorized load calculation inputs and/or outputs in the permit application package.
- Describe the simplifying assumptions that are allowed to the authorized load calculation inputs in some cases.
- Describe requirement to assume only “average” envelope leakage.
- Clarify that load calculations are now required even for like-for-like replacements.

- Clarify that room-by-room loads are not required.
- Provide the specific requirements for including at least schematic diagrams and room-by-room duct and diffuser information, except when ducts are not replaced or modified.
- Describe the requirement to lockout supplementary heating on heat pumps, including obtaining instructions from manufacturer and configuring controls.
- Describe requirements to confirm that variable and multispeed systems installed with third-party thermostats must be configured correctly, including obtaining instructions from manufacturers and configuring controls.
- Describe the requirements for the defrost delay timer, including obtaining instructions from manufacturer and configuring controls.
- Describe prescriptive options and how to provide the necessary information.
- Describe the new procedure for remote verification of weigh-in.
- Describe new exceptions from RCV requirements.
- Add a discussion of VCMS and duct losses to the Residential Compliance Manual.

## 8.8 Nonresidential and Multifamily Compliance Manual

### 11.5.3.5 Equipment Sizing

The Energy Standards ~~does not set limits on the sizing of heating equipment, but they do~~ require that heating loads be calculated for new HVAC systems, and that load calculations must be submitted along with the Certificate of Compliance for approval by the enforcement agency, including: design city, indoor and outdoor design temperatures, winter heating loads for each zone/system, Sensible and latent summer cooling loads for each zone/system, load calculation software name and version. If load calculations use custom calculations based on the resources above, the report must also show all detailed algorithms, inputs and outputs.

Oversized equipment typically operates less efficiently and can create comfort problems due to excessive cycling and improper airflow. Ducts must be sized correctly, otherwise the system airflow rate may be restricted, adversely affecting the efficiency of the system and preventing the system from meeting the mandatory minimum airflow rate requirements.

Acceptable load calculation procedures include methods described in the following publications:

1. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Equipment*
2. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Applications*
3. *The ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals*
4. *The SMACNA Residential Comfort System Installation Manual*
5. *ACCA Manual J*

The Energy Standards require that the outdoor design conditions for load calculations be selected from Reference Joint Appendix JA2 and that the indoor design temperature for heating load calculations be 68°F. The outdoor design temperature must be no lower than the 99.0 percent Heating Dry Bulb “heating winter median of extremes,” as listed in JA2. The outdoor design conditions for cooling load calculations must be selected from JA2, Table 2-3, using values no greater than the “1.0 percent cooling dry bulb” and “mean coincident wet bulb” values listed. The indoor design temperature for cooling load calculations must be 75°F.

If the actual city location for a project is not included in JA2, or if the data given for a particular city do not match the conditions at the actual site as well as that given for another nearby city, consult the local building department for guidance.

~~The load calculations must be submitted with the compliance documentation when requested by the building department.~~

The load calculations may be prepared by 1) a mechanical engineer, 2) the mechanical contractor who is installing the equipment or 3) someone who is qualified to do so in the State of California according to Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code.

The Business and Professions Code does not prohibit an unlicensed person from preparing plans, drawings, or specifications for single family dwelling units of wood-frame construction not more than two stories and basement in height, or for certain buildings containing no more than four dwelling units of wood-frame construction not more than two stories and basement in height. However, licensure is required for apartment or condominium complexes.

The Energy Standards also state that heating system capacity must be within the following limits:

- C. Minimum: Heating systems are required to have a heating capacity adequate to meet the minimum requirements of the CBC.
- D. Maximum: The maximum heating capacity depends on the relative sizes of the calculated heating and cooling design loads, and on the type of system and duct sizing.
  - 4. For new construction, or for existing buildings where airflow would be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton: No maximum.
  - 5. For existing buildings where airflow would NOT be field verified to be at least 350 cfm/ton: Heating capacity shall be no larger than indicated in Table TBD1.

Table TBD1: Maximum Heating Capacity (HL = Design Heating Load; CL = Design Total Cooling Load)

<u>System Type</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heating Only Systems</u>	<u>Maximum Heating Capacity for Heat Pumps when CL minus HL is:</u>		
		<u>&lt; 0</u>	<u>0 – 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>&gt; 12 kBtuh</u>
<u>Single Speed System—Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Maximum Capacity</u>	<u>HL + 6 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>HL + 12 kBtuh</u>	<u>No maximum</u>
<u>Variable or Multi Speed System—Capacity at Lowest Speed</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>80% of HL</u>	<u>No maximum</u>	<u>No maximum</u>

The Statewide CASE Team additionally recommends adding or updating content in the single family compliance manual related to the following items and would work with the CEC to develop this content.

- Provide specific requirements for submitting authorized load calculation inputs and/or outputs in the permit application package.
- Describe the simplifying assumptions that are allowed to the authorized load calculation inputs in some cases.
- Describe requirement to assume only “average” envelope leakage.
- Clarify that load calculations are now required even for like-for-like replacements.
- Clarify that room-by-room loads are not required.
- Provide the specific requirements for including at least schematic diagrams and room-by-room duct and diffuser information, except when ducts are not replaced or modified.
- Describe the requirement to lockout supplementary heating on heat pumps, including obtaining instructions from manufacturer and configuring controls.
- Describe the requirements for the defrost delay timer, including obtaining instructions from manufacturer and configuring controls.
- Describe prescriptive options and how to provide the necessary information.
- Describe the new procedure for remote verification of weigh-in.
- Describe new exceptions from RCV requirements.
- Add a discussion of VCMS and duct losses to the Residential Compliance Manual.

## 9. Bibliography

---

- n.d. [http://bees.archenergy.com/Documents/Software/CBECC-Com\\_2016.3.0\\_SP1\\_Prototypes.zip](http://bees.archenergy.com/Documents/Software/CBECC-Com_2016.3.0_SP1_Prototypes.zip).
- Abualsaud, Rana, Grigory Ostrovskiy, and Ziyad Mahfoud. 2019. "Ethnicity-Based Inequality in Heat-Related Illness Is on the Rise in California." *Wilderness & Environmental Medicine* 100-103.
- ACCA. 2016. *Manual J—Residential Load Calculation*. Alexandria: Air Conditioning Contractors of America.
- ACCA. 2014. *Manual S—Residential Equipment Selection*. Alexandria: Air Conditioning Contractors of America.
- ACEEE. n.d. "Energy Equity." *ACEEE.org*. Accessed 2023. <https://www.aceee.org/topic/energy-equity>.
- Administration, Bonneville Power. 2017. "PTCS Air Source Heat Pump Installation Specification." *www.bpa.gov*. Accessed 2022. [www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/energy-efficiency/residential/residential-ptcs-essentials/ashp-specifications.pdf](http://www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/energy-efficiency/residential/residential-ptcs-essentials/ashp-specifications.pdf).
- AHRI. 2023. "2023 Standard for Performance Rating of Unitary Air-conditioning & Air-source Heat Pump Equipment." *AHRI Standard 210/240*.
- ASHRAE. 2009. "ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals." *Chapter 20: Space Air Diffusion, Section on Mixed Air Systems*.
- Association, National Energy Assistance Directors. 2011. "2011 National Energy Assistance Survey Final Report."
- Bailes, A. 2014. "Why Are Heat Pumps So Dumb About Frost?" *www.energyvanguard.com*. Accessed 2022. <https://www.energyvanguard.com/blog/74297/Why-Are-Heat-Pumps-So-Dumb-About-Frost>.
- Bay Area Council Economic Institute. n.d. *California Housing Permit and Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Interactive Tool based on data from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD)*. Accessed 2022. <http://www.bayareaeconomy.org/new-analysis-of-housing-permits-shows-prominence-of-adus-in-the-bay-area/>.
- Baylon, D., S. Strand, B. Davis, E. Kruse, and D. Robison. 2005. "Analysis of Heat Pump Installation Practices and Performance, Market Research Report." *www.nwalliance.org*. December. Accessed 2022. <https://neea.org/img/uploads/AnalysiHeatPump.pdf>.

- Blankenship, Britney; J Renner, H Werner, M Lerner, K Cunningham. 2020. *Hand-in-Hand: Environmental and Social Justice Communities and California Energy Code*. ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.
- Bonneville Power Administration. 2019. "Air Source Heat Pump Commissioning, Controls & Sizing Baseline Field Study Report." [www.bpa.gov](http://www.bpa.gov). Accessed 2022. [www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/energy-efficiency/momentum-savings/2019-bpa-heat-pump-field-study-final-report.pdf](http://www.bpa.gov/-/media/Aep/energy-efficiency/momentum-savings/2019-bpa-heat-pump-field-study-final-report.pdf).
- Bonneville Power Administration. 2020. "Performance Tested Comfort Systems Thermostat Support Sheets." <https://ptcs.bpa.gov/SupportMaterials.aspx>.
- Bonneville Power Administration. 2020. "Performance Tested Comfort Systems, Thermostat Support Sheets."
- Burke, A. 2019. "PTCS (Performance Tested Comfort Systems) Program Redesign Draft Recommendations." [www.bpa.gov](http://www.bpa.gov). November 21. Accessed 22. [www.bpa.gov/EE/NewsEvents/presentations/Documents/PTCS\\_Redesign\\_Brown\\_Bag\\_112119.pdf](http://www.bpa.gov/EE/NewsEvents/presentations/Documents/PTCS_Redesign_Brown_Bag_112119.pdf).
- BW Research Partnership. 2016. *Advanced Energy Jobs in California: Results of the 2016 California Advanced Energy*. Advanced Energy Economy Institute.
- CalCERTS. 2023. *Confidential Data Request from CalCERTS*. Folsom, CA, January.
- California Air Resources Board. 2019. "Global Warming Potentials." <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/background/gwp.htm#transition>.
- California Department of Water Resources. 2016. "California Counties by Hydrologic Regions." Accessed April 3, 2016. <http://www.water.ca.gov/landwateruse/images/maps/California-County.pdf>.
- California Energy Commission. 2009. *2009 Residential Appliance Saturation Survey*. [https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/appliances/rass/previous\\_rass.html](https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/appliances/rass/previous_rass.html).
- California Energy Commission. 2014. "2013 Residential Compliance Manual."
- . 2015. *2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards: Frequently Asked Questions*. [http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents/2016\\_Building\\_Energy\\_Efficiency\\_Standards\\_FAQ.pdf](http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents/2016_Building_Energy_Efficiency_Standards_FAQ.pdf).
- California Energy Commission. 2018. "2019 Alternative Calculation Method Approval Manual for the 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards." <https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2018/2019-alternative-calculation-method-approval-manual-2019-building-energy>.
- California Energy Commission. 2022. "2022 Alternative Calculation Method Approval Manual for the 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards."

<https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2022/2022-alternative-calculation-method-approval-manual-2022-building-energy>.

California Energy Commission. 2022. "2025 California Energy Code Measure Proposal to the California Energy Commission." <https://www.energy.ca.gov/media/3538>.

California Energy Commission. 2022. "2025 California Energy Code Measure Proposal to the California Energy Commission." <https://www.energy.ca.gov/media/3538>.

—. n.d. *2025 Energy Code Compliance Software, Research Version*. Accessed 2022. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2025-building-energy-efficiency-1>.

—. 2022. "Energy Code Data for Measure Proposals." *energy.ca.gov*. [https://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/documents/2022\\_Energy\\_Code\\_Data\\_for\\_Measure\\_Proposals.xlsx](https://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/documents/2022_Energy_Code_Data_for_Measure_Proposals.xlsx).

—. 2022. "Final Staff Workshop on Energy Accounting for the 2025 Building Energy Efficiency Standards." *California Energy Commission*. Prepared for the California Energy Commission. November 10. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/event/workshop/2022-11/final-staff-workshop-energy-accounting-2025-building-energy-efficiency>.

—. 2022. "Housing and Commercial Construction Data - Excel." [https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/title24/documents/2022\\_Energy\\_Code\\_Data\\_for\\_Measure\\_Proposals.xlsx](https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/title24/documents/2022_Energy_Code_Data_for_Measure_Proposals.xlsx).

—. 2018. "Impact Analysis: 2019 Update to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Non-Residential Buildings." *energy.ca.gov*. June 29. [https://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2019standards/post\\_adoption/documents/2019\\_Impact\\_Analysis\\_Final\\_Report\\_2018-06-29.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2019standards/post_adoption/documents/2019_Impact_Analysis_Final_Report_2018-06-29.pdf).

—. 2020. "TDV 2022 Update Model." *California Energy Commission*. Accessed 2022. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/files/tdv-2022-update-model>.

California Governor's Office of Emergency Services. 2021. *Cal OES News*. August 3. Accessed 2023. <https://news.caloes.ca.gov/project-roomkey-impact-to-date-and-looking-ahead/>.

California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). 2015b. "Water/Energy Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Revised Final Report." Prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=5360>.

California Public Utilities Commission. 2021b. "Database for Energy-Efficient resources (DEER2021 Update)." Accessed April 13, 2021. <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/deer-versions/deer2021>.

- . 2015a. "Water/Energy Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Errata to the Revised Final Report." Prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. .  
<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=5350>.
- California Statewide Codes & Standards Team. 2023. "Meeting Notes from January 27, 2023 Stakeholder Workshop on Residential HVAC Performance."  
<https://title24stakeholders.com/event/residential-hvac-utility-sponsored-stakeholder-meeting/>.
- California Utilities Statewide Codes and Standards Team. 2011. "2013 California Building Energy Efficiency Standards: Residential Refrigerant Charge Testing and Related Issues."
- CEC. 2018. "Energy Equity Indicators Tracking Progress." *Energy.ca.gov*. June 25. Accessed 2023. [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/energy\\_equity\\_indicators\\_ada.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/energy_equity_indicators_ada.pdf).
- Chapple, Daniel. n.d. *Grocery Store Development in Recognized Food Deserts*. Sustainable Development Code . <https://sustainablecitycode.org/brief/grocery-store-development-in-recognized-food-deserts/>.
- Cluett, Rachel, J Amann. 2015. "Multiple Benefits of Multifamily Energy Efficiency for Cost-Effectiveness Screening." *American Council for an Energy-Efficiency Economy*.
- CPUC. n.d. "Disadvantaged Communities." Accessed 2023.  
<https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/disadvantaged-communities#:~:text=What%20is%20a%20Disadvantaged%20Community,%2C%20health%2C%20and%20environmental%20burdens>.
- CTCAC. 2014. *Affordable Housing Cost Study: Analysis of the Factors that Influence the Cost of Building Multifamily Affordable Housing in California*. California Department of Housing and Community Development, California Housing Finance Agency, California Debt Limit Allocation Committee.
- DC Fiscal Policy Institute. 2017. "Style Guide for Inclusive Language." *DCFPI*. December. [https://www.dcfpi.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Style-Guide-for-Inclusive-Language\\_Dec-2017.pdf](https://www.dcfpi.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Style-Guide-for-Inclusive-Language_Dec-2017.pdf).
- DNV. 2022. "RASS Saturation Tables." *2019 California Statewide Residential Appliance Saturation Study*. [https://webtools.dnv.com/CA\\_RASS/](https://webtools.dnv.com/CA_RASS/).
- DOE. 2023. "Code of Federal Regulation 10 CFR §430.32(c)." *Energy and water conservation standards and their compliance dates*.

- DOE. 2022. *Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products. 10 C.F.R § 430 Appendix M1 to Subpart B*. U.S. Department of Energy.
- Domanski, Piotr, Hugh Henderson, and W. Vance Payne. 2014. *Sensitivity Analysis of Installation Faults on Heat Pump Performance*. NIST Technical Note 1848, Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.TN.1848>.
- Downey, T., and J. Proctor. 2002. "'What Can 12,000 Air Conditioners Tell Us?'" *Proceedings of the ACEEE Summer Study*.
- Drehobl, Ariel; L Ross; R Ayala. 2020. *How High are Household Energy Burdens? An Assessment of National and Metropolitan Energy Burden Across the United States*. American Council for an Energy-Efficiency Economy.
- Dryden, A., G. Pfothauer, N. Stone, S. Armstrong et al. 2021. "Getting to All-Electric Multifamily ZNE Construction. Draft Final Project Report." *aea.us.org*. March. Accessed 2022. [aea.us.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Final\\_Report\\_15-097\\_Getting-to-All-Electric-Multifamily-Zero-Net-Energy-Construction\\_2021.10.22.pdf](http://aea.us.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Final_Report_15-097_Getting-to-All-Electric-Multifamily-Zero-Net-Energy-Construction_2021.10.22.pdf) .
- Ecotope. 2014. "Residential Building Stock Assessment: Metering Study." *www.neea.org*. Accessed 2022. <https://neea.org/resources/2011-rbsa-metering-study> .
- EIA. 2022. *Residential Energy Consumption Survey, 2020*. U.S. Energy Information Administration.
- Emerson. 2021. "Crankcase Heaters for Scroll Compressors." *www.climate.emerson.com*. April. Accessed 2022. <https://climate.emerson.com/documents/crankcase-heaters-for-scroll-compressors-technical-information-en-gb-7486018.pdf> .
- Ettenson, Lara , and Christa Heavey. 2015. *California's Golden Energy Efficiency Opportunity: Ramping Up Success to Save Billions and Meet Climate Goals*. Natural Resources Defense Council & Environmental Entrepreneurs (E2).
- Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED). n.d. Accessed September 14, 2022. <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/release/tables?eid=258470&rid=144> .
- . n.d. *Data series relied on: Net Domestic Private Investment, Corporate Profits After Taxes*. Accessed September 18, 2022. <https://fred.stlouisfed.org> .
- Fenaughty, K., D. Parker. 2018. "Evaluation of Air Conditioning Performance Degradation: Opportunities from Diagnostic Methods." *ACEEE Summer Study Proceedings*. Washington, DC: American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy.

- Goldman, Charles, Merrian C. Fuller, Elizabeth Stuart, Jane S Peters, Marjorie McRay, Nathaniel Albers, Susan Lutzenhiser, and Mersiha Spahic. 2010. *Energy Efficiency Services Sector: Workforce Size and Expectations for Growth*. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- Goldsmith, Leo, and Michelle L. Bell. 2021. "Queering Environmental Justice: Unequal Environmental Health Burden on the LGBTQ+ Community." *American Journal of Public Health*. <https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306406>.
- Heinemeier, K, D. Springer, and S. Chally. 2020. "Codes and Standards Enhancement Initiative, 2022 California Energy Code: Single Family HVAC Fault Detection and Diagnosis Research Report." Report to the California Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement Program. <https://title24stakeholders.com/measures/looking-forward/fault-detection-diagnostics/>.
- Hendron, R., K. Heinemeier, A. German, and J. Pereira. 2021. "Modeling Savings for ENERGY STAR Smart Home Energy Management Systems." Report to National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- IEA. 2014. *Capturing the Multiple Benefits of Energy Efficiency*. International Energy Agency.
- Internal Revenue Service, Treasury. 2011. "CFR-2011-title26-vol1-sec1-42-10.pdf." *Govinfo.gov*. Accessed 2023. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2011-title26-vol1/pdf/CFR-2011-title26-vol1-sec1-42-10.pdf>.
- Kenney, Michael, Heather Bird, and Heriberto Rosales. 2019. *2019 California Energy Efficiency Action Plan*. Publication Number: CEC- 400-2019-010-CMF , California Energy Commission. Kenney, Michael, Heather Bird, and Heriberto Rosales. 2019. 2019 California Energy Efficiency Action Plan. California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC- 400-2019-010-CMF .
- Krishnamoorthy, Sreenidhi, Mark Modera, and Curtis Harrington. 2017. "Efficiency optimization of a variable-capacity/variable-blower-speed residential heat-pump system with ductwork." *Energy and Buildings* 150: 294-306.
- Laaidi, Karine: A Zeghnoun: B Dousset: P Bretin: S Vandentorren: E Giraudet: P Beaudeau. 2012. "The Impact of Heat Islands on Mortality in Paris during the August 2003 Heat Wave." *Environmental Health Perspectives*.
- Larson, B., B. Davis, and D. Baylon. 2010. "Modeled Heat Pump Retrofit Predictions with 'Right' Sizing and Outdoor Temperature Lockout Controls." *www.ecotope-publications-database.ecotope.com*. August 27. Accessed 2022. [ecotope-publications-database.ecotope.com/2010\\_006\\_ModeledHeatPumpRetrofit.pdf](http://www.ecotope-publications-database.ecotope.com/2010_006_ModeledHeatPumpRetrofit.pdf) .
- MacFarland, M. 2022. "Defrost Cycle Examination." *Energy Docs Memo*.

- Margolies, Justin, and Kevin Gries. 2019. *2019 Dual Fuel Air-Source Heat Pump Monitoring Report*. Madison, WI: Slipstream.
- Martin, Cara, Paul Oppenheim, John Bush, and Hal Stillman. 2018. "Alternative Defrost Strategies for Residential Heat Pumps." *International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference*. <https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/iracc/1898>.
- McHugh, J., A. German, A. Dryden, B. Larson, D. Feng, J. Bade, M. Alatorre. 2022. "Heat Pump Controls: Decarbonizing Buildings While Avoiding Electric Resistance Heating and Higher Net Peak Demand." *Proceedings of the ACEEE Summer Study*.
- Morelix, Alicia Robb and Arnobio. 2016. *Startup Financing Trends by Race: How Access to Capital Impacts Profitability*. Erwing Marion Kauffmann Foundation.
- National Energy Assistance Directors' Association. 2011. *2011 National Energy Assistance Survey Final Report*. <http://www.appriseinc.org/reports/Final%20NEADA%202011%20Report.pdf>.
- Nawaz, Kashif, and Brian Fricke. 2021. "A Critical Literature Review of Defrost Technologies for Heat Pumps and Refrigeration Systems." *International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference*. <https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/iracc/2218> .
- NMHC. 2022. *2022 Renter Preferences Survey Report*. Grace Hill, Kingsley Surveys. <https://www.nmhc.org/research-insight/research-report/nmhc-grace-hill-renter-preferences-survey-report/>.
- Norton, Ruth Ann, & B Brown. 2014. "Green & Healthy Homes Initiative: Improving Health, Economic, and Social Outcomes Through Integrated Housing Intervention." *Environmental Justice* Vol. 7 (Nbr. 6. ).
- Oh, Hyeon-Ju. 2020. "Exposure to respirable particles and TVOC in underground parking garages under different types of ventilation and their associated health effects." *Air Quality Atmosphere & Health*.
- Pew Research Center. 2023. *Attendance at religious services by race/ethnicity*. Accessed 2023. <https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/religious-landscape-study/compare/attendance-at-religious-services/by/racial-and-ethnic-composition/>.
- Power, Margaret. 2007. "Technical Report of Accomplishments of the Weatherization Leveraging Partnership Project." *Economic Opportunity Studies*.
- Proctor, J., B. Davids, F. Jablonski, G. Peterson. 1992. "Pacific Gas and Electric Heat Pump Efficiency and Super Weatherization Pilot Project – Field/Technical Report." Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

- Proctor, John, Richard Chitwood, and Bruce Wilcox. 2011. *Efficiency Characteristics and Opportunities for New California Homes*. PIER Project Report CEC-500-2012-062, California Energy Commission.
- Ramanathan, Lavanya. 2017. "Mall outside DC has found a niche: immigrants." *AP News*, January 16.  
<https://apnews.com/article9557e5da878b4328af8aab985167039d>.
- Rose, Erin; Hawkins, Beth. 2020. *Background Data and Statistics on Low- Income Energy Use and Burden for the Weatherization Assistance Program: Update for Fiscal Year 2020*. Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- SBW Consulting, Inc. 2022. *Water-Energy Calculator 2.0 Project Report*. Project Report, San Francisco: California Public Utility Commission.
- Smargiassi, Audrey; M Fournier; C Griot; Y Baudouin; T Kosatsky. 2008. "Prediction of the indoor temperatures of an urban area with an in-time regression mapping approach." *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*.
- Springer, D. and C. Harrington. 2020. "Codes and Standards Enhancement Initiative, 2022 California Energy Code: Variable Capacity HVAC Compliance Software Revisions." Report to the California Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement Program.
- Springer, David. 2017. *Residential Quality HVAC Measures – Final Report*. Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) INitiative 2019-RES-HVAC1-F, California Statewide Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Program.
- State of California. n.d. *Employment Development Department, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (data search tool)*. Accessed September 1, 2022.  
<https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/cgi/dataanalysis/areaselection.asp?table name=industry>.
- . n.d. "Government Code Title 7 Division 1 Chapter 1.5 Article 4." *California Legislative Information*. Accessed 2023.  
[https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes\\_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=65040.12.&lawCode=GOV](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=65040.12.&lawCode=GOV).
- State of California, Employment Development Department. n.d. *Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (data search tool)*. Accessed September 1, 2022.  
<https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/cgi/dataanalysis/areaselection.asp?table name=industry>.
- Statewide CASE Team. 2020. "2022 Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative Residential Energy Savings and Process Improvements for Additions and Alterations Final CASE Report." <https://title24stakeholders.com/wp->

content/uploads/2020/08/SF-Additions-and-Alterations\_Final\_-CASE-Report\_Statewide-CASE-Team.pdf.

- Stober, W., N. Bulger. n.d. "Residential Heat Pump Crankcase Heater, Product Review."
- Stober, Willy, and Neil Bulger. 2022. *Update #2: Residential Heat Pump Crankcase Heater, Product Review*. Red Car Analytics Memorandum.
- Stone, Nehemiah, Jerry Nickelsburg, and William Yu. 2015. *Codes and Standards White Paper: Report - New Home Cost v. Price Study*. Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Accessed February 2, 2017.  
<http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/Migration-12-22-2015/Non-Regulatory/15-BSTD-01/TN%2075594%20April%202015%20Codes%20and%20Standards%20White%20Paper%20-%20Report%20-%20New%20Home%20Cost%20v%20Price%20Study.pdf>.
- . 2018. "New Home Cost v. Price Study." March.  
<https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:US:3f17d871-94bb-4226-be4e-ea215ebadb70>.
- Temple, Keith. 2011. *Proposed Improvements to Residential HVAC Diagnostic Test Protocols*. Contract #400-09-001, California Energy Commission.
- Tessum, Christopher W., Joshua S. Apte, Andrew L. Goodkind, Nicholas Z. Muller, Kimberley A. Mullins, David A. Paoletta, Stephen Polasky, et al. 2019. "Inequity in consumption of goods and services adds to racial–ethnic disparities in air pollution exposure." *PNSA* 113 (13). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818859116>.
- The Sarah Samuels Center for Public Health Research and Evaluation. 2016. *California FreshWorks Food Access Report*. The California Endowment.
- Thornberg, Christopher, Hoyu Chong, and Adam Fowler. 2016. *California Green Innovation Index - 8th Edition*. Next 10.
- TRC. 2019. *Multifamily Prototypes*. Prepared for Southern California Edison.  
[https://title24stakeholders.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/SCE-MFModeling\\_MultifamilyPrototypesReport\\_2019-06-07\\_clean.pdf](https://title24stakeholders.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/SCE-MFModeling_MultifamilyPrototypesReport_2019-06-07_clean.pdf).
- U.S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS. 2023. *U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics*. January 25. Accessed 2023. <https://www.bls.gov/cps/cpsaat18.htm>.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2017b. "2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates." *Table DP04: SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS*. Accessed December 23, 2019.

- [https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/17\\_5YR/DP04/0400000US06](https://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/17_5YR/DP04/0400000US06).
- U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division. 2014. "Annual Estimates of the Resident Population: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2014."  
<http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/PEP/2014/PEPANNRES/0400000US06.05000>.
- U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2011. "Emission Factors for Greenhouse Gas Inventories." Accessed December 2, 2013.  
<http://www.epa.gov/climateleadership/documents/emission-factors.pdf>.
- UC Berkeley Center for Community Innovation. 2021. "Implementing the Backyard Revolution: Perspectives of California's ADU Owners."
- UCLA Labor Center. 2022. *Fast Food Frontline: COVID-19 and Working Conditions in Los Angeles*. Los Angeles: UCLA Labor Center.
- United States Census Bureau. n.d. *Quick Facts 2019 and 2021*. Accessed September 12, 2022. United States Census Bureau  
<https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?t=Housing%20Units&g=0400000US06&tid=ACSCP5Y2020.CP04>.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1995. "AP 42, Fifth Edition Compilation of Air Pollutant Emissions Factors, Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources." <https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42-compilation-air-emissions-factors#5thed>.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2018. "Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) 2016."  
<https://www.epa.gov/energy/emissions-generation-resource-integrated-database-egrid>.
- United States Government Accountability Office . 2018. *K-12 EDUCATION Public High Schools with More Students in Poverty and Smaller Schools Provide Fewer Academic Offerings to Prepare for College*. United States Government Accountability Office .
- Unknown. 2023. "Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps." *Presentation to DOE*. July.
- Wilcox, B., A. Conant, and R. Chitwood. n.d. "Central Valley Research Homes, Variable Capacity Heat Pumps, Evaluation of Sizing and Control Settings 2017-2018." 65-67.
- Wilcox, B., A. Conant, and R. Chitwood. 2018. "PGE Central Valley Research Homes, Variable Capacity Heat Pumps, Evaluation of Ducted and Ductless Configurations 2016-2017." 59-60.

- Wilcox, Bruce, Rick Chitwood, and John Proctor. 2011. *Efficiency Characteristics and Opportunities for New California Homes*. Final report for the Efficiency Characteristics and Opportunities for New California Homes project, California Energy Commission CEC-500-212-062.
- Wiseman, B., M. Bachand, K. Heinemeier, T. Young, and R. King. 2015. "What Can be Done to Reduce the Transactional Cost of Energy Code Compliance?" *Compliance Improvement Advisory Group White Paper*.
- Yuill, David, Howard Cheung, and James Braun. 2014. "Evaluation of Fault Detection and Diagnostics Tools by Simulation Results of Multiple Vapor Compression Systems ." *15th International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference at Purdue*.
- Zabin, Carol, and Karen Chapple. 2011. *California Workforce Education & Training Needs Assessment: For Energy Efficiency, Distributed Generation, and Demand Response*. University of California, Berkeley Donald Vial Center on Employment in the Green Economy. Accessed February 3, 2017. [http://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/pdf/2011/WET\\_Appendices\\_ALL.pdf](http://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/pdf/2011/WET_Appendices_ALL.pdf).

## Appendix A: Statewide Savings Methodology

---

The Statewide CASE Team estimated statewide impacts for the first year by multiplying per unit savings estimates by statewide construction forecasts that the CEC provided (California Energy Commission 2022). The CEC provided the construction estimates on March 27, 2023.

The Statewide CASE Team followed guidance provided in the CEC's New Measure Proposal Template (developed by the Energy Commission) to calculate statewide energy savings using the CEC's construction forecasts. For single family homes the Statewide CASE Team assumed a statewide weighting of 2 percent for the 500 square foot prototype, 42 percent for the 2,100 square foot prototype, and 56 percent for the 2,700 square foot prototype. In Sections 5 and 6 results are presented for a weighted average of the 2,100 square foot and 2,700 square foot new construction prototypes since results for each of these two prototypes individually are similar. With the exclusion of the 500 square foot prototype, savings results are weighted 43 percent for the 2100 square foot prototype and 57 percent for the 2700 square foot prototype. For multifamily buildings the Statewide CASE Team applied statewide weighting that the CEC requested in the 2025 Measure Proposal Template as follows: Low-Rise Garden (four percent), Loaded Corridor (33 percent), Mid-Rise Mixed-Use (58 percent) and High-Rise Mixed Use (two percent) (California Energy Commission 2022). The Statewide CASE Team did not make any changes to the CEC's construction estimates. The Statewide CASE Team did not make any changes to the CEC's construction estimates.

The Statewide CASE Team estimated statewide impacts for the first year by multiplying per unit savings estimates by the Energy Commission's statewide construction forecasts. The Statewide CASE Team made assumptions about the percentage of buildings in each climate zone that would be impacted by the proposed code change. Table 115 through Table 126 present the number of single family and multifamily homes, both newly constructed and existing, that the Statewide CASE Team assumed would be impacted by each of the proposed code changes during the first year the 2025 code is in effect.

It is anticipated that the incremental costs for space conditioning heat pumps may fall over time as heat pump production volumes increase with increasing building electrification trends in California as well as the rest of the United States. Indications from an HVAC contractor surveyed as part of this CASE Report suggest that 2023 is shaping up to be somewhat of a transition as they had recently seen space conditioning heat pumps to be about 10 percent of their production home installations but expect to see that to increase to 25 percent by the end of 2023. Taking this into account and assuming that the CEC would be successful in establishing heat pumps as the

prescriptive baseline for single family homes in the 2025 code, it is projected that 90 percent of newly constructed single family homes would have heat pumps by 2026. The other 10 percent are assumed to have air conditioners. The same distribution is assumed for multifamily buildings, which already have a heat pump space heating baseline in most climate zones under the 2022 code.

For new construction the design measure would impact 100 percent of all new homes in all climate zones. The supplementary heating, defrost, CCH, and refrigerant charge measures only apply to heat pumps and thus are estimated to impact 90 percent of new homes in the applicable climate zones and building types for each measure. For the single family cases where the proposal doesn't apply to small homes 500 square feet and less the measure impact is reduced by 2 percent and would cover 98 percent of new homes for the measures that impact all HVAC systems or 88.2 percent of homes for the measures that impact heat pumps only. For the prescriptive measures, even if a project does not meet the new prescriptive performance requirements, they would need to trade this off in the performance approach with another energy efficiency measure that would provide the same level of savings.

For existing buildings, the impacted HVAC systems are assumed to have a lifetime of 15 years and therefore 6.7 percent of homes install replacement systems annually. The Residential Appliance Saturation Survey (RASS) data (DNV 2022) was used to estimate the number of homes that would install a central split ducted heat pump versus a gas furnace and air conditioner. The 2019 RASS survey indicated that 4 percent of single family homes have a primary central heat pump. This figure was 2 percent in both the 2003 and 2009 RASS surveys. For multifamily homes the 2019 RASS survey indicated that 6 percent of dwelling units have a primary central heat pump. This figure was 3 percent and 4 percent for the 2003 and 2009 RASS surveys, respectively. Assuming that California achieves its goal of carbon neutrality by 2045, by that time all homes are expected to have heat pumps. Using these four datapoints an exponential trend curve was developed which estimated that in 2026 12.62 percent of single family homes and 16.77 percent of multifamily homes would have a heat pump. Applying the 15 year replacement rate to this percentage results in 0.84 percent of single family homes and 1.1 percent of multifamily homes that would install replacement heat pumps annually in 2026.

For the design measure a 2011 study of then-new construction found that 60 percent of systems were below the now-required 350 cfm/ton, and the median of these undersized duct systems were 20 percent undersized (Proctor, Chitwood and Wilcox 2011). Those new systems in 2011 now represent a best case for existing buildings, and the Statewide CASE Team assumes that 60 percent of existing homes would not meet the required 350 cfm/ton required to allow oversizing. It was assumed most designers of

replacement systems would opt for not oversizing rather than doing ductwork modifications.

For the CCH measure, a review of CCH from Red Car Analytics (Stober and Bulger 2022) showed that of the heat pump systems that offered CCH as a standard feature, six percent did not appear to implement any control. This was only one model out of the 18 reviewed that have CCH— this does confirm that the number is not zero, but does not provide confidence in the exact fraction, so a conservative estimate of three percent was used for CC. In the review, 11 percent implemented CC but not TC, and 83% implemented TC. These statistics were generally true for both variable capacity systems and systems with single or multiple speeds. Data presented to DOE (apparently based upon a survey by Guidehouse) report that the average temperature cut off for CCH temperature control is about 71°F, indicating that half of units already meet the proposed TC requirements, while half do not (Unknown 2023). Therefore it was assumed that TC savings will apply to about 58 percent of heat pumps sold (6 plus 11 plus half of 83).

The Red Car review found that about half of heat pumps had CCH standard for smaller systems. The review did not examine air conditioners, but conversations with experts indicated that a lower percentage of air conditioners have crankcase heaters and an assumption that only 10 percent of air conditioners use CCH was made. Therefore, the fraction assumed to be subject to the mandatory measure was cut in half. The resulting percentages combined with the split between homes with heat pumps and air conditioners were incorporated into the statewide savings analysis to arrive at 0.3 percent of new homes impacted by the mandatory measure and 0.8 percent of new homes impacted by the prescriptive measure.

**Table 115: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Design**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	359	90%	323	44,875	0.50%	227
2	1,861	0%	0	265,807	4.00%	10,632
3	3,035	0%	0	972,513	4.00%	38,901
4	2,689	0%	0	497,321	4.00%	19,893
5	616	0%	0	97,271	4.00%	3,891
6	1,719	0%	0	594,544	4.00%	23,782
7	1,869	0%	0	494,355	4.00%	19,774
8	4,163	0%	0	926,278	4.00%	37,051
9	4,286	0%	0	1,250,479	4.00%	50,019
10	7,950	0%	0	1,067,399	4.00%	42,696
11	5,840	0%	0	335,468	4.00%	13,419
12	14,542	0%	0	1,318,779	4.00%	52,751
13	7,257	0%	0	634,709	4.00%	25,388
14	3,739	0%	0	247,852	4.00%	9,914
15	3,160	0%	0	177,670	4.00%	7,107
16	1,937	90%	1,743	97,937	0.50%	494
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65,022</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2,066</b>	<b>9,023,257</b>		<b>355,939</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 116: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Design**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	144	0%	0	17,558	0.0%	0
2	1,391	0%	0	105,894	4.0%	4,236
3	7,699	0%	0	553,186	4.0%	22,127
4	3,417	0%	0	288,786	4.0%	11,551
5	285	0%	0	45,671	4.0%	1,827
6	2,243	0%	0	322,513	4.0%	12,901
7	5,156	0%	0	307,272	4.0%	12,291
8	8,600	0%	0	515,137	4.0%	20,605
9	10,302	0%	0	1,117,605	4.0%	44,704
10	4,306	0%	0	329,302	4.0%	13,172
11	1,173	0%	0	85,339	4.0%	3,414
12	5,537	0%	0	471,876	4.0%	18,875
13	1,009	0%	0	157,075	4.0%	6,283
14	1,446	0%	0	83,480	4.0%	3,339
15	373	0%	0	41,152	4.0%	1,646
16	187	0%	0	28,066	0.0%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53,268</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,310,108</b>		<b>176,972</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 117: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Supplemental Heating**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	359	88.2%	316.638	44,875	0.84%	378
2	1,861	88.2%	1,641	265,807	0.84%	2,236
3	3,035	88.2%	2,677	972,513	0.84%	8,182
4	2,689	88.2%	2,372	497,321	0.84%	4,184
5	616	88.2%	543	97,271	0.84%	818
6	1,719	88.2%	1,516	594,544	0.84%	5,002
7	1,869	0.0%	0	494,355	0.00%	0
8	4,163	88.2%	3,672	926,278	0.84%	7,793
9	4,286	88.2%	3,780	1,250,479	0.84%	10,521
10	7,950	88.2%	7,012	1,067,399	0.84%	8,980
11	5,840	88.2%	5,151	335,468	0.84%	2,822
12	14,542	88.2%	12,826	1,318,779	0.84%	11,095
13	7,257	88.2%	6,401	634,709	0.84%	5,340
14	3,739	88.2%	3,298	247,852	0.84%	2,085
15	3,160	0.0%	0	177,670	0.00%	0
16	1,937	88.2%	1,708	97,937	0.84%	824
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65,022</b>		<b>52,914</b>	<b>9,023,257</b>		<b>70,262</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 118: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Supplemental Heating**

<b>Building Climate Zone</b>	<b>Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]</b>	<b>Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]</b>	<b>New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B</b>	<b>Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]</b>	<b>Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]</b>	<b>Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E</b>
1	144	0%	0	23,001	0.0%	0
2	1,391	0%	0	138,721	0.0%	0
3	7,699	0%	0	724,674	0.0%	0
4	3,417	0%	0	378,310	0.0%	0
5	285	0%	0	59,829	0.0%	0
6	2,243	0%	0	422,492	0.0%	0
7	5,156	0%	0	402,526	0.0%	0
8	8,600	0%	0	674,829	0.0%	0
9	10,302	0%	0	1,464,063	0.0%	0
10	4,306	0%	0	431,386	0.0%	0
11	1,173	0%	0	111,794	0.0%	0
12	5,537	0%	0	618,158	0.0%	0
13	1,009	0%	0	205,768	0.0%	0
14	1,446	0%	0	109,359	0.0%	0
15	373	0%	0	53,909	0.0%	0
16	187	0%	0	36,766	0.0%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53,268</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5,855,585</b>		<b>0</b>

Source (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 119: Estimated New Construction and Existing Build Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Defrost**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	359	90.0%	323	44,875	0.84%	378
2	1,861	90.0%	1,675	265,807	0.84%	2,236
3	3,035	88.2%	2,677	972,513	0.84%	8,182
4	2,689	90.0%	2,420	497,321	0.84%	4,184
5	616	88.2%	543	97,271	0.84%	818
6	1,719	0.0%	0	594,544	0.00%	0
7	1,869	0.0%	0	494,355	0.00%	0
8	4,163	88.2%	3,672	926,278	0.84%	7,793
9	4,286	88.2%	3,780	1,250,479	0.84%	10,521
10	7,950	88.2%	7,012	1,067,399	0.84%	8,980
11	5,840	90.0%	5,256	335,468	0.84%	2,822
12	14,542	90.0%	13,088	1,318,779	0.84%	11,095
13	7,257	90.0%	6,531	634,709	0.84%	5,340
14	3,739	90.0%	3,365	247,852	0.84%	2,085
15	3,160	88.2%	2,787	177,670	0.84%	1,495
16	1,937	90.0%	1,743	97,937	0.84%	824
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65,022</b>		<b>54,873</b>	<b>9,023,257</b>		<b>66,754</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 120: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Defrost**

<b>Building Climate Zone</b>	<b>Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction)</b> [A]	<b>Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal</b> [B]	<b>New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026</b> C = A x B	<b>Total Existing Homes in 2026</b> [D]	<b>Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal</b> [E]	<b>Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026</b> F = D x E
<b>1</b>	144	0%	0	17,558	1.12%	196
<b>2</b>	1,391	90%	1,252	105,894	1.12%	1,184
<b>3</b>	7,699	90%	6,929	553,186	1.12%	6,185
<b>4</b>	3,417	90%	3,075	288,786	1.12%	3,229
<b>5</b>	285	90%	257	45,671	1.12%	511
<b>6</b>	2,243	0%	0	322,513	1.12%	3,606
<b>7</b>	5,156	0%	0	307,272	1.12%	3,435
<b>8</b>	8,600	0%	0	515,137	1.12%	5,759
<b>9</b>	10,302	0%	0	1,117,605	1.12%	12,495
<b>10</b>	4,306	0%	0	329,302	1.12%	3,682
<b>11</b>	1,173	90%	1,056	85,339	1.12%	954
<b>12</b>	5,537	90%	4,983	471,876	1.12%	5,276
<b>13</b>	1,009	90%	908	157,075	1.12%	1,756
<b>14</b>	1,446	90%	1,301	83,480	1.12%	933
<b>15</b>	373	0%	0	41,152	1.12%	460
<b>16</b>	187	0%	0	28,066	1.12%	314
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53,268</b>		<b>19,761</b>	<b>4,469,912</b>		<b>49,974</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 121: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heating, Compressor**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	359	1.38%	5	44,875	0.030%	14
2	1,861	1.38%	26	265,807	0.030%	80
3	3,035	1.38%	42	972,513	0.030%	293
4	2,689	1.38%	37	497,321	0.030%	150
5	616	1.38%	9	97,271	0.030%	29
6	1,719	1.38%	24	594,544	0.030%	179
7	1,869	1.38%	26	494,355	0.030%	149
8	4,163	1.38%	57	926,278	0.030%	279
9	4,286	1.38%	59	1,250,479	0.030%	376
10	7,950	1.38%	110	1,067,399	0.030%	321
11	5,840	1.38%	81	335,468	0.030%	101
12	14,542	1.38%	201	1,318,779	0.030%	397
13	7,257	1.38%	100	634,709	0.030%	191
14	3,739	1.38%	52	247,852	0.030%	75
15	3,160	1.38%	44	177,670	0.030%	53
16	1,937	1.38%	27	97,937	0.030%	29
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65,022</b>		<b>897</b>	<b>9,023,257</b>		<b>2,716</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 122: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heater, Compressor**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	144	0.00%	0	17,558	0.000%	0
2	1,391	1.38%	19	105,894	0.033%	35
3	7,699	1.38%	106	553,186	0.033%	185
4	3,417	1.38%	47	288,786	0.033%	97
5	285	1.38%	4	45,671	0.033%	15
6	2,243	1.38%	31	322,513	0.033%	108
7	5,156	1.38%	71	307,272	0.033%	103
8	8,600	1.38%	119	515,137	0.033%	172
9	10,302	1.38%	142	1,117,605	0.033%	373
10	4,306	1.38%	59	329,302	0.033%	110
11	1,173	1.38%	16	85,339	0.033%	29
12	5,537	1.38%	76	471,876	0.033%	158
13	1,009	1.38%	14	157,075	0.033%	52
14	1,446	1.38%	20	83,480	0.033%	28
15	373	1.38%	5	41,152	0.033%	14
16	187	1.38%	3	28,066	0.033%	9
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53,268</b>		<b>733</b>	<b>4,469,912</b>		<b>1,488</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 123: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heating, Temperature**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	359	27%	97	44,875	0.59%	263
2	1,861	27%	501	265,807	0.59%	1,560
3	3,035	27%	817	972,513	0.59%	5,707
4	2,689	27%	724	497,321	0.59%	2,919
5	616	27%	166	97,271	0.59%	571
6	1,719	27%	463	594,544	0.59%	3,489
7	1,869	27%	503	494,355	0.59%	2,901
8	4,163	27%	1,120	926,278	0.59%	5,436
9	4,286	27%	1,153	1,250,479	0.59%	7,339
10	7,950	27%	2,139	1,067,399	0.59%	6,264
11	5,840	27%	1,572	335,468	0.59%	1,969
12	14,542	27%	3,913	1,318,779	0.59%	7,740
13	7,257	27%	1,953	634,709	0.59%	3,725
14	3,739	27%	1,006	247,852	0.59%	1,455
15	3,160	27%	850	177,670	0.59%	1,043
16	1,937	27%	521	97,937	0.59%	575
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65,022</b>		<b>17,497</b>	<b>9,023,257</b>		<b>52,955</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 124: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Crankcase Heater, Temperature**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	144	0%	0	17,558	0.00%	0
2	1,391	27%	374	105,894	0.65%	690
3	7,699	27%	2,072	553,186	0.65%	3,605
4	3,417	27%	920	288,786	0.65%	1,882
5	285	27%	77	45,671	0.65%	298
6	2,243	27%	604	322,513	0.65%	2,102
7	5,156	27%	1,387	307,272	0.65%	2,002
8	8,600	27%	2,314	515,137	0.65%	3,357
9	10,302	27%	2,772	1,117,605	0.65%	7,282
10	4,306	27%	1,159	329,302	0.65%	2,146
11	1,173	27%	316	85,339	0.65%	556
12	5,537	27%	1,490	471,876	0.65%	3,075
13	1,009	27%	272	157,075	0.65%	1,024
14	1,446	27%	389	83,480	0.65%	544
15	373	27%	100	41,152	0.65%	268
16	187	27%	50	28,066	0.65%	183
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53,268</b>		<b>14,296</b>	<b>4,469,912</b>		<b>29,012</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 125: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Single Family Buildings by Climate Zone – Refrigerant Charge**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	359	88.2%	316.638	44,875	0.84%	378
2	1,861	0.0%	0	265,807	0.00%	0
3	3,035	88.2%	2,677	972,513	0.84%	8,182
4	2,689	88.2%	2,372	497,321	0.84%	4,184
5	616	88.2%	543	97,271	0.84%	818
6	1,719	88.2%	1,516	594,544	0.84%	5,002
7	1,869	88.2%	1,648	494,355	0.84%	4,159
8	4,163	0.0%	0	926,278	0.00%	0
9	4,286	0.0%	0	1,250,479	0.00%	0
10	7,950	0.0%	0	1,067,399	0.00%	0
11	5,840	0.0%	0	335,468	0.00%	0
12	14,542	0.0%	0	1,318,779	0.00%	0
13	7,257	0.0%	0	634,709	0.00%	0
14	3,739	0.0%	0	247,852	0.00%	0
15	3,160	0.0%	0	177,670	0.00%	0
16	1,937	88.2%	1,708	97,937	0.84%	824
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65,022</b>		<b>10,782</b>	<b>9,023,257</b>		<b>23,547</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

**Table 126: Estimated New Construction and Existing Building Stock for Multifamily Buildings by Climate Zone – Refrigerant Charge**

Building Climate Zone	Total Homes Completed in 2026 (New Construction) [A]	Percent of New Buildings Impacted by Proposal [B]	New Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 C = A x B	Total Existing Homes in 2026 [D]	Percent of Existing Buildings Impacted by Proposal [E]	Buildings Impacted by Proposal in 2026 F = D x E
1	144	0%	0	17,558	0%	0
2	1,391	0%	0	105,894	0%	0
3	7,699	0%	0	553,186	0%	0
4	3,417	0%	0	288,786	0%	0
5	285	0%	0	45,671	0%	0
6	2,243	0%	0	322,513	0%	0
7	5,156	0%	0	307,272	0%	0
8	8,600	0%	0	515,137	0%	0
9	10,302	0%	0	1,117,605	0%	0
10	4,306	0%	0	329,302	0%	0
11	1,173	0%	0	85,339	0%	0
12	5,537	0%	0	471,876	0%	0
13	1,009	0%	0	157,075	0%	0
14	1,446	0%	0	83,480	0%	0
15	373	0%	0	41,152	0%	0
16	187	0%	0	28,066	0%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53,268</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>4,469,912</b>		<b>0</b>

Source: (California Energy Commission 2022)

## Appendix B: Embedded Electricity in Water Methodology

---

There are no on-site water savings associated with the proposed code change.

# Appendix C: California Building Energy Code Compliance (CBECC) Software Specification

---

## Introduction

The purpose of this appendix is to present proposed revisions to CBECC for residential buildings (CBECC-Res) along with the supporting documentation that the CEC staff and the technical support contractors would need to approve and implement the software revisions. While this Appendix describes changes to CBECC-Res for single family buildings, similar updates would also apply.

## Technical Basis for Software Change

The proposed code changes would need to be incorporated into the software to accommodate updates to the Standard Design to match new mandatory and prescriptive requirements, incorporate software checks for mandatory requirements, and implement a new approach to reporting in the CF1R pertaining to design documentation for load calculations and system sizing, documentation of field verified supplementary heating lockout controls, defrost timer adjustment, and CCH control.

## Description of Software Change

### Background Information for Software Change

The proposed code change revises the mandatory and prescriptive CCH requirements, the mandatory supplementary heating requirements, the mandatory heat pump defrost requirements, and the prescriptive refrigerant charge requirements. The changes are summarized below.

- HVAC systems in all new construction, additions, and alterations projects would have a mandatory requirement to provide documentation and details of load calculations, system sizing, and duct/diffuser design. Minimum and maximum sizing limits would also be imposed to ensure adequate airflow.
- HVAC systems in all additions and alterations projects would have a mandatory requirement to use average infiltration assumptions (or blower door test) and allow simplifying assumptions in some authorized load calculations.
- For heat pumps in all climate zones except Climate Zone 15, a mandatory requirement would necessitate the installation and field verification of controls that lock out supplementary heating above a certain climate zone dependent outdoor temperature. Mandatory maximum strip heating capacity limits would

also be required. These mandatory requirements are not applicable to small homes 500 square feet and less.

- A mandatory requirement would necessitate that the defrost timer be set optimally for heat pumps in all climate zones. The requirement is not applicable to small homes 500 square feet and less in Climate Zones 5-10 and 15.
- Heat pumps and AC units in all climate zones are prescriptively required to have CCH that does not operate during compressor operation and does not operate when the outdoor dry bulb temperature is over 71°F.
- Prescriptive refrigerant charge verification is added for heat pumps in Climate Zones 1, 3-5, and 16 for new construction and Climate Zones 1, 3-7, and 16 for alterations. This requirement does not apply to small homes 500 square feet and less.

## **Existing CBECC-Res Building Energy Modeling Capabilities**

- Design:
  - Currently CBECC-Res autosizes all air conditioners and furnaces using a sizing factor of 1.2 and 2.0, respectively. CBECC-Res autosizes heat pumps for the Standard Design using a sizing factor of 1.2 for cooling and 0.75 for heating. Heat pump capacity is determined based on the large of the calculated heating and cooling loads, after the factors are applied. In the Proposed Design users are required to input heat pump heating capacity at 47°F and 17°F.
- Supplementary Heating:
  - Currently CBECC-Res does not have a limit on strip supplementary heating size or temperature dependent operation. The software assumes that any heating load not met by the primary heat pump system is met using supplementary heat.
- Defrost:
  - Currently CBECC-Res incorporates defrost operation between outdoor air temperatures of 17°F and 45°F. It assumes a 10 percent reduction to heat pump capacity and 2.5 percent reduction to heat pump power at 35°F. During defrost period (17°F to 45°F) capacity & power are calculated based on the slope between the rated values at 17°F and the discounted values at 35°F. The software accounts for the degraded capacity by adding supplemental electric resistance heating.
- Crankcase Heating:
  - Currently CBECC-Res models CCH by applying a parasitic load to the HVAC system of 33 Watts for systems under 3 tons and 11 Watts per ton

for systems over 3 tons when the outdoor dry bulb temperature is below 50 °F and the compressor is not operating. This was updated in the software in early 2023.

- Refrigerant Charge Verification
  - Currently CBECC-Res implements the prescriptive requirements for refrigerant charge verification in Climate Zones 2 and 8-15. The software accounts for this by applying a performance factor to cooling efficiency that changes based on refrigerant charge verification. If a system's refrigerant charge has been verified, the performance factor is set to 96 percent, meaning the system would operate at 96 percent of its rated efficiency. Systems without refrigerant charge verification have a lower performance factor of 90 percent. The software does not account for changes to the heating performance based on refrigerant charge in the way that it does for cooling performance.

## **Summary of Proposed Revisions to CBECC-Res**

The following changes would apply to CBECC-Res, California Simulation Engine, and both the Standard and Proposed Design:

- Design:
  - The software would be updated to apply a 1.1 sizing factor for heating and cooling for heat pumps and air conditioners. All systems would be autosized for both the Standard Design and Proposed Design. Furnaces would maintain the current 2.0 sizing factor.
- Supplementary Heating:
  - The software would be updated to reflect the mandatory requirement that supplemental heating does not occur above 35°F. This would not apply to homes in Climate Zone 15 and small homes 500 square feet and less in any climate zone.
- Defrost:
  - The CBECC-Res software team is currently updating the way that the software models heat pump defrost with the goal of incorporating the new defrost model into the software before 2025. The Statewide CASE Team would work with the software team to ensure that savings from optimal defrost timer adjustments are accounted for in the new model.
- Crankcase Heating:
  - The Standard Design would be updated to turn off the CCH above 71°F instead of the current threshold of 50°F.

- The Proposed Design would be updated to allow the user to indicate if the prescriptive control capability is present that turns off the CCH when the outdoor dry bulb temperature is over 71°F.
- Both the Standard Design and the Proposed Design CCH sizing would be updated for air conditioners. For heat pumps the crankcase heater capacity would remain at 33 W for systems with a capacity under 3 tons and 11 W/ton for systems with a capacity 3 tons or larger. For air conditioners the CCH capacity would be reduced to 30 W for systems with a capacity under 3 tons and 10 W/ton for systems with a capacity 3 tons or larger.
- Refrigerant Charge Verification
  - The software would be updated to reflect the prescriptive requirement that charge verification would be added in Climate Zones 1, 3-5, and 16 for heat pumps in new construction except for single family homes 500 square feet and less. Refrigerant charge verification would become prescriptive for alterations in Climate Zones 1, 3-7 and 16. Refrigerant charge would continue to be prescriptive in Climate Zones 2 and 8-16 for all size homes and both air conditioners and heat pumps.
  - The software would be updated to reflect a heating performance factor of 92 percent for heat pumps without charge verification and a heating performance factor of 96 percent for heat pumps with charge verification. These factors would be applied to the heat pump coefficient of performance calculated based on the rated HSPF.

## User Inputs to CBECC-Res

The software would add or revise the following inputs to integrate the mandatory and prescriptive requirements proposed in this report:

- Design
  - The user input for heat pump heating capacity at 47°F and 17°F would be removed and all systems would be autosized within the software.
- Crankcase Heating:
  - A checkbox would be added that allows the user to indicate whether an OCST was installed, if there was a disclosure regarding the CCH control, and to provide additional information regarding CCH control.

## Simulation Engine Inputs

### California Simulation Engine Inputs

California Simulation Engine’s “RSYS” object would be changed in the following ways in order to accommodate the measures proposed in this CASE Report. This sections provides additional detail to augment the descriptions in the Summary of Proposed Revisions to CBECC-Res above.

- Crankcase Heating:
  - To accommodate the mandatory requirement that the CCH does not turn on during compressor operation, the default “rsParElec” input should be defined in the following ways:
    - For heat pumps with a capacity greater than 3 tons:  
$$rsParElec = (1.-@RSYSRes[\%c\%s\%c].prior.H.hrsOn) * @RSYS[\%c\%s\%c].capNomC * 11./12000$$
    - For air conditioners with a capacity greater than 3 tons:  
$$rsParElec = (1.-@RSYSRes[\%c\%s\%c].prior.H.hrsOn) * @RSYS[\%c\%s\%c].capNomC * 10./12000$$
    - For heat pumps with a capacity less than 3 tons:  
$$rsParElec = (1.-@RSYSRes[\%c\%s\%c].prior.H.hrsOn) * 33$$
    - For air conditioners with a capacity less than 3 tons:  
$$rsParElec = (1.-@RSYSRes[\%c\%s\%c].prior.H.hrsOn) * 30$$
  - To accommodate the prescriptive requirement that the CCH does not turn on when the outdoor dry bulb temperature is above 55°F, the “rsParElec” input should be defined in the following way:  
$$rsParElec = (\$tdboHrAv < 55.) * (1.-@RSYSRes[\%c\%s\%c].prior.H.hrsOn) * [Watts\ of\ CCH]$$

Note that the part of the equation that incorporates the outdoor dry bulb temperature should only be used by CSE if the user indicates that a heat pump’s CCH has temperature control capability.
- Refrigerant Charge Verification:
  - An input similar to the “rsFChg” object would be added to model the impact of refrigerant charge on heat pump heating efficiency. This factor would be multiplied by the coefficient of performance at 47°F and 17°F which is calculated within CSE from the user-entered rated HSPF value. The new refrigerant charge heating factor would be 0.92 if refrigerant charge verification is not performed and 0.96 if refrigerant charge verification is performed.

## Simulation Engine Output Variables

The following output variables would be reviewed to confirm that the updates have been integrated properly into the software. CSE input files would also be reviewed to confirm that inputs align with expectations.

- Compliance rates and annual energy use.
- Hourly primary heating, supplementary heating, and cooling energy use.
- Hourly “Defrost Heat” reported by the “RSys Hourly Out” CSE report.

## Compliance Report

The following changes need to be made to the CF-1R-PRF-01E performance compliance report to reflect new requirements proposed by this report. Definitions for terms to be added to the Standards Data Dictionary are under development.

- Design:
  - Heating capacities in HVAC – HEAT PUMPS section should be removed.
  - HERS verification of heating capacity should be removed.
  - The following notes should be added to the report, perhaps in the Required Special Features section.
    - A load calculation report must be submitted with this CF-1R in compliance with Section 10-102(a)2A. The report may be generated from load calculation software or custom calculations may be provided along with documentation of the inputs, outputs, and algorithms used.
    - For projects with a duct system that serves heating or cooling in the Proposed Design:
      - A duct design report must be submitted with this CF-1R in compliance with Section 10-102(a)2B.
- Crankcase Heating
  - The “HVAC HEAT PUMPS” section should add a column indicating whether the prescriptive CCH temperature control is included.

## Compliance Verification

See Appendix E for details on compliance verification for the proposed measures in this CASE Report.

## Testing and Confirming CBECC-Res Building Energy Modeling

Table 127 describes the recommended testing to confirm software updates have been properly incorporated. Tests should be completed with the standard geometry prototypes that are set up to match the Standard Design properties. As part of these tests the compliance report would also be reviewed to confirm that the recommended changes are incorporated. Tests for the defrost measure would be determined once the updated approach for modeling defrost is finalized.

**Table 127: Proposed New Construction CBECC-Res Testing**

Measure	Climate Zone	Prototype	Objects Modified	Parameter Name	Design Parameter Value	Expected Test Outcome
<b>Heating Design (Mandatory)</b>	CZ 1 and 16	Any prototype	Heat Pump System	HtgSzngFctr	1.1	0% compliance
<b>Cooling Design (Mandatory)</b>	CZs 6-10, 15	1665 Alterations	Heat Pump System/Cooling System: Cooling Component	Sizing Factor	1.1	0% compliance
<b>Supplementary Heating (Mandatory)</b>	CZ 1-14, 16	Any prototype, with setback thermostat	Heat Pump System	HtgSzngFctr	1.1	0% compliance. Review hourly output to confirm if backup heat turns off above an outdoor air temperature of 35F.
<b>Defrost (Mandatory)</b>	Any 1 CZ	Any prototype	Heat Pump System	TBD	TBD	TBD
<b>Crankcase Heating</b>	Any 1 CZ	Any prototype	Heat Pump System & Cooling System: Cooling Component	Crankcase heater prescriptive control checkbox (to be added)	Checked	0% compliance. Review cse file to confirm that crankcase heater in Standard and Proposed Designs is sized properly and operates only when compressor is off and outdoor temperature <= 71F
<b>Crankcase Heating</b>	Any 1 CZ	Any prototype	Heat Pump System & Cooling System: Cooling Component	Crankcase heater prescriptive control checkbox (to be added)	Unchecked	<0% compliance. Review cse file to confirm that crankcase heater in Proposed Design operates at all times when the compressor is off.
<b>Refrigerant Charge Verification (Prescriptive)</b>	CZ 1, 3-5, 16	2100 or 2700	Heat Pump System: Cooling Component	AC Charge	Verified	0% compliance

Measure	Climate Zone	Prototype	Objects Modified	Parameter Name	Design Parameter Value	Expected Test Outcome
Refrigerant Charge Verification (Prescriptive)	CZ 1, 3-5,16	2100 or 2700	Cooling System: Cooling Component	AC Charge	Unverified	0% compliance
Refrigerant Charge Verification (Prescriptive)	CZ 1, 3-5,16	500	Heat Pump System: Cooling Component	AC Charge	Unverified	0% compliance
Refrigerant Charge Verification (Prescriptive)	CZ 1, 3-7,16	1665 Alterations	Heat Pump System: Cooling Component	AC Charge	Verified	0% compliance
Refrigerant Charge Verification (Prescriptive)	CZ 1, 3-7,16	1665 Alterations	Cooling System: Cooling Component	AC Charge	Unverified	0% compliance

## Description of Changes to ACM Reference Manual

See Section 8.4 for proposed markup of the ACM Reference Manual.

# Appendix D: Environmental Analysis

---

## Potential Significant Environmental Effect of Proposal

The CEC is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the 2025 Energy Code and must evaluate any potential significant environmental effects resulting from the proposed standards. A “significant effect on the environment” is “a substantial adverse change in the physical conditions which exist in the area affected by the proposed project.” (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15002(g).)

The Statewide CASE Team has considered the environmental benefits and adverse impacts of its proposal including, but not limited to, an evaluation of factors contained in the California Code of Regulations, Title 14, section 15064 and determined that the proposal would not result in a significant effect on the environment.

## Direct Environmental Impacts

### Direct Environmental Benefits

Direct environmental benefits from this proposal are energy savings, peak demand savings, and GHG emission reductions.

### Direct Adverse Environmental Impacts

There are no direct adverse environmental impacts from the code change proposals.

## Indirect Environmental Impacts

### Indirect Environmental Benefits

There are no indirect environmental benefits from the code change proposals.

### Direct Adverse Environmental Impacts

There are no direct adverse environmental impacts from the code change proposals.

## Mitigation Measures

The Statewide CASE Team has considered opportunities to minimize the environmental impact of the proposal, including an evaluation of “specific economic, environmental, legal, social, and technological factors.” (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15021.) The Statewide CASE Team determined this measure would not result in significant direct or indirect adverse environmental impacts and therefore, did not develop any mitigation measures.

## **Reasonable Alternatives to Proposal**

If an EIR is developed, CEQA requires a lead agency to evaluate reasonable alternatives to proposals that would have a significant adverse effect on the environment, including a “no project” alternative. (Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14, §§ 15002(h)(4) and 15126.6.)

The Statewide CASE Team has considered alternatives to the proposal and believes that no alternative achieves the purpose of the proposal with less environmental effect.

## **Water Use and Water Quality Impacts Methodology**

The proposed code change produces no impacts to water quality or water use.

## **Embodied Carbon in Materials**

Accounting for embodied carbon emissions is important for understanding the full picture of a proposed code change’s environmental impacts. The embodied carbon in materials analysis accounts specifically for emissions produced during the “cradle-to-gate” phase: emissions produced from material extraction, manufacturing, and transportation. Understanding these emissions ensures the proposed measure considers these early stages of materials production and manufacturing instead of emissions reductions from energy efficiency alone.

The Statewide CASE Team determined there were no material impacts for the proposed measures and therefore did not calculate emissions impacts associated with embodied carbon from a change in materials.

## Appendix E: Discussion of Impacts of Compliance Process on Market Actors

---

This appendix discusses how the recommended compliance process, which is described in Section 3.5 could impact various market actors. Table 128 identifies the market actors who would play a role in complying with the proposed change, the tasks for which they are responsible, how the proposed code change could impact their existing workflow, and ways negative impacts could be mitigated. The information contained in Table 128 is a summary of key feedback the Statewide CASE Team received when speaking to market actors about the compliance implications of the proposed code changes. Appendix F summarizes the stakeholder engagement that the Statewide CASE Team conducted when developing and refining the code change proposal, including gathering information on the compliance process.

Residential HVAC design (ACCA Manual J, S and D, or equivalent) is already required by the energy code, the green code and the mechanical code, but it has been poorly enforced, partly due to lack of consistent documentation requirements and a lack of understanding on the part of building departments in terms of what to ask for and how to plan check it. For new construction tract homes and larger commercial homes, where there is a budget to hire an independent consultant (e.g., mechanical engineer) to design the HVAC system it is more common for a building department to require submittal of mechanical plans, but plan checking usually only addresses specific mechanical code issues such as venting, combustion air, gas piping, clearances, accessibility, etc. Rarely do plan checkers know how to check load calculations, equipment selection and duct designs.

This measure is intended to clarify and formalize the compliance submittal requirements as well as the equipment sizing criteria. It would also add some new requirements for load calculations and sizing requirements for alterations (basic equipment changeouts) to take advantage of downsizing possibilities and to ensure comfort and efficiency, and thus homeowner satisfaction, of electrification efforts (replacing gas furnaces with heat pump systems).

On projects that don't have independent designers each contractor bidding on a project must do a basic design to determine the cost of a system. Because the odds of winning each bid is low, this is very expensive duplicative effort and incurs a cost on all contractors. This has led to shortcuts and rules of thumb, rather than proper designs. Formalizing the process and improving enforcement of the design requirements would add to this cost burden, however, using independent consultants, hired by the homeowner, can greatly reduce this cost burden and have other positive impacts as well. Facilitating and supporting a robust network of independent consultants doing at

least part of the HVAC design process (e.g., load calculations) would reduce the duplicated efforts by multiple contractors bidding on the same project, ensure consistency of sizing and bids, ensure that load calculations are actually done by a qualified individual. Note: load calculations require no more expertise than performing computer performance energy compliance simulations. Energy consultants are ideally suited for this work. They have more experience with this type of data input and computer software than most HVAC contractors. The vast majority of the information needed to run a computer performance model is exactly the same as what is needed for a load calculation.

Overall, the workflow process would be changed very little by this measure. The biggest change would come from the improved enforcement of the requirements adding additional workload on the market actors who are not currently meeting the requirements.

Table 128 identifies the market actors who would play a role in complying with the proposed change, the tasks for which they would be responsible, their objectives in completing the tasks, how the proposed code change could impact their existing workflow, and ways negative impacts could be mitigated.

**Table 128: Roles of Market Actors in the Proposed Compliance Process**

Market Actor	Task(s) in current compliance process relating to the CASE measure	How would the proposed measure impact the current task(s) or workflow?	How would the proposed code change impact compliance and enforcement?	Opportunities to minimize negative impacts of compliance requirement
<p><b>HVAC Designer</b></p>	<p><i>Much of this measure is already required by code but is poorly enforced. These requirements are mostly being clarified and formalized by this measure along with some new requirements.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Note: For new construction production homes and some custom homes: the HVAC designer is often an independent consultant (e.g., mechanical engineer)</li> <li>• Note: For alterations to existing HVAC systems, smaller additions and some custom homes: The HVAC designer is usually the installing contractor (design/build).</li> <li>• Residential HVAC design involves four basic steps:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Collect information about the house (geometry) and energy features (insulation, levels, leakage rate, window types, etc.)</li> <li>2. Perform room-by-room load calculations if duct system is also being designed otherwise only a simple block load is needed.</li> <li>3. Select equipment such that the design capacity is appropriate to the home’s heating and cooling loads.</li> <li>4. Design the duct system if new or being replaced. For simple changeouts, this is rarely done.</li> </ol> </li> <li>• The design is submitted to the building department as part of the permit application process. This is currently required for newly installed systems, but very poorly enforced due to lack of training and building departments not knowing what to ask for or how to plan check the designs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The overall workflow process would not change dramatically. It would be clarified, formalized and better enforced.</li> <li>• Increased enforcement of this measure would increase the workload on HVAC designers who are not currently meeting the requirements.</li> <li>• Clarification of the existing code requirements and documentation would make the process easier and more consistent between projects.</li> <li>• Requiring load calculations for simple changeouts, even with “simplifying assumptions” would increase the workload and add cost to the HVAC designers.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Currently, there are no formalized documentation requirements for residential HVAC designs. The requirements, when enforced, vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, making compliance difficult.</li> <li>• This measure would clarify the documentation requirements for residential HVAC design submittals.</li> <li>• This measure would clarify and formalize the equipment selection process and sizing criteria for residential HVAC systems.</li> <li>• Some additional compliance documents would need to be learned and processed by HVAC designers.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training on the HVAC design process would help increase compliance with the current HVAC design requirements and the new requirements required by this measure.</li> <li>• There is already extensive training provided by utilities and other entities on residential HVAC design process.</li> <li>• Facilitating and supporting a robust network of independent consultants doing at least part of the HVAC design process would reduce the duplicated efforts by multiple contractors bidding on the same project</li> </ul>

Market Actor	Task(s) in current compliance process relating to the CASE measure	How would the proposed measure impact the current task(s) or workflow?	How would the proposed code change impact compliance and enforcement?	Opportunities to minimize negative impacts of compliance requirement
<b>Plans Examiner</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The plans examiner is responsible for reviewing the HVAC design during the permitting process.</li> <li>They collect the required documentation and specifications, review them for accuracy and completeness.</li> </ul>	Increased enforcement of this measure would increase the workload on plan checkers who are not currently enforcing the requirements.	Some additional compliance documents would need to be learned by plans examiners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Training on the HVAC design requirements would help increase enforcement with the current HVAC design requirements and the new requirements required by this measure.</li> <li>There is already extensive training provided by utilities and other entities on enforcement of the residential HVAC design requirements.</li> </ul>
<b>CEC</b>	The CEC develops the energy codes that affect residential HVAC design and provides support and training.	Increased enforcement of this measure would increase the amount of questions that arise on this topic and the amount of training that is required.	Some additional compliance documents would need to be developed by the CEC and handled by the HERS registries.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Training on the HVAC design requirements would help increase enforcement with the current HVAC design requirements and the new requirements required by this measure.</li> <li>There is already extensive training provided by utilities and other entities on enforcement of the residential HVAC design requirements.</li> </ul>
<b>Plumbing Designer</b>	Independent plumbing designers sometimes design the gas piping for gas heat systems, primarily for new construction, but rarely for alterations.	Increased enforcement of this measure would cause a small increase the workload on plumbing designers in that it might also increase the need for coordination with the HVAC designer	No impact	N/A

Market Actor	Task(s) in current compliance process relating to the CASE measure	How would the proposed measure impact the current task(s) or workflow?	How would the proposed code change impact compliance and enforcement?	Opportunities to minimize negative impacts of compliance requirement
<b>Electrical Designer</b>	Independent electrical designers sometimes design the wiring circuits for HVAC systems, primarily for new construction, but rarely for alterations.	Increased enforcement of this measure would cause a small increase in the workload on electrical designers in that it might increase the need for coordination with the HVAC designer	No impact	N/A
<b>Commissioning Agent</b>	Commissioning agents are rarely involved in residential HVAC design.	No impact	No impact	N/A
<b>Architect</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Architects are loosely involved in the HVAC design for new homes, but rarely for alterations.</li> <li>• They coordinate with the HVAC designer for location of equipment, ducts, access, registers, etc.</li> </ul>	Increased enforcement of this measure would cause a small increase the workload on architects in that it might increase the need for coordination with the HVAC designer	Some additional compliance documents would need to be learned and processed by architects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training on the HVAC design process would help increase compliance with the current HVAC design requirements and the new requirements required by this measure.</li> <li>• There is already extensive training provided by utilities and other entities on residential HVAC design process.</li> </ul>

Market Actor	Task(s) in current compliance process relating to the CASE measure	How would the proposed measure impact the current task(s) or workflow?	How would the proposed code change impact compliance and enforcement?	Opportunities to minimize negative impacts of compliance requirement
<b>Inspector</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The building inspector is responsible for the field verification of the system to meet the applicable codes and to be consistent with the design.</li> <li>The compare the design to the as-built condition, including equipment specifications, location, duct sizes, register locations, etc.</li> </ul>	Increased enforcement of this measure would increase the workload on building inspectors who are not currently enforcing the requirements.	Some additional compliance documents would need to be learned by field inspectors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Training on the HVAC design requirements would help increase enforcement of the current HVAC design requirements and the new requirements required by this measure.</li> <li>There is already extensive training provided by utilities and other entities on enforcement of the residential HVAC design requirements.</li> </ul>
<b>HERS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ATTs are not involved in the single family residential HVAC design process or verification of the design. HERS Raters verify some features that are accounted for in the design process (e.g., duct leakage, airflow, infiltration, etc.)</li> <li>When performance credit is taken for special duct design criteria, the HERS Rater would verify the overall HVAC design.</li> </ul>	Increased enforcement of this measure would cause a small increase the workload on HERS Raters working in jurisdictions that are not currently enforcing the HVAC design requirements in that it might also increase the awareness and enforcement of the HERS verification process.	Some additional compliance documents would need to be developed by the CEC and handled by the HERS registries.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Training on the HVAC design requirements would help increase enforcement with the current HVAC design requirements and the new requirements required by this measure.</li> <li>There is already extensive training provided by utilities and other entities on enforcement of the residential HVAC design requirements.</li> </ul>

## Appendix F: Summary of Stakeholder Engagement

---

Collaborating with stakeholders that might be impacted by proposed changes is a critical aspect of the Statewide CASE Team's efforts. The Statewide CASE Team aims to work with interested parties to identify and address issues associated with the proposed code changes so that the proposals presented to the CEC in this Draft CASE Report are generally supported. Public stakeholders provide valuable feedback on draft analyses and help identify and address challenges to adoption including: cost effectiveness, market barriers, technical barriers, compliance and enforcement challenges, or potential impacts on human health or the environment. Some stakeholders also provide data that the Statewide CASE Team uses to support analyses.

This appendix summarizes the stakeholder engagement that the Statewide CASE Team conducted when developing and refining the recommendations presented in this report.

### Utility-Sponsored Stakeholder Meetings

Utility-sponsored stakeholder meetings provide an opportunity to learn about the Statewide CASE Team's role in the advocacy effort and to hear about specific code change proposals that the Statewide CASE Team is pursuing for the 2025 code cycle. The goal of stakeholder meetings is to solicit input on proposals from stakeholders early enough to ensure the proposals and the supporting analyses are vetted and have as few outstanding issues as possible. To provide transparency in what the Statewide CASE Team is considering for code change proposals, during these meetings the Statewide CASE Team asks for feedback on:

- Proposed code changes
- Draft code language
- Draft assumptions and results for analyses
- Data to support assumptions
- Compliance and enforcement, and
- Technical and market feasibility

The Statewide CASE Team hosted a stakeholder meeting Residential HVAC performance via webinar described in Table 129. Please see below for the dates and link to event pages on [Title24Stakeholders.com](https://www.title24stakeholders.com). Materials from the meeting, such as slide presentations, proposal summaries with code language, and meeting notes, are included in the bibliography section of this report.

**Table 129: Utility-Sponsored Stakeholder Meetings**

Meeting Name	Meeting Date	Event Page from Title24stakeholders.com
First Round of Residential HVAC Performance Utility-Sponsored Stakeholder Meeting	Tuesday, January 24, 2023	<a href="https://title24stakeholders.com/event/residential-hvac-utility-sponsored-stakeholder-meeting/">https://title24stakeholders.com/event/residential-hvac-utility-sponsored-stakeholder-meeting/</a>

The utility-sponsored stakeholder was important for providing transparency and a forum for stakeholders to offer feedback on measures being pursued by the Statewide CASE Team. The objectives of the meeting were to solicit input on the scope of the 2025 code cycle proposals; request data and feedback on the specific approaches, assumptions, and methodologies for the energy impacts and cost-effectiveness analyses; and understand potential technical and market barriers. The Statewide CASE Team also presented initial draft code language for stakeholders to review.

The utility-sponsored stakeholder meeting was open to the public. For the stakeholder meeting, two promotional emails were distributed from [info@title24stakeholders.com](mailto:info@title24stakeholders.com). One email was sent to the entire Title 24 Stakeholders listserv, totaling over 3,000 individuals, and a second email was sent to a targeted list of individuals on the listserv depending on their subscription preferences. The Title 24 Stakeholders' website listserv is an opt-in service and includes individuals from a wide variety of industries and trades, including manufacturers, advocacy groups, local government, and building and energy professionals. The meeting was posted on the Title 24 Stakeholders' LinkedIn page (and cross-promoted on the CEC LinkedIn page) two weeks before the meeting to reach out to individuals and larger organizations and channels outside of the listserv. The Statewide CASE Team conducted extensive personal outreach to stakeholders identified in initial work plans who had not yet opted in to the listserv. Exported webinar meeting data captured attendance numbers and individual comments, and recorded outcomes of live attendee polls to evaluate stakeholder participation and support.

## Statewide CASE Team Communications

The Statewide CASE Team held personal communications over email and phone with numerous stakeholders when developing this report, listed in Table 130.

**Table 130: Engaged Stakeholders**

<b>Organization/Individual Name</b>	<b>Market Role</b>	<b>Mentioned in CASE Report Sections</b>
<b>Greg Mahoney, County of Sacramento Building Department</b>	Code Official	No
<b>Brower Mechanical, Duayne Knickerbocker</b>	Contractor	No
<b>CPHAC, Bob Wiseman</b>	Contractor	No
<b>Greiner HAC, Nathan Breeder</b>	Contractor	No
<b>Villara, Brian Longhurst</b>	Contractor	No
<b>ACCA, Wes Davis</b>	Contractor Association	No
<b>SMACNA, Eli Howard</b>	Contractor Association	No
<b>Siglers, LesKarcher, Jason Phillis</b>	Distributor	No
<b>CalCERTS, David Choo</b>	HERS Provider	No
<b>Daikin, Rhohei Hinokuma, Hiroshi Yoh</b>	Manufacturer	No
<b>Lennox, Dave Winningham</b>	Manufacturer	No
<b>Mitsubishi, Chris Bradt, Kimberly Llwellyn, Ken Johnson, Sam Beeson</b>	Manufacturer	No
<b>NIST, Vance Payne</b>	Researcher	No
<b>University Nebraska, David Yuill</b>	Researcher	No
<b>Ecobee, Andrew Gaichuk</b>	Thermostat Manufacturer	No
<b>Nest, Michael Blasnik</b>	Thermostat Manufacturer	No
<b>measureQuick, Jim Bergman</b>	Tool Provider	No

## Engagement with DIPs

None.

## Contractor Survey

A survey was developed by Evergreen Economics, to assess current practices for HVAC contractors and estimate time and costs for some of the proposed measures. It was distributed to members of the Title24 Stakeholder mailing list, and industry allies were asked to further distribute the survey. 27 responses were received from 5/8/2023 to 6/28/2023.

## Stakeholder Focus Group

A two-part Stakeholder Focus Group was held online on May 16 and 26. Individuals representing key stakeholder categories were invited to attend. Attendees were:

- Contractors: Brian Longhurst (Villara), Bob Wiseman (Canoga Park Heating and Air, IHACI)
- HERS and Code Officials: David Choo (CalCERTS), Greg Mahoney (County of Sacramento Building Department)
- Manufacturers/Distributors: Les Karcher and Jason Phillis (Siglers), Dave Winningham (Lennox), Hiroshi Yoh and Ryohei Hinokuma (Daikin), Ken Johnson, Kimberly Llewellyn, Chris Bradt, and Sam Beeson (Mitsubishi)
- Thermostat Manufacturers: Andrew Gaichuk (ecobee), Michael Blasnik (NEST)
- Others:
  - Res HVAC CASE Team: Kristin Heinemeier, Claudia Pingatore, Alea German, Keith Saechao, David Springer, and Stephen Becker (Frontier Energy), Marshall Hunt (Marshall B Hunt, P.E.), Russ King (Coded Energy), Parker Wall and Ritesh Nayyar (TRC), Abram Conant (Proctor Engineering Group), John McHugh (McHugh Energy),
  - Statewide CASE Team: Bach Tsan and Javier Perez (CEC), Mark Alatorre and Kelly Cunningham (PG&E), Cosimina Panetti (Energy Solutions), Brian Selby (Brian Selby, Inc.).

At these meetings, the current proposals were presented for design, supplementary heating (and other configuration issues), and refrigerant charge verification. Stakeholders were asked to discuss their comments on each proposal, and also to submit their thoughts in writing following the meetings.

## Appendix G: Energy Cost Savings in Nominal Dollars

The CEC requested energy cost savings over the 30-year period of analysis in both 2026 present value dollars (2026 PV\$) and nominal dollars. The cost effectiveness analysis uses energy cost values in 2026 PV\$. Costs and cost effectiveness using and 2026 PV\$ are presented in Section 6 of this report. This appendix presents energy cost savings in nominal dollars for all applicable single family cases.

**Table 131: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Design – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$608	\$0	\$608
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$63	\$0	\$63

**Table 132: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Design – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$102	\$0	\$102
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	N/A	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$272	\$0	\$272

**Table 133: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Design – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$942	\$0	\$942
2	\$69	\$0	\$69
3	\$16	\$0	\$16
4	\$250	\$0	\$250
5	\$11	\$0	\$11
6	\$77	\$0	\$77
7	\$113	\$0	\$113
8	\$212	\$0	\$212
9	\$212	\$0	\$212
10	\$275	\$0	\$275
11	\$448	\$0	\$448
12	\$231	\$0	\$231
13	\$566	\$0	\$566
14	\$325	\$0	\$325
15	\$1,013	\$0	\$1,013
16	\$980	\$0	\$980

**Table 134: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Supplementary Heating – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$6,238	\$0	\$6,238
2	\$3,332	\$0	\$3,332
3	\$2,607	\$0	\$2,607
4	\$3,514	\$0	\$3,514
5	\$2,407	\$0	\$2,407
6	\$655	\$0	\$655
7	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
8	\$678	\$0	\$678
9	\$984	\$0	\$984
10	\$987	\$0	\$987
11	\$2,385	\$0	\$2,385
12	\$3,073	\$0	\$3,073
13	\$1,759	\$0	\$1,759
14	\$2,734	\$0	\$2,734
15	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
16	\$2,643	\$0	\$2,643

**Table 135: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Supplementary Heating – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$5,383	\$0	\$5,383
2	\$1,909	\$0	\$1,909
3	\$2,304	\$0	\$2,304
4	\$1,767	\$0	\$1,767
5	\$2,294	\$0	\$2,294
6	\$572	\$0	\$572
7	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
8	\$997	\$0	\$997
9	\$1,111	\$0	\$1,111
10	\$999	\$0	\$999
11	\$1,569	\$0	\$1,569
12	\$1,931	\$0	\$1,931
13	\$1,358	\$0	\$1,358
14	\$1,896	\$0	\$1,896
15	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
16	\$2,230	\$0	\$2,230

**Table 136: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Defrost – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$2,747	\$0	\$2,747
2	\$1,855	\$0	\$1,855
3	\$734	\$0	\$734
4	\$1,508	\$0	\$1,508
5	\$813	\$0	\$813
6	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
7	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
8	\$111	\$0	\$111
9	\$332	\$0	\$332
10	\$387	\$0	\$387
11	\$1,461	\$0	\$1,461
12	\$1,539	\$0	\$1,539
13	\$1,161	\$0	\$1,161
14	\$1,739	\$0	\$1,739
15	\$142	\$0	\$142
16	\$2,576	\$0	\$2,576

**Table 137: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Defrost – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$385	\$0	\$385
2	\$147	\$0	\$147
3	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
4	\$136	\$0	\$136
5	N/A	\$0	N/A
6	N/A	\$0	N/A
7	N/A	\$0	N/A
8	N/A	\$0	N/A
9	N/A	\$0	N/A
10	N/A	\$0	N/A
11	\$124	\$0	\$124
12	\$136	\$0	\$136
13	\$113	\$0	\$113
14	\$170	\$0	\$170
15	N/A	\$0	N/A
16	\$260	\$0	\$260

**Table 138: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Defrost – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$4,633	\$0	\$4,633
2	\$2,862	\$0	\$2,862
3	\$1,394	\$0	\$1,394
4	\$2,599	\$0	\$2,599
5	\$1,620	\$0	\$1,620
6	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
7	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
8	\$490	\$0	\$490
9	\$829	\$0	\$829
10	\$942	\$0	\$942
11	\$2,975	\$0	\$2,975
12	\$3,051	\$0	\$3,051
13	\$2,298	\$0	\$2,298
14	\$3,770	\$0	\$3,770
15	\$415	\$0	\$415
16	\$5,202	\$0	\$5,202

**Table 139: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Crankcase – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$846	\$0	\$846
2	\$878	\$0	\$878
3	\$403	\$0	\$403
4	\$1,278	\$0	\$1,278
5	\$437	\$0	\$437
6	\$676	\$0	\$676
7	\$781	\$0	\$781
8	\$1,158	\$0	\$1,158
9	\$1,282	\$0	\$1,282
10	\$1,448	\$0	\$1,448
11	\$1,823	\$0	\$1,823
12	\$1,259	\$0	\$1,259
13	\$1,871	\$0	\$1,871
14	\$1,773	\$0	\$1,773
15	\$3,572	\$0	\$3,572
16	\$1,188	\$0	\$1,188

**Table 140: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Crankcase – 500 ft<sup>2</sup> Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$368	\$0	\$368
2	\$563	\$0	\$563
3	\$231	\$0	\$231
4	\$1,030	\$0	\$1,030
5	\$299	\$0	\$299
6	\$607	\$0	\$607
7	\$764	\$0	\$764
8	\$1,156	\$0	\$1,156
9	\$1,211	\$0	\$1,211
10	\$1,346	\$0	\$1,346
11	\$1,462	\$0	\$1,462
12	\$1,045	\$0	\$1,045
13	\$1,597	\$0	\$1,597
14	\$1,526	\$0	\$1,526
15	\$2,456	\$0	\$2,456
16	\$748	\$0	\$748

**Table 141: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Crankcase – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$4,128	\$0	\$4,128
2	\$8,456	\$0	\$8,456
3	\$6,549	\$0	\$6,549
4	\$8,200	\$0	\$8,200
5	\$6,861	\$0	\$6,861
6	\$6,983	\$0	\$6,983
7	\$6,873	\$0	\$6,873
8	\$8,047	\$0	\$8,047
9	\$8,178	\$0	\$8,178
10	\$9,321	\$0	\$9,321
11	\$10,212	\$0	\$10,212
12	\$8,696	\$0	\$8,696
13	\$9,583	\$0	\$9,583
14	\$8,779	\$0	\$8,779
15	\$13,426	\$0	\$13,426
16	\$7,186	\$0	\$7,186

**Table 142: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – New Construction – Charge Verification – 2100/2700 Weighted Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$2,218	\$0	\$2,218
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$932	\$0	\$932
4	\$1,484	\$0	\$1,484
5	\$703	\$0	\$703
6	\$308	\$0	\$308
7	\$363	\$0	\$363
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$2,552	\$0	\$2,552

**Table 143: Nominal LSC Savings Over 30-Year Period of Analysis – Per Square Foot – Alterations – Charge Verification – 1665 ft<sup>2</sup> Existing Home Prototype**

Climate Zone	30-Year LSC Electricity Savings (Nominal \$)	30-Year LSC Natural Gas Savings (Nominal \$)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (Nominal \$)
1	\$3,616	\$0	\$3,616
2	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	\$1,845	\$0	\$1,845
4	\$4,256	\$0	\$4,256
5	\$1,469	\$0	\$1,469
6	\$1,018	\$0	\$1,018
7	\$1,280	\$0	\$1,280
8	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A
10	N/A	N/A	N/A
11	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A
13	N/A	N/A	N/A
14	N/A	N/A	N/A
15	N/A	N/A	N/A
16	\$5,202	\$0	\$5,202

## Appendix H: Description of Existing Building Prototype

The single family alteration prototype was developed from the alteration prototypes described in the ACM Approval Manual. The manual presents two prototypes, a 1,440 square foot existing alteration prototype and a second which is the same 1,440 square foot existing home with a 225 square foot addition. The average size of existing homes in the United States built in the 1970s was between 1,650 and 1,750 square feet, with size steadily increasing over time. To better represent the existing building stock, the alteration with addition prototype was revised to reflect a 1,665 square feet existing home. See Table 144 for a description of the prototype.

The total window area is 218 square feet, or 13.1 percent of the conditioned floor area, based on the alteration prototype floor plan with addition in Figure A-16 of the ACM Approval Manual. The total opaque door area of 40 square feet (two standard size doors) is also based on Figure A-16. The model was converted to be orientation neutral with wall, window, and door area equally divided across the four cardinal directions. The number of bedrooms was defined to reflect the predominant number of bedrooms in California homes per the 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (U.S. Census Bureau 2017b).

**Table 144: Single Family Alteration Prototype Description**

Building Component	Assumption
Conditioned Floor Area	1,665 square feet (~41 feet x 41 feet)
Ceiling Height	8 feet
Wall Area	1,312 square feet
Window Area	218 square feet
Opaque Door Area	40 square feet
Number of Bedrooms	3
Attached Garage	2-car garage

There is no defined protocol for assigning building characteristics for existing home prototypes. Characteristics were applied to represent a home that was constructed in the 1990s with mechanical equipment replaced between 2010 and 2015, and are based on prior Title 24, Part 6 code requirements, literature review and industry standards. The primary prototypes are mixed-fuel with natural gas used for space heating, water heating, cooking, and clothes drying to represent the majority of existing residential buildings. 85 percent of residential buildings use natural gas for space heating and 86 percent use natural gas for water heating (California Energy Commission 2009).

Table 145 summarizes the baseline building characteristics for the alteration prototypes used in the analysis along with the basis for the assumptions where applicable. A more detailed discussion of the rationale is included for detailed discussion of the rationale is included for select building characteristics.

**Table 145: Alteration Prototype Baseline Assumptions**

Building Component	Efficiency Feature	Baseline Assumption	Reference
<b>Envelope</b>	Exterior Walls & Demising Walls	2x4 16"oc Wood Frame, R-13 cavity insulation	2013 T24 Residential Vintage Table R3-50, default for 1992 to 1998 vintage. (California Energy Commission 2014)
	Foundation Type & Insulation	Uninsulated slab	2013 T24 Residential Vintage Table R3-50, default for 1992 to 1998 vintage. (California Energy Commission 2014)
	Roof/Ceiling Insulation & Attic Type	R-19 (@ ceiling for attic & rafter for low-sloped)	2013 T24 Residential Vintage Table R3-50, default for 1992 to 1998 vintage. (California Energy Commission 2014)
	Roofing Material & Color	Asphalt shingles, default values (0.10 reflectance, 0.85 emittance)	CBECC-Res default
	Radiant Barrier	No	2013 T24 Residential Vintage Table R3-50, default for 1992 to 1998 vintage. (California Energy Commission 2014)
	Window Properties: U-Factor/Solar Heat Gain Coefficient (SHGC)	Metal, Dual Pane 0.79 U-factor 0.70 SHGC CZ 1-7,16 0.40 SHGC CZ 8-15	2013 T24 Residential Vintage Table 110.6-A and 110.6-B. U-factor default for metal double-pane operable windows; SHGC default for metal double-pane operable windows in CZ 1-7,16 and low-e elsewhere. (California Energy Commission 2014) Basis for selecting window types discussed in detail below.
	Opaque Doors	0.50	CBECC-Res default
	Quality Insulation Inspection Credit (HERS)	No	CBECC-Res default
	House Infiltration	10 ACH50 (single family) 7 ACH50 (multifamily)	10 ACH50 Based on a literature review of blower door test data for existing homes. See detailed discussion below. 7 ACH50 is the CBECC-Res default for multifamily

Building Component	Efficiency Feature	Baseline Assumption	Reference
<b>HVAC Equipment</b>	System Type & Description	Ducted FAU split system with gas furnace & A/C	Typical system for California homes
	Heating Efficiency	0.78 AFUE	Federal minimum efficiency level in effect around 2015.
	Cooling Efficiency	13 SEER 11 EER	Federal minimum efficiency level in effect around 2015 for SEER. EER estimated based on CBECC-Res equations.
	Duct Location & Insulation	Attic, R-4.2, 15% leakage	2013 T24 Residential Vintage Table R3-50, default for 1992 to 1998 vintage for duct insulation. (California Energy Commission 2014) Assume ducts were sealed and tested when HVAC system last replaced.
	Mechanical Ventilation	None	CBECC-Res default
	Verified Refrigerant Charge (HERS)	No	CBECC-Res default
	Verified Cooling Airflow $\geq 350$ cfm/ton (HERS)	No, 350 cfm/ton	CBECC-Res default
	Verified Fan Watt Draw $\leq 0.58$ W/cfm (HERS)	Single Speed PSC 0.58	CBECC-Res default
<b>Water Heating Equipment</b>	System Type & Description	Gas Storage	Typical system for California homes
	Water Heater Efficiency	0.575 EF	Federal minimum efficiency level in effect around 2015.
	Water Heater Size (gal.)	40	Typical for residential storage gas water heaters.
<b>Appliance &amp; Lighting</b>	Lighting Type	per CBECC-Res	CBECC-Res default
	Appliances	per CBECC-Res	CBECC-Res default
	Cooking	Gas	Typical for mixed fuel home
	Clothes Dryer	Gas	Typical for mixed fuel home

# Appendix I: Updated Changes to the Proposals

---

## Introduction

This Appendix describes changes that were made after completion of the CASE report. Thus, the code language changes and the statewide savings estimates described in this section supersede any information in the CASE report. These include changes to applicability of the OCST/CCH measure due to modifications in modeling, and removal of some HERS requirements. The updated estimates of measure and statewide savings in the Appendix supersede some of the estimates provided in the body of this report. These changes include:

- Modifying the energy savings models to reflect OCST savings rather than CCH savings.
- Updating incremental costs to reflect the costs for OCST rather than CCH.
- An updated set of occupancies for which the measure is applicable, due to lack of cost-effectiveness in MF, small single family homes, and CZs 3, 5, and 6.
- Move the OCST/CCH requirements to the mandatory sections of the code.
- Removed the requirement for HERS verification of proper control configuration.
- Added requirements for installer to test proper control configuration.
- Removed the option to obtain remote HERS verification of Refrigerant Charge.

## Description of Changes

### Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat/Crankcase Heating

After completion of the CASE report, the CASE team revised the way that the Crankcase Heating (CCH) measure is modeled. Because the CCH requirements are merely an alternative to a requirement for installing an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat (OCST), the cost effectiveness evaluation should be based upon installation of an OCST, not a compliant CCH. The CASE team re-evaluated the savings based upon the following assumptions:

- Baseline Scenario:
  - CBECC-Res thermostat defaults: constant thermostat setpoints of 78°F for cooling and 68°F.
- Standard (OCST) Scenario:
  - Identified the 20 days with highest LSC rates.
  - For summer days, identified the 5 hours on those days just before the rates went back down—those are the Demand Response (DR) Event hours.
  - For winter days, the first three hours of the day are the DR Event hours.
  - Assumed a setpoint schedule as follows on those days (Joint Appendix 5 specifies these setup and setback defaults, although it also refers to a  $\pm 4^\circ\text{F}$  change):
    - Summer: 78°F all hours, except 82°F for DR hours
    - Winter: 68°F for all hours, except 60°F for DR hours

- Both Scenarios
  - Supplemental heating lockout over 35°F to align with the proposed supplemental heating measure (thermostat setback/setup control doesn't make sense without lockout of supplemental heating).
  - CBECC-Res defaults for CCH operation.

The OCST cost estimates were based on the cost analysis of the Emerson Sensi Lite thermostat. Priced at \$89.99, these thermostats are the least expensive thermostats on the market that can be used for the PG&E DR program. Thermostats have an estimated useful life of 15 years, thus valuing the total incremental cost from the initial price of \$89.99 over the CASE analysis period, gives us an estimated 30-year present value lifetime cost of \$148 using the approach described in Section 6.4.

These assumptions were made in estimating the per unit energy use and costs for all single-family prototypes. The results of the OCST analysis are provided in this Appendix. Modeling in single-family homes revealed that the OCST measure didn't achieve cost effectiveness in small single-family homes or in Climate Zones 3, 5, and 6, and thus it will not be required in those occupancies either. This analysis was not completed for multifamily units, so the measure is not required there.

This approach to calculating statewide savings did not change, since the Statewide CASE Team assumes builders will copy by installing the much easier CCH instead of an OCST, which was the basis for the initial modeling. However, the building types and climate zones where the measure was not found to be cost-effective based on OCST were removed from the statewide savings estimates. These updated statewide results are reported in this Appendix.

Another significant change in the measure is that it was moved to the mandatory sections of the code because this simplifies compliance considerably. This has no effect on costs or savings, and the modified code language is provided in this Appendix.

## Controls Verification

Another set of changes developed since the CASE Report was finalized was to remove some of the requirements for verification of configuration of controls.

The original proposed language included the following requirements:

- a) obtain clear and concise instructions from the manufacturer on how to configure the controls,
- b) set the controls optimally, and
- c) obtain HERS verification that the controls were set according to the requirements.

While the b) requirements to set the controls correctly are retained, the a) and c) requirements were removed from the proposal, for the following reasons:

- a) It is problematic to require manufacturers to provide additional documentation through Title 24, Part 6. While it's recognized that this documentation already exists, it is not always straightforward to locate and interpret it. With this change, the installer will be responsible for locating the instructions on their own without applying any additional requirements to the manufacturer.

- c) It was decided that installers can confirm on their own that the controls are set correctly, without requiring a HERS Rater to verify. Installers will be required to test their configuration, which will require that they obtain the necessary instructions per a) to set the controls correctly.

These changes did not result in any changes in per home energy savings, costs, cost effectiveness, or statewide savings, because the cost of HERS verification and installer verification were considered to be equivalent, and the savings should be equivalent assuming installers are able to obtain the necessary information and conduct their own tests. Thus, the results reported in the main body of the CASE Report remain accurate. The modified code language is presented in this Appendix.

### **Remote Verification of Refrigerant Charge**

The original CASE Report included a provision to allow for remote verification of refrigerant charge. The Energy Commission did not think this could not be done reliably or verifiably, and so that provision was removed from the proposal.

This removal did not result in any changes in per home energy savings, costs, cost effectiveness, and statewide savings, since the costs for HERS verification used in the analysis were for in-person verification. Thus, the results reported in the main body of the CASE Report remain accurate. The modified code language is provided in this Appendix.

### **Updated First Year Savings and Cost Effectiveness of OCST/CCH Measure**

Table 146 presents per-unit energy savings and cost effectiveness results for the measure based on simulations of the OCST.

**Table 146: Savings Results for OCST Measure**

Climate Zone	Total Incremental PV Costs (2026 PV\$)	2,100/2,700 Weighted New Construction			1,665 Square Foot Alteration		
		First Year Electricity Savings (kWh)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-Cost Ratio	First Year Electricity Savings (kWh)	Total 30-Year LSC Savings (2026 PV\$)	Benefit-Cost Ratio
1	\$148	4.0	\$506	3.42	-31.5	\$433	2.93
2	\$148	-18.0	\$267	1.81	-109.5	\$672	4.55
3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	\$148	-6.0	\$330	2.24	6.3	\$1,565	10.59
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	\$148	7.0	\$401	2.71	-7.7	\$982	6.65
8	\$148	0.0	\$245	1.66	-13.7	\$638	4.32
9	\$148	1.0	\$418	2.83	-16.8	\$633	4.28
10	\$148	4.0	\$456	3.09	-11.1	\$816	5.52
11	\$148	1.0	\$926	6.27	0.4	\$281	1.90
12	\$148	2.0	\$761	5.15	9.8	\$1,532	10.37
13	\$148	15.0	\$883	5.98	14.2	\$2,281	15.44
14	\$148	1.0	\$653	4.42	-37.0	\$481	3.25
15	\$148	11.0	\$357	2.42	-11.5	\$516	3.49
16	\$148	-5.0	\$668	4.52	-15.9	\$982	6.65

### First-Year Statewide Impacts of OCST/CCH Measure

Table 147 Table 148, and Table 149 present the statewide savings impacts for the OCST/CCH measure.

**Table 147: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction and Additions – OCST/CCH**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	102	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00
2	527	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	\$0.12
3	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	761	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04	\$0.27
5	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	529	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	\$0.15
8	1,177	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.06	\$0.49
9	1,212	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09	\$0.54
10	2,249	0.18	0.01	0.00	0.14	\$1.14
11	1,653	0.15	0.01	0.00	0.12	\$0.89
12	4,114	0.25	0.01	0.00	0.14	\$1.54
13	2,053	0.19	0.01	0.00	0.17	\$1.15
14	1,058	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.08	\$0.55
15	894	0.17	0.01	0.00	0.19	\$1.06
16	548	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	\$0.12
<b>Total</b>	<b>16,875</b>	<b>1.29</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>\$8.05</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 148: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – Alterations – OCST/CCH**

Climate Zone	Statewide New Construction & Additions Impacted by Proposed Change in 2026 (Buildings)	First-Year <sup>a</sup> Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
1	277	0.01	0.0022	0	0.02	0.07
2	1640	0.03	0.0014	0	0.03	0.14
3	0	0	0.0000	0	0	0
4	3069	0.05	0.0035	0	0.06	0.39
5	0	0	0.0000	0	0	0
6	0	0	0.0000	0	0	0
7	3050	0.03	0.0028	0	0.02	0.24
8	5715	0.13	0.0075	0	0.12	0.77
9	7715	0.17	0.0118	0	0.18	1.15
10	6585	0.19	0.0124	0	0.18	1.21
11	2070	0.07	0.0053	0	0.08	0.46
12	8137	0.17	0.0126	0	0.19	1.16
13	3916	0.14	0.0119	0	0.15	0.88
14	1530	0.05	0.0036	0	0.06	0.31
15	1,096	0	0.0046	0	0	0
16	604	0	0.0011	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>45,402</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>7.34</b>

a. First-year savings from all buildings completed statewide in 2026.

**Table 149: Statewide Energy and Energy Cost Impacts – New Construction, Additions, and Alterations – OCST/CCH**

Construction Type	First-Year Electricity Savings (GWh)	First-Year Peak Electrical Demand Reduction (MW)	First-Year Natural Gas Savings (Million Therms)	First-Year Source Energy Savings (Million kBtu)	30-Year Present Valued LSC Savings (Million 2026 PV\$)
<b>New Construction &amp; Additions</b>	1.27	0.07	0.00	1.07	7.91
<b>Alterations</b>	1.12	0.08	0.00	1.22	7.34
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.39</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2.29</b>	<b>15.25</b>

a. First-year savings from all alterations completed statewide in 2026.

## Statewide Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Reductions of OCST/CCH Measure

Table 150 presents the estimated first-year avoided GHG emissions after the proposed updates for the OCST/CCH measure.

**Table 150: First-Year Statewide GHG Emissions Impacts**

Measure	Electricity Savings <sup>a</sup> (GWh/yr)	Reduced GHG Emissions from Electricity Savings (Metric Tons CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Natural Gas Savings <sup>a</sup> (Million Therms/yr)	Reduced GHG Emissions from Natural Gas Savings (Metric Tons CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Total Reduced GHG Emissions <sup>b</sup> (Metric Ton CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Total Monetary Value of Reduced GHG Emissions <sup>c</sup> (\$)
<b>OCST/Crankcase Heating</b>	2.23	125.60	0.00	0.00	125.60	\$15,441

- First-year savings from all applicable newly constructed buildings, additions, and alterations completed statewide in 2026.
- GHG emissions savings were calculated using hourly GHG emissions factors published alongside the LSC hourly factors and source energy hourly factors by CEC here: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/files/2025-energy-code-hourly-factors>
- The monetary value of avoided GHG emissions is based on a proxy for permit costs (not social costs) derived from the 2022 TDV Update Model published by CEC here: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/files/tdv-2022-update-model>

## Modified Code Language

Redlining indicates changes compared to the 2022 Version of Title 24, Part 6.

### OCST/CCH

#### Section 150.0(h)

**11. Air conditioner and heat pump advanced controls.** All air conditioners and heat pumps shall be controlled in accordance with either 150.1(h)11A or 150.1(h)11B

**A. Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat.** Air conditioners and heat pumps shall be controlled by an Occupant Controlled Smart Thermostat compliant with Section 110.12(a) and the building complying with solar ready requirements of Section 110.10(b)1A without making use of Exception 5.

**B. Crankcase heating power limited.** Installer has manufacturer-provided documentation of one of the following:

- The system does not heat the crankcase by any means.
- Crankcase heating power input is controlled with a thermostat that measures ambient temperature with a sensing element temperature that is not affected by the heater, where the Crankcase Heater turn on temperature is no higher than 71°F. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.

iii. Crankcase heating power is controlled based on a differential temperature between crankcase and evaporator or condenser. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.

iv. Crankcase heating uses self-regulating control or other controls for which the sensing element temperature is affected by the heater. Crankcase heating is turned off when the compressor is operating.

**Exception 1 to 150.0(h)11:** Room air conditioners and room air conditioner heat pumps.

**Exception 2 to 150.0(h)11:** Air conditioners and heat pumps in Climate zones 3, 5 and 6.

**Exception 3 to 150.0(h)11:** In buildings with a conditioned floor space of 500 square feet or less.

## Controls Verification

### Section 150.0(h)7-10

(similar text modifying or adding to Section 160.3(b)8-12).

#### **7. Defrost.**

iii. If a heat pump is equipped with a defrost delay timer, it must be set to greater than or equal to 90 minutes.

iv. Control configuration shall be tested by the installer.

**Exception to 150.0(h)7.** Dwelling units with a conditioned floor area of 500 square feet or less in Climate Zones 5 through 10 or 15 shall not be required to comply with the 90 minute delay timer requirements.

#### **8. Supplementary heating control configuration.** Heat pumps with electric resistance heaters or gas furnace supplementary heating shall comply with the following requirements:

A. Lock out supplementary heating above an outdoor air temperature of no greater than 35°F. Note that this is also the limit for the changeover temperature of a dual fuel heat pump. This may be accomplished by the heat pump or the thermostat, or a collaboration. There are additional thermostat requirements in section 150.0(i)2.

B. Control configuration shall be tested by the installer.

C. The controls may allow supplementary heater operation above 35°F only during defrost; or when the user selects emergency operation.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(h)8:** Room air-conditioner heat pumps.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(h)8:** Single family buildings with no greater than 500 square feet of conditioned space.

#### **9. Sizing of electric resistance supplementary heat.** Where heat pumps have electric resistance heat, the capacity of electric resistance heat shall not exceed the larger of the following:

A. The difference between the heat pump heating capacity (at the heating design temperature) and the heating design load (under design conditions as defined in Section 150.0(h)2), expressed as kW and rounded up to the closest kW.

B. The heat pump nominal cooling capacity (at 95°F ambient conditions) times 2.7 kW per ton, rounded up to the closest kW.

**10. Capacity variation with third-party thermostats.** Variable or multi-speed systems shall comply with the following requirements:

- A. The space conditioning system and thermostat together shall be capable of—and configured to—deliver all functionality necessary to provide proper modulation. There are additional thermostat requirements in section 150.0(i)3.
- B. Control configuration shall be tested by the installer.

## 150.0(i) Thermostats

**1. Setback thermostats.** All heating or cooling systems, including heat pumps, not controlled by a central energy management control system (EMCS) shall have a setback thermostat, as specified in Section 110.2(c).

**2. Thermostats that are applied to heat pumps with supplemental heat.** In addition to the requirements in Section 150.0(i)A, thermostats controlling heat pumps with electric resistance supplementary heat or gas furnace supplementary heat shall comply with the following requirements:

- A. The thermostat shall receive outdoor air temperature from an outdoor air temperature sensor or from an internet weather service.
- B. The thermostat shall display the outdoor air temperature.
- C. As described in 150.0(h)8, the thermostat and heat pump shall lock out supplementary heat when the outdoor air temperature is above 35°F. Note: heat pumps with gas furnace supplementary heat are referred to as dual fuel heat pumps, and the 35°F lock out temperature is called the changeover temperature.
- D. The thermostat shall have an indicator to notify when supplementary heat or emergency heat is in use.
- E. During defrost or when the user selects emergency heating, supplementary heat operation is permitted above 35°F.
- F. The system installer shall test the system.

**Exception 1 to Section 150.0(i)2:** Where supplementary heat is locked out above 35°F by another control device in accordance with Section 150.0(h)8.

**Exception 2 to Section 150.0(i)2:** Room air-conditioner heat pumps.

**3. Thermostats that are applied to variable or multi-speed HVAC systems.** As described in 150.0(h)10, thermostats controlling variable or multi-speed HVAC systems shall control system compressor speed in response to the heating and cooling loads. At minimum capacity the power draw of the outdoor unit power shall be no greater than 60% of power at full capacity. The system installer shall test the system at full and minimum load in accordance with RA5.5

## Remote Verification of Refrigerant Charge

### Modify RA3.2.3.1.5 Weigh-in Procedure

The weigh-in procedure shall be performed in accordance with all manufacturer specifications to document and confirm:

- (l) Liquid line filter drier has been installed if required per outdoor condensing unit manufacturer's instructions, and installed with the proper orientation with respect to refrigerant flow, ~~if applicable.~~
- (m) If refrigerant line connections require welding, the system is brazed with dry nitrogen in the lines and indoor coil.
- (n) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with vacuum, the system is evacuated to 500 microns or less and, when isolated, rises no more than 300 microns over five minutes.
- (o) In all cases where the OEM instructions call for checking for gas leaks with nitrogen gas, the system is pressurized to the manufacturer's specified pressure and if the pressure cannot be maintained, leaks shall be located and fixed.
- (p) The calculated weight adjustment for lineset length is based on the length and diameter of the lineset.
- (q) The calculated weight adjustment for coil size is based on manufacturer instructions.
- (r) The actual total weight adjustment is equal to the sum of the calculated weight adjustments for lineset and coil size.
- (s) The calculated and actual total weights of refrigerant in the system are recorded on or near the nameplate label, in indelible ink or other permanent means.
- ~~(t) The lineset correction is calculated based on the length and diameter of the lineset.~~
- ~~(u) The indoor coil correction to refrigerant weight is used if it is supplied by the manufacturer.~~
- ~~(v) The amount of charge calculated for the lineset correction (and indoor coil correction if available) is added or removed, or the total charge based on the lineset, indoor coil, and standard label charge is installed.~~

The HVAC Installer shall certify on the Certificate of Installation that the manufacturer's specifications for these procedures have been met. This shall be verified either through on-site observation using procedures in RA 3.2.3.2.

## Requirements for Testing Control Configuration

In lieu of the requirement to provide instructions from the manufacturer or to have HERS verification for controls configurations, several measures now require installer testing. The requirements for this testing are to be placed on compliance forms. Below is a detailed description of the testing that will be required. A summary of this description will be placed on the forms to guide the installer.

### Testing and Documentation of Defrost Controls

The installing contractor shall test configuration of Heat Pump Defrost Controls as follows:

- i. Record the following:
  - a. If largest available Defrost Delay Timer setting is < 90, what was the largest available setting? (minutes)

- b. Enter your Defrost Delay Timer setting (minutes)
- c. Describe how Defrost Delay Timer setting was configured:
  - o dip switch
  - o jumper
  - o other (please specify)

## Testing and Documentation of Supplementary Heating Controls

The installing contractor shall test normal operation of supplemental heat lock out controls when outdoor air temperature is greater than 35°F as follows:

- i. If the temperature is below 35°F, or too high for the Heat Pump to run in heating mode, testing must be rescheduled until conditions allow. The test shall be run in accordance with all operational guidance from the manufacturer, including manufacturer approved outdoor conditions for heating mode operation.
- ii. Temporary internet connectivity may be needed for the test.
- iii. Confirm that the thermostat is reporting an accurate outdoor air temperature.
- iv. System shall be put into heating mode.
- v. Thermostat setpoint shall be increased to 10°F above the indoor air temperature displayed by the thermostat if thermostat does not display indoor temperature, thermostat setpoint shall be set to 10 degrees above measured indoor temperature.
- vi. Run the test for 30 minutes or until the setpoint is reached
- vii. No supplemental heating operation shall be detected:
  - a. For electric resistance supplemental heat, directly measure the power draw of supplemental heat or indirectly measure power draw by measuring total indoor unit power and subtracting off fan power. Confirm that no power is consumed.
  - b. For gas furnace supplemental heating, operation shall be detected by observation of combustion air fan or temperature rise of furnace flue temperature. Confirm that no evidence of furnace operation is detected.
- viii. If no supplemental heating is detected during the test duration, the system passes. If the system fails, reconfigure controls or replace controls until the system passes.
- ix. Record the following:
  - a. What was OAT during test ? (°F)
  - b. What was temp displayed by thermostat at the start of the test? (°F)
  - c. What was setpoint during test (°F)
  - d. How long did the system run during the test? (minutes)
  - e. How did you verify supplemental heating was not running:
    - o Power draw measured directly
    - o Indoor unit power and fan power subtracted
    - o Furnace observation of combustion air fan or temperature rise of furnace flue

## Testing and Documentation of Variable- and Multi-Speed Controls with Third-Party Thermostats

The installing contractor shall confirm modulating operation by one of the following. If the system is cooling-only, test in cooling mode. If the system is a heat pump, test in cooling or heating mode, as appropriate to the conditions (test in cooling mode in warmer temperatures and in heating mode in cooler temperatures).

- i. In cooling mode:
  - a. Set thermostat setpoint to least 10°F below the current zone temperature.

- b. Measure outdoor unit power (amps or watts) over an entire cooling cycle from full cooling to equipment shut-off and confirm that condensing unit power varies from full power to below at least 60% of full power.
  - ii. In heating mode:
    - a. Set thermostat setpoint to least 10°F above the current zone temperature.
    - b. Measure outdoor unit power (amps or watts) over an entire heating cycle from full heating to equipment shut-off and confirm that condensing unit power varies from full power to below at least 60% of full power.
  - iii. Record the following:
    - a. What was OAT during test? (°F)
    - b. What was temp displayed by thermostat? (°F)
    - c. What was setpoint during test? (°F)
    - d. How long did the system run during the test? (minutes)
    - e. What was the maximum condensing unit power measured? (watts/amps)
    - f. What was the minimum condensing unit [power measured? (watts/amps)

## Additional Items to Consider including on forms:

### Questions to be added:

- Is a third-party thermostat installed?
- Is the system variable- or multi-speed?
- Is it a heat pump with Supp heating?

### If it's a heat pump, it will trigger the following to be added:

Defrost control:

- If largest available Defrost Delay Timer setting is < 90, what was the largest available setting? (minutes)
- Enter your Defrost Delay Timer setting (minutes)
- Describe how Defrost Delay Timer setting was configured:
  - dip switch
  - jumper
  - other (please specify)

### If it's a heat pump with supplemental heat, it will trigger the following to be added:

Supplementary Heating control:

- What was OAT during test? (°F)
- What was temp displayed by thermostat at the start of the test? (°F)
- What was setpoint during test (°F)
- How long did the system run during the test? (minutes)
- How did you verify supplemental heating was not running:
  - Power draw measured directly
  - Indoor unit power and fan power subtracted
  - Furnace observation of combustion air fan or temperature rise of furnace flue

**If it's a variable capacity system with a third party thermostat, it will trigger the following to be added:**

Variable Capacity / Third-Party Thermostat

- What was OAT during test? (°F)
- What was temp displayed by thermostat? (°F)
- What was setpoint during test? (°F)
- How long did the system run during the test? (minutes)
- What was the maximum condensing unit power measured? (watts/amps)
- What was the minimum condensing unit [power measured? (watts/amps)